

SARS CoV-2 Wastewater Monitoring: Linking Research and Application To Meet Immediate Needs

Jay L. Garland USEPA Office of Research & Development August 4, 2020



- EPA-ORD Nichole Brinkman, Scott Keely, Michael Jahne, Emily Wheaton, Eunice Varughese, Maitreyi Nagarkar
- Cincinnati Metropolitan Sewer District Bruce Smith, John Barton, Mary Lynn Loder
- Hamilton County Public Health Department Chris Griffith
- State of Ohio
 - -Ohio Department of Health Rebecca Fugitt
 - -Ohio EPA Brian Hall, Tiffani Kavalec
 - -Other collaborators at end







Three Major "Knobs"

Optimizing the analytical methods

Accounting for sewer dynamics

– Decay, degradation, and dilution

Looking into the community

 Relating the sewer signal to imperfect estimates of infection/shedding



EPA-ORD Approach

Longer Term Method Development (Molecular and Cell Culture)



A Rapid Method Assessment

• Effects of sample processing

- –Heat kill, freezing, refrigerated hold time
- -Evaluating different fractions »Solids vs liquid

Recovery efficiency

-Spiking vs endogenous controls



Spiking

Rapid Method Assessment

Extraction for Analysis of Spiked & Endogenous Targets



All Fractions Extracted for Analysis of SARS-CoV-2, Spiked & Endogenous targets



Recovery Efficiency

Spiking control

-Coronaviruses (human coronavirus OC43)

Endogenous markers

- -*CrAsspahge*(a non-enveloped DNA bacteriophage)
- -Pepper mild mottled virus (*PMMoV*) non-enveloped RNA plant virus (does not infect humans, but found in feces)
- At high enough levels to compare direct extracts with recovered fractions
- -Allow additional evaluation of method performance
- Also can be used to normalized data to fecal content rather than volume of wastewater



Initial Methods Assessment (Results)

Heat Kill

- -Reduces signal by ~ 3 fold
- Avoid, particularly given relatively low levels of SARS-Cov-2 gene copes in wastewater

Freezing

- -Reduces signal by ~2.5 fold
- Avoid, but archived samples are still of value given the interest in defining trends

Cold Storage

- –No significant loss of signal over 2-3 days at 4°C
- -Overnight shipping or next day sampling is sound



Effects of Heat Kill (60°C for 90 min)



Lick Run Sample (June 18)

Dots represent 2 biological reps, with 2 RT-ddPCRs each) Geometric mean and 95% confidence interval Bayesian T-tests indicating credible differences



Effects of Freezing at -70°C



Lick Run Sample (June 11)

Symbols, lines and t-tests same as previous slide



Effects of Cold Storage (4°C)



Lick Run Sample (7/13)

Symbols, lines as in previous slides

p values for repeated measures ANOVA with time



Initial Methods Assessment (Results, cont'd)

Occurrence in Different Fractions

- -~80% of gene copies associated with solids (pellet, membrane filter)
- -While low on average, the gene copies in liquid fraction can be the dominant fraction in some sewage samples

Percent Recovery

- –Spiked coronavirus surrogate (OC43) was less than ~3%
- Endogenous viral surrogate recovery ranged from 25 (PMMoV) to 60% (CrAssphage)



Recovery in Different Fractions



Lines and symbols as in previous slides N1 (N=18), N2 (N=13) CrAv056 (N=8), PMMov (N=7), OC43 (N=4)



Percent Recovery (Endogenous and Spiked Markers)



Symbols, lines, and number of samples same as previous



Sewer Dynamics

Decay, Degradation, & Dilution

Cincinnati Municipal Sewer District





ction	% Industrial	Combine	ed Dilution
Mill Creek	5.0	40	0.5:1
Little Miami	4.2	30	0.4:1
Muddy Creek	<0.05	30	0.5:1
Sycamore Cree	k 1.1	0	0.5:1
Polk Run	<0.1	0	0.8:1
Indian Creek	0	0	1:1
Taylor Creek	0	0	1:8:1

17

Average Age





Community Prevalence

Decrease in Variability Predicted Accounts for Dilution



Looking into the Community







COVID-19 Non-Hospitalized Cases Per Sewershed Hamilton County, OH





COVID-19 Hospitalized Cases Per Sewershed Hamilton County, OH





Current Status of Research

 Rapid assessment of method development nearly complete (looking at repeating experiments – heat kill, freezing, hold time – with strongly positive samples)

 Correlate weekly signal at the sewershed level to current cases within the area
Archived from beginning of May until present
With and without normalization to fecal content SEPA Application – State of Ohio Effort

- -Governor Dewine asked what it would take to develop a state based wastewater effort on Memorial Day
- –Ohio EPA, ODH, Ohio Water Resource Center (in coordination with state university system researchers), implementing the plan
 - Initial work on 7 major metropolitan areas (Cleveland, Columbus, Cincinnati, Dayton, Toledo, Akron, Youngstown)
 - -Multiple plants within some areas
 - –Data on dashboard by first week of August
 - Additional medium and small cities (~70 total sampling sites by first of September
 - -Goal of expanding coverage to additional counties
 - -Selection criteria: current status, vulnerability,
 - infrastructure (e.g., composite sampling), utility interest

PA ited States Virgonental Protection State of Ohio University Involvement

- Zuzana Bohrerova, Associate Director and Research Specialist, Ohio Water Resources Center and OSU CEGE
- Linda Weavers, co-Director and Professor, Ohio Water Resources Center and OSU Civil, Environmental and Geodetic Engineering
- John Lenhart, co-Director and Professor, Ohio Water Resources Center and OSU Civil, Environmental and Geodetic Engineering
- Mark Weir, Assistant Professor, OSU College of Public Health (COPH) Environmental Health and Safety,
- Jiyoung Lee, Professor, OSU COPH Environmental Health and Safety
- Stan Lemeshow, Professor, OSU COPH Biostatistics
- Dae-Wook Kang, Assistant Professor, University of Toledo, Civil and Environmental Engineering
- Travis Taylor, Assistant Professor, UT, Medical Microbiology and Immunology
- Saurabh Chattopadhyay, Assistant professor, UT, Medical Microbiology and Immunology
- Xiaozhen (Jen) Mou, Associate Professor, Kent State University, Biological Sciences
- **Stephen Duirk**, Associate Professor, *University of Akron, Environmental Engineering*
- John Senko, Associate Professor, University of Akron, Geosciences



EPA-ORD Involvement

- We have agreed to join the laboratory network
 - Weekly sampling of 3 major plants in Cincinnati and Dayton areas
 - -Additional cities in southwestern Ohio
- Facilitating the effort where needed
 - Providing info on method performance as described earlier
 - -Coordinating initial laboratory comparison (and participating in on-going monthly comparisons)
 - -Helping incorporate improved analytical approaches
 - e.g., fecal normalization
 - -Developing standard collection formats
 - Utility information, lab QA/QC



Thoughts On Challenges & Keys to Success

- Complex issue with multiple areas of uncertainty
 - –Need to bring together multiple experts environmental analytics / wastewater engineering / public health implementation
 - Don't let specific uncertainties limit overall advancement
- Allow flexibility in analytical methods, but define specific data reporting requirements
- Maintain aggressive timelines; assume that best practices will rapidly evolve

