

Resilience Analysis Using the Water Network Tool for Resilience

Regan Murray and Katherine Klise

EWRI Congress June 2018

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) through its Office of Research and Development funded and collaborated in the research described here under an Interagency Agreement with the Department of Energy's Sandia National Laboratories. It has been subjected to the Agency's review and has been approved for publication. Note that approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views of the Agency. Mention of trade names, products, or services does not convey official EPA approval, endorsement, or recommendation.

Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC., a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA-0003525.





SEPA

Background

Recent natural disasters and environmental emergencies have highlighted the vulnerability of water infrastructure:

- Hurricane Maria / Puerto Rico
- Hurricane Harvey / Texas
- Elk River Spill / Charleston, West Virginia
- Lead contamination / Flint, Michigan

General guidance on preparedness and resilience is available

Quantitative site-specific analysis is needed to justify capital investments in building resilience

2







Set EPA

How to Model Resilience

Potential Hazards

Natural Disasters

- Drought
- Earthquakes
- Floods
- Hurricanes
- Tornados
- Tsunamis
- Wildfires
- Winter Storms

Terrorist Attacks

Cyber Attacks

Transportation / Industrial Accidents and Spills

Consequences

Human Health Pipe Breaks Other Infrastructure Damage Power Outage Service Disruption (source treatment, distribution, storage) Loss of Access to Facilities / Supplies Loss of Pressure/Leaks Change in Water Quality

Environmental / Financial /Social

Response Actions Public Health Advisories

Repairing Pipe Breaks

Fixing Infrastructure

Damage

Restoring Power

Treating Water

Repairing Roads/Access

Fighting fires

Communication with Customers

Conservation

Mitigation Strategies

Back up Power / Fuel Storage

Earthquake resistant pipes

Securing facilities/assets

Water Quality Monitoring

Increased Redundancy

Practiced Emergency Response Plans

Water Network Tool for Resilience



€PA

⇔EPA

WNTR Functionality

WNTR functionality includes

- Model specific disaster/recovery incidents or run stochastic analysis of future scenarios
- Simulate hydraulics using demand driven or pressure dependent demand
- Compute resilience using hydraulic, topographic, water quality, and cost metrics
- Generate network graphics, time series plots, and animations
- Stop and restart simulations, change operations and network structure



WNTR Framework

- WNTR was designed to integrate analysis with efficient open source software tools
 - Numpy and Scipy
 - Pandas

EPA

- NetworkX
- Matplotlib and Plotly
- WNTR is EPANET compatible
 - Generate network models from EPANET INP files
 - Complete unit converter and read/write functionality





48

42 36 30

24 18

12



WNTR Use and Availability

WNTR Users

- UCLA
- University of South Florida
- NIST
- Arcadis
- Over 7000 downloads from pypi
- I70 clones and 39 forks on GitHub
- WNTR can be installed through the EPA GitHub Site: <u>https://github.com/USEPA/WNTR</u>
- Online software tests, issue and feature reporting, documentation, and contributor guidelines



⇔EPA

Resilience Analysis Options

I. Hydraulic connectivity during normal and abnormal times of service

Which tank supplies water to each critical asset in the network?

Which part of the network is pressurized by a particular pump?

2. Component criticality analysis (rank the impact of individual component failures)

How does the network operate when a single pipe, pump, or tank fails?

How does the network operate when fire conditions are implemented at a single node?

3. System resilience analysis (system wide damage and recovery actions)

After a magnitude 7 earthquake, which network components might be damaged and how should crews prioritize repair?

If the water treatment plant is offline for 5 days, which populations are most impacted? How much does water conservation help?

Water Utility Case Study

Poughkeepsie, New York

- Hudson River Source
- 80,000 population
- I0 MGD water treated
- 23 MG system storage
- 4628 junctions, 5204 pipes, 2 tanks

Resilience scenarios of concern

- Loss of source water due to contamination of river, frozen intake, or pump failure
- Large scale pipe break

WNTR Resilience analysis

- Hydraulic connectivity
- Pipe criticality analysis
- Compromised source water analysis



Loss of Source Water Analysis

- Loss of source due to river contamination, treatment plant failure, winter storm freezing intake, or power outage.
- How long can the system maintain service if water is not supplied from the treatment plant?
 - Run PDD simulation under the following conditions and extract pressure and water service availability over time. Shut off water supply from the treatment plant
 - Shut down the main pump station that delivers water to the surrounding town
 - Allow tanks to drain completely

SEPA

- Discontinue water service to large industrial users
- What mitigation strategies can be effective?



Tanks Draining After Shutdown

Tank levels during normal operations

Tank levels after water supply is shut off at hour 78











EPA Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

- Hydraulic connectivity: understand critical hydraulic pathways in the network in order to better understand what customers would be affected by shutdown over time
 - Run trace simulations to track the percent of flow originating from each node in the network
 - Gather upstream and downstream nodes from each node



Set EPA

Pipe Criticality Analysis

- Pipe criticality analysis: identify the number of people experiencing low pressure conditions following individual pipes closures
 - For each pipe >= I2 inches, run a PDD hydraulic simulation where the pipe is closed for 24 or 96 hours
 - Extract number of people impacted by pressure < 20 psi



Large Scale Pipe Break Analysis



♦ EPA

€PA Mitigation Strategies No conservation 100 Average system pressure 80 -No water to largest industrial user 60 -No water to largest industrial user + 15% 40 conservation - Source water shut 20 off

100

150

0

0

50

Time (hrs)

Long Term Power Outage

- Black Sky Event (>I month)
- I4 Day Army Water & Energy Directive
- Consequences
 - Reduction in water service availability over time
 - Reduction in quality of water provided
- Response
 - Identify bare minimum levels of emergency service (scale back on quantity and quality)
 - Direct/isolate flow only to critical customers
 - Public health orders / conservation

Mitigation

- Additional back up power, local generation
- Interconnections and agreements



Set EPA

Haywired Earthquake Scenario

- Magnitude 7 earthquake on Hayward Fault in San Francisco Bay Region
- Consequences
 - Reduction in water service availability over time
 - Reduction in pressure
- Response
 - Firefighting
 - Infrastructure repair
 - Public health orders / conservation
- Mitigation
 - Earthquake resistant pipes
 - Interconnections and agreements





Conclusions

- WNTR is a powerful tool for quantifying the resilience of water distribution systems to a variety of disaster scenarios
- WNTR extends the capabilities of basic hydraulic modeling to be more robust to failure scenarios
- By quantifying resilience, the benefits of different utility response strategies and long-term mitigation strategies can be compared
- WNTR is available at:

https://github.com/USEPA/WNTR

Regan Murray USEPA Office of Research & Development Drinking Water Treatment & Distribution Branch <u>Murray.regan@epa.gov</u>