

PEER REVIEW PLAN

Title:

An Assessment of Potential Mining Impacts on Salmon Ecosystems of Bristol Bay, Alaska (Second External Review Draft)

Purpose/Objective:

The purpose of this assessment is to understand how future large-scale mining may affect water quality and the Bristol Bay salmon fisheries, which includes the largest wild sockeye salmon fishery in the world. Bristol Bay, Alaska, is home to a salmon fishery that is of significant economic and subsistence value to the people of Alaska and that fishery depends on clean water and a healthy watershed to remain sustainable. The Pebble Limited Partnership and other mining interests are actively exploring large-scale porphyry copper (i.e., gold, copper, molybdenum) mining in the headwaters of two drainage basins in Bristol Bay that provide key habitat for salmon. The analysis will be strengthened by scientific peer review, tribal consultation, federal and state agency participation, as well as public and industry input. EPA will accept and consider public input during development of the watershed assessment and will continue to work closely with tribal governments and state and federal agencies as we undertake this analysis.

Product Completion Date (Projected):

12/30/2013

OMB Category:

Highly Influential

Peer Review Leader:

Kate Schofield
email: schofield.kate@epa.gov

External Peer Review Mechanism:

Letter Review by Independent Subject Experts

Peer Review Expected to Begin:

4th Quarter, Fiscal Year 2013

EPA's Fiscal Years run from October to September.
Quarters for Fiscal Year 2013:
1st: October - December, 2012
2nd: January - March, 2013
3rd: April - June, 2013
4th: July - September, 2013

Was a deferral to peer review invoked?

No

Will an alternative peer review process be employed?

No

Number of Peer Reviewers		more than 10
Primary Disciplines needed in the review:	, Fisheries Biology, anthropology,wildlife biology, mining engineering,hydrology	
Who will select the reviewers?	Outside Organization: Contractor selected original peer reviewers for the first external (public) panel review of the first draft. This review is a follow-on using the same peer reviewers.	
Will the public, including scientific or professional societies be asked to nominate peer reviewers?		No
Will public nominations be allowed through the Peer Review Agenda?		No
Will there be opportunity for public comment on the product?		Yes
Describe How and When	Via Federal Register Notice	
Will the Agency provide significant and relevant public comments to the peer reviewers before they conduct their review?		Yes
Will the review be a panel, conducted in public?		No
Will public comments be allowed at the panel review?		No