

#### Factors Affecting Exfiltration Rate from a Subsurface Infiltration Stormwater Control Measure

Jiayu Liu, PhD, EIT<sup>1</sup>, Michael Borst<sup>2</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>ORISE Postdoctoral Research Fellow at U.S. EPA, Edison, NJ

<sup>2</sup>U.S. EPA, Edison, NJ

August 15, 2018

Office of Research and Development National Risk Management Research Laboratory Water Supply and Water Resources Division Urban Watershed Management Branch



### CSO 130

- Location: Louisville, KY
- Area:17.3 acre



#### 14 Paver strips

#### 28 Tree boxes

**4** Planters

THEFT



# We monitored three stormwater control measures distributed throughout the basin.





#### Monitored strips had 5 to 10 shafts along length.









#### **Design Characteristics of Study Strips**

Site design characteristics	10D	14D	17D
Drainage area (m <sup>2</sup> )	1540	2310	3930
Impervious area (m <sup>2</sup> )	1050	1500	2550
Impervious percentage	68%	65%	65%
Length (m)	21.3	24.4	29
# of shafts	5	7	10
Bottom infiltration area (m <sup>2</sup> )	0.82	1.15	1.64
Permeable pavement area (m <sup>2</sup> )	52.6	60.3	71.6
Permeable pavement area (m) : Bottom infiltration area	64.2	52.4	43.7
Drainage area : Permeable pavement area	29.3	38.3	54.9
Impervious area : Permeable pavement area	20.0	24.9	35.6
Impervious area : Bottom infiltration area	1280	1304	1555

Example shows the water level in Shaft1 in Strip 10D on April 11, 2013



Time



#### Summary statistics of exfiltration rates at variable water levels

	Exfiltration Rate (cm/min)										
Hydraulic	10D			14D			17D				
head (cm)	Ν	Geometric mean	Median	Ν	Geometric mean	Median	Ν	Geometric mean	Median		
10 - 20	3584	0.05	0.04	5793	0.05	0.04	815	0.33	0.38		
20 - 40	2238	0.10	0.07	4378	0.09	0.07	838	0.38	0.40		
40 - 60	1646	0.13	0.08	1837	0.22	0.25	304	0.54	0.58		
60 - 100	1791	0.15	0.09	1392	0.52	0.62	151	1.62	1.76		
100 - 150	980	0.45	0.48	896	1.01	1.20					
150 - 200	686	0.78	0.72	638	1.39	1.72					
200 - 250	583	0.79	0.71	453	1.66	1.95					
250 - 350	584	1.19	1.16	531	2.08	2.94	91	5.87	6.83		
350 – 450	568	0.80	0.72	348	3.36	4.03					
450 – 650	257	3.03	3.86	488	2.27	3.53					
Note: N = sample size											

8



#### The exfiltration rate increased with water level.



9



10

## The exfiltration rate increased with water temperature.





## The exfiltration rate decreased with cumulative rainfall depth.



### The exfiltration pathway changes as the shaft clogs.





Does exfiltration from a shaft interfere with flow from adjacent shafts?



- J 1 J J +1
- 1) "**None**":  $h_{j-1} < h_{cr}$  and  $h_{j+1} < h_{cr}$ ;
- 2) "**One**":  $(h_{j-1} > h_{cr} \text{ and } h_{j+1} < h_{cr})$  or  $(h_{j-1} > h_{cr} \text{ and } h_{j+1} < h_{cr})$ ;
- 3) "**Both**":  $h_{j-1} > h_{cr}$  and  $h_{j+1} > h_{cr}$ .





1

H

1

۱

``

a) Lower Water Level

b) Higher Water Level

None



1X



One



11

×



Both





### Conclusions

- The exfiltration rates generally increased with water level and temperature, but decreased with cumulative rainfall depth (as time progressed).
- The bottom clogging process can be divided into two stages: 1) quick clogging stage; 2) slow clogging stage.
- "Edge effect" was only found in strip 10D.







# Questions? Thank you!

Jiayu Liu, Ph.D, EIT | liu.jiayu@epa.gov | 732-321-6636

Office of Research and Development National Risk Management Research Laboratory Water Supply and Water Resources Division Urban Watershed Management Branch