### Disinfection of Wastewater with Peracetic Acid (PAA) and UV Combined Treatment: A Pilot Study

Achal Garg, Vasudevan Namboodiri, Bruce Smith, Abdulaziz Al-Anazi, Brindha Murugesan, and Tyler Bowman,

Metropolitan Sewer District of Greater Cincinnati,

US Environmental Protection Agency, Cincinnati.



## Disclaimer

Any mention of trade names, commercial entities, or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use by the City of Cincinnati or US EPA. The findings and conclusions in this report have not been formally disseminated by the U.S. EPA and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy.

## Need for Wastewater Effluent Disinfection

- Wastewater effluent is loaded with infectious agents such as bacteria, viruses, protozoans and helminths.
- These infectious agents must be inactivated before WW effluent can be discharged to protect public health.
- WWTP must comply with the permit limit for bacteria.

## Methods of Wastewater Disinfection

- Conventional Methods
  - Chlorine products: gas, liquid, solid.
  - UV irradiation.
  - Ozone.
- Alternative Methods
  - Peracids: Peracetic acid (PAA), Performic acid (PFA).
  - PAA+UV.

![](_page_3_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Alternative Disinfection Methods At MSD

- In 2016, MSD conducted a pilot study at its Little Miami WW treatment plant with peracetic acid (PAA).
- PAA was supplied by PeroxyChem.
- Results suggest that PAA is a better disinfectant over sodium hypochlorite.
- Lower doses and shorter contact time needed.
- PAA disintegrated faster without producing known toxic disinfectant byproducts.

## Combining PAA with UV

- After successful pilot study with PAA, MSD looked at combining PAA and UV.
- MSD operates 5 WWTPs with UV disinfection system.
- Many reports suggest synergistic/additive effect of PAA on UV efficiency.
- Our lab studies show low dose PAA pre-treatment of effluent can significantly improve UV disinfection efficiency.

## Mechanism of Action: UV vs. PAA

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### PAA Treatment

CH3COOOH +  $UV \xrightarrow{hv} °OH + CH3CO^{\circ} \xrightarrow{Pollutants} CO_2 + H_2O + Inorganic ions$ Hydroxyl radical Acetyl radical

## PAA-UV Study at Muddy Creek Plant

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Objective

Verify that PAA and UV combined treatment offers better disinfection than individual treatments in a full-scale field study.

## Muddy Creek Plant: Aerial View

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Muddy Creek Plant

- 15 MGD on an average day, capable of handling up to 30 MGD.
- Primarily domestic wastewater.
- Activated sludge treatment process.
- UV irradiation for disinfection.
- UV disinfection system is consisted of two banks.
- Each bank has 6 modules of 8 lamps each, i.e., 48 lamps/bank.

![](_page_10_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Study Design

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Study Design.

- It was a full scale plant-level pilot study.
- Effluent was treated with UV, PAA individually or PAA-UV combination.
- For UV , two doses were used: 41 or 89 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>.
- For PAA, four concentrations were used: 0.75, 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 mg/L.
- For PAA-UV combination, both UV doses were combined with the four PAA doses.

## Study Design..

- Secondary effluent was characterized before and after the treatment.
- The following parameters were monitored:
  - pH.
  - Total suspended solids (TSS).
  - Chemical oxygen demand (COD).
  - E. coli.
  - Fecal coliform.
  - PAA residual.
  - Flow rate.
  - UV transmittance.
  - UV dose.

# Study Design: Sampling Sites

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Results

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Effect of PAA and UV combined treatment on E. coli inactivation

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig 2- Effect of PAA and UV combined treatment on E. coli inactivation.

17

## Effect of PAA and UV combined treatment on fecal coliform inactivation

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

PAA CONCENTRATION (PPM)

# Log reduction of E.coli after UV, PAA and PAA+UV treatments

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

Treatment

### Log reduction of fecal coliform after UV, PAA and PAA+UV treatments

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Treatment

## PAA Residual (Arithmetic mean; mg/L)

PAA Residual (Arithmetic mean; mg/L)		
Dose	Residual	
0.75	0.27	
1	0.27	
1.5	0.48	
2	0.78	
	(Contact Time 20 min.)	

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Effect of PAA on COD and TSS

#### Effect of PAA treatment on COD and TSS

(values are arithmetic mean; mg/L)

	Control	PAA only
COD	23	20
TSS	1.15	1.12

## Conclusions

- PAA and UV combined treatment is more effective in inactivating microbes.
- 1 mg/L PAA pre-treatment combined with 50% UV (41 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>) dose can meet permit requirements.
- The increased disinfection efficiency is an additive effect of PAA + UV treatments.
- No synergism was observed.
- Low PAA residuals recorded 20 min after treatment.
- PAA-UV combination treatment has potential of saving energy and reducing treatment cost.

## Acknowledgements

Bill Beyer, Treatment Supervisor, Tim Hauck, Plant Supervisor, plant operators and support staff.

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Thank you

## Any Questions??

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)