DBPs and Biofilm Interactions in Distribution Systems

Gerald E. Speitel Jr. University of Texas at Austin Department of Civil, Architectural & Environmental Engineering

> David G. Wahman National Risk Management Research Laboratory U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

> > Julian Fairey University of Arkansas Department of Civil Engineering

Drinking Water Biofilm

• Structure

- Thin (1- 100 μm)
- Patchy and non-uniform (low nutrient environment)
- Cells embedded in extracellular matrix (EPS)
- Diverse microbial community
- Location
 - Pipe walls
 - Storage tank walls
 - Sediment ("thicker biofilms")
- Impact (water quality degradation)
 - Corrosion/metals release
 - Residual loss
 - Harbor pathogens
 - DBP formation from reactions with disinfectants



© 1996 CENTER FOR BIOFILM ENGINEERING, MSU-BOZEMAN

DBP Interactions with Biofilm

- DBP biodegradation by metabolism or cometabolism
- DBP concentrations likely to be too low to support microbial growth in the absence of other carbon and energy sources such as NOM and ammonia
- DBP formation from reactions between disinfectants and metabolic intermediates, soluble metabolic products (SMPs) and extracellular polymeric substances (EPS)
- Toxicity to biofilm from intermediate products of DBP cometabolism
- Competing formation and degradation reactions: some DBPs may increase while others may decrease

Types of Metabolism

- 1. Primary Metabolism (AOC, Ammonia)
 - Contaminant is a carbon and/or energy source for microbial growth (organic chemicals typically both; ammonia is an energy source)
- 2. Secondary Metabolism (DBPs)
 - Chemical is a carbon and/or energy source
 - Concentration is too low (<S_{min}) to support microbial growth
 - Another growth substrate is required to sustain organisms

Types of Metabolism

- 3. Cometabolism (DBPs)
 - Not a carbon and energy source
 - Fortuitous degradation by non-specific enzymes (AMO)
 - A growth substrate is required
 - May harm bacteria
 - Toxic intermediates
 - Reductant depletion
 - Enzyme competition

Reason for Disinfectant Residual

- US regulatory requirement \rightarrow "detectable"
 - Surface water (SW)
 - Groundwater under direct influence (GWUDI) of SW
 - Heterotrophic plate count (HPC) < 500/mL ≡ "detectable"</p>
- Intent behind regulations
 - Distribution system integrity
 - Proper system maintenance
 - Identify & limit outside contamination
 - Limit heterotrophic bacteria & Legionella growth
 - Provide quantifiable minimum target \rightarrow action

Free Chlorine Residual Requirements



Wahman & Pressman (2015) Journal American Water Works Association

Total Chlorine Residual Requirements



Wahman & Pressman (2015) Journal American Water Works Association

Disinfectant Interactions with Biofilm

Monochloramine Application

Monochloramine





- Greater penetration than free chlorine
- · Less biofilm detachments/sloughing
- Mixed with live and dead cells
- Microbial activity ↓ with time



 Expansion of the fluffy and bulky slime → biofilm density (p)↓
Bacteria continues to be oxidized, but fluffy (non-reactive) slime remains

Free Chlorine Application



Phase 1 Monochloramine



- Slowly progressed inward
- 5 hours @ surface \rightarrow 2 mg Cl₂/L
- 119 days @ 3,200 μ m depth \rightarrow 2 mg Cl₂/L
- Greater than 6,200 μ m \rightarrow no measurable monochloramine (30% penetration)

Phase 1 Final Profile Summary



- Minor pH decrease $(8.0 \rightarrow 7.8)$
- DO consumption start corresponded with monochloramine decrease
- Complete nitrification → oxygen consumption corresponded
- DO consumption continued after ammonia removal → heterotrophic activity

Phase 2 Free Chlorine



- Slow free chlorine penetration
- 5 hours @ surface \rightarrow minimal free chlorine
- 60 days @ 250 μ m depth \rightarrow 0.2 mg Cl₂/L
- Greater than 500 μ m \rightarrow no measurable free chlorine (3% penetration)

Phase 3 Monochloramine



- Final monochloramine penetration approaches Phase 1 end
- 9 days @ 1,700 μ m depth \rightarrow 2 mg Cl₂/L
- 55 days @ 2,700 μ m depth \rightarrow 2 mg \overline{Cl}_2/L
- Greater than 7,500 μ m \rightarrow no measurable monochloramine (40% penetration)



Adapted from Sayavedra-Soto and Arp (2011) Nitrification



Adapted from Sayavedra-Soto and Arp (2011) Nitrification

THM Kinetics – Experiment



Transformation Capacity (T_c) $T_{c} = \frac{S_{I_{THM}} - S_{F_{THM}}}{X}$

UT Research		Literature Reported Values			
Chemical	T _c (nmol/mg)	Chemical	T _c (nmol/mg)	Source	
TCM	77	TCM	92-150	Ely (1996)	
BDCM	45	TCE	61-99	Alvarez-	
DBCM	31	1,1-DCE	24-45	Cohen and Speitel	
TBM	22	1,2-DCA	>3,500	(2001)	

Iodine-Substituted THMs

- More toxic than corresponding chlorine and brominesubstituted analogues
- Formation favored as iodide concentration in source water increases
- Formation greater with monochloramine vs. chlorine because monochloramine oxidation of HOI is slower
- Expectations for cometabolism of Iodo-THMs
 - Kinetics should be faster than for CI and Br-substituted THMs
 - Toxicity should be higher than for CI and Br-substituted THMs (i.e., low transformation capacity)

Cometabolism of Other Chemicals

- Non-specific oxygenases from bacteria growing on simple aliphatics (CH₄), simple aromatics (phenol, toluene) and ammonia
- Range of chemicals include:
 - Halogenated alkanes
 - Halogenated alkenes
 - Halogenated aromatics
 - NDMA
 - Some pharmaceuticals
 - Monochloramine

Microbial Diversity in Distribution Systems



Kotlarz (Unpublished)

Pinto, Xi, & Raskin (2012) ES&T

Microbial Diversity in Distribution Systems



Bautista-de los Santos, Schroeder, Sevillano-Rivera, Sungthong, Ijaz, Sloana, & Pinto (2016) Env. Sci: Water Res. & Tech.

Heterotrophs

- Much phylogenic diversity in distribution systems; Alphaand Betaproteobacteria typically dominate
- Metabolic diversity a characteristic common to some phyla found (e.g., *Betaproteobacteria*)
- Organisms also likely to be oligotrophic
- Influencing factors:
 - Upstream treatment processes
 - Disinfectant type and concentration
 - Environmental conditions (e.g., temperature, pH)
 - Hydraulic conditions
 - Distribution system and premise plumbing materials
 - Nutrient availability

HAA Biodegradation



- Initial steps are dehalogenation reactions; products highly biodegradable
- Bacteria can grow on HAAs; concentration high enough in drinking water?
- Rates: CIAA > Cl₂AA >> Cl₃AA; Br substitution increases rates relative to CI
- Considerable evidence that mono- and dihalo-AA's can biodegrade in distribution systems; disinfectant concentration, temperature, and pH control likelihood
- By analogy, monoiodo- and diido-acetic acids should be readily biodegradable Ellis, Hanson, Sibley, Shahid, Fineberg, Solomon, Muir, & Mabury (2001) *Chemosphere*

NDMA Biodegradation



- First step is oxygenation via an oxygenase enzyme
- Evidence for both metabolism and cometabolism in the environment (e.g., riverbank filtration)
- Products may include formaldehyde and methylated macromolecules

Primary metabolism not likely under drinking water concentrations
Speitel (Unpublished)

Disinfectant Interactions with Metabolites

Monochloramine Impacts



Adapted from Sayavedra-Soto and Arp (2011) Nitrification



Wahman, Speitel, & Machavaram (2014) Water Research

Monochloramine & Hydroxylamine Reaction



Wahman & Speitel (2015) Water Research

NDMA Formation



NDMA Formation

 Proposed monochloramine decay pathway



Disinfectant Interactions with EPS & SMP



Wang, Choi, & Seo (2013) ES&T

Soluble Microbial Products (SMP)

- SMP: soluble organic compounds released during normal biomass metabolism and decay
- Lower molecular weight than EPS but similar: proteins, polysaccharides, humic-like materials
- Biomass-Associated Products (BAP): SMP produced from hydrolysis of biomass, in particular from extracellular polymeric substances (EPS)
- Utilization Associated Products (UAP): SMP produced directly as part of electron-donor oxidation
- SMP is biodegradable, UAP more readily so than BAP
- Nitrifying bacteria produce SMP, which in turn can support the growth of heterotrophic bacteria
- SMP can also exert a disinfectant demand and lead to the formation of DBPs

Monomer Composition

Wide variety of amino acids

- Glycine
- Aspartic Acid
- Glutamic Acid
- Alanine
- Polysaccharide monomers:
 - D-glucosamine
 - D-glucuronic acid
 - D-glucose
 - L-fucose

Cellular & UAP Reactions with Monochloramine



Chlorine Transfer from NH₂Cl to Amine Nitrogen



DBP Formation



Wang, Choi, & Seo (2013) ES&T

DBP Formation from Amino Acids



Selbes, Shan, Bekaroglu & Karanfil (2015) Recent Advances in Disinfection By–Products

Nitrification & Breakpoint Chlorination Impacts







Zeng & Mitch (2016) ES&T

Significance of UAP & EPS as DBP Source

Growth Substrate	UAP Formed (mg C/L)	EPS Formed (mg C/L)	Max. Reactive SMP Formed (mg C/L)	Max. Chloroform (µg/L)	Max. HAA ₃ (µg/L)	Max. HAN ₂ (µg/L)	Max. TCNM (µg/L)
1 mg N/L	0.13	0.20	0.33	13	17	0.41	0.21
0.1 mg C/L	0.012	0.018	0.030	1.2	1.6	0.04	0.02

HAA₃ = monochloroacetic acid + dichloroacetic acid + trichloroacetic acid

HAN₂ = dichloroacetonitrile + trichloroacetonitrile

TCNM = trichloronitromethane

DBP yields from Wang, Choi, & Seo (2013) ES&T

UAP/EPS yields from Merkey, Rittmann, & Chopp (2009) Journal of Theoretical Biology

Greater Challenges

- Disinfectant residual regulations (i.e., measurable concentration) in contradiction to nature of concentration gradients in biofilms
- Are we fighting a losing battle in the US with current approaches?
- Are disinfectant residuals in distribution systems a net benefit?
- Or, should we commit to treating water to a greater extent as in some European countries, so that it is sufficiently "stable" to not need residual disinfection?

Acknowledgements Collaborators Andrea Henry **Ben Bayer** Ram Kannappan Juan Pedro Maestre Lynn Katz Jonathan Pressman Woo Hyoung Lee Hong Liu **Funding Agencies** Water Research Foundation **Texas Advanced Technology Research Program USEPA**

Questions?

This presentation has been subjected to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's review and has been approved for external publication. Any opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Agency, therefore, no official endorsement should be inferred. Any mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.