

Stormwater management impacts on urban stream water quality and quantity during and after development in Clarksburg, MD

J.V. Loperfido¹, Gregory B. Noe², S. Taylor Jarnagin³, Yusuf M. Mohamoud⁴, Keith Van Ness⁵, and Dianna M. Hogan¹

 ¹Eastern Geographic Science Center, U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA
 ²National Research Program, U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA
 ³Landscape Ecology Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ORD/NERL/ESD, Research Triangle Park, NC
 ⁴Ecosystems Research Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ORD/NERL/ESD, Athens, GA
 ⁵Department of Environmental Protection, Montgomery County MD, Rockville, MD

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

AGU Fall Meeting, December 7, 2012

Background

- Problem: Chesapeake Bay eutrophication and hypoxia
- Urban and Suburban contributions to the Bay¹:
 - 15% of total phosphorus
 - 8% of total nitrogen
 - 16% of sediment
- Variety of sources requires many solutions to achieve Chesapeake Bay TMDL goals
 - 24% P reduction
 - 25% N reduction
 - 20% Sediment reduction



Phosphorus loading to the Cheseapeake Bay¹



¹Based on data from US EPA, Chesapeake Bay TMDL for Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Sediment, 2010

Background

- Stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs) are used to address urban stormwater runoff:
 - Detention/retention Ponds
 - Infiltration trenches/Grassed swales
 - Filters/Bioretention cells
- BMPs have traditionally been constructed in a centralized manner to address urban stormwater runoff
- Recently, distributed BMPs have been used to achieve low impact development by providing treatment operations in series and on the landscape





Research Objectives

- Assess how urban stormwater management strategies utilizing either centralized or distributed BMPs affect:
 - Water quantity: Magnitude and timing of water export
 - Water quality: Magnitude and form of phosphorus, nitrogen, and sediment export





Study location and design

- Study Sites: Montgomery County, Maryland
- Flairated vietershesel stadly Bay Watershed
- States pents spansive clarks and process and protection in distributed BMPs catchment





Figures modified from Loperfido and Hogan (2012), USGS Fact Sheet 2012-3079

Centralized versus distributed BMPs



Centralized BMPs

-located instream or directly adjacent to stream-treat larger areas





Distributed BMPs

-located on the landscape
-often connected in series
-protected riparian zone





Figures modified from Loperfido et al. (in review), submitted to Journal of Hydrology Jul 5, 2012; data from 2011

These data are preliminary and are subject to revision. They are being provided to meet the need for timely best science' information. The assessment is provided on the condition that neither the U.S. Geological Survey nor the United States Government may be held liable for any damages resulting from the authorized or unauthorized use of the assessment.

Development effects on water quality and quantity

- Best available sediment & erosion control (S&EC) BMPs used during development of Distributed BMPs catchment
- Depressed IBI scores during peak development indicate distributed S&EC BMPs did not prevent stream impacts from urbanization
- Distributed BMPs resulted in increased streamflow during development





Figure modified from Hogan et al. (in review), submitted to *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*. These data are preliminary and are subject to revision. They are being provided to meet the need for timely best science' information. The assessment is provided on the condition that neither the U.S. Geological Survey nor the United States Government may be held liable for any damages resulting from the authorized or unauthorized use of the assessment.

Water quantity effects of stormwater BMPs – post development

- Water quantity improvements provided by Distributed BMPs as compared to Centralized BMPs
 - A. Decreased maximum discharge during typical precipitation events
 - B. Reduced water export as peak discharge during extreme precipitation (Tropical Storm Lee, 2011)
 - Overall more 'consistent' discharge





Figures modified from Loperfido et al. (in review), submitted to *Journal of Hydrology* Jul 5, 2012; data from 2011 These data are preliminary and are subject to revision. They are being provided to meet the need for timely best science' information. The assessment is provided on the condition that neither the U.S. Geological Survey nor the United States Government may be held liable for any damages resulting from the authorized or unauthorized use of the assessment.

Catchment-scale water quality effects of stormwater BMPs

 Preliminary analyses indicate impacts of stormwater BMP configuration



These data are preliminary and are subject to revision. They are being provided to meet the need for timely best science' information. The assessment is provided on the condition that neither the U.S. Geological Survey nor the United States Government may be held liable for any damages resulting from the authorized or unauthorized use of the assessment.

Catchment-scale water quality effects of stormwater BMPs

 Preliminary analyses indicate impacts of stormwater BMP configuration and *historical* land use





These data are preliminary and are subject to revision. They are being provided to meet the need for timely best science' information. The assessment is provided on the condition that neither the U.S. Geological Survey nor the United States Government may be held liable for any damages resulting from the authorized or unauthorized use of the assessment.

Distributed BMP treatment train monitoring

 Monitoring is underway to examine the effect of gray infrastructure vs. green infrastructure on distributed BMP treatment train performance



Research led by Taylor Jarnagin and Yusuf Mohamoud – US EPA



Preliminary Stormwater Management Implications

- Discharge from Distributed BMPs more consistent
 - Increased baseflow and reduced peak discharge (duration and water yield) → more favorable ecological conditions or more stable stream banks
 - Reduced discharge during extreme events could reduce flooding that may be associated with future shifts in climate
- Water Quality
 - Historical land use should be considered when selecting watersheds for urban development due to legacy nutrients from fertilizer application on agricultural lands
- Distributed sediment and erosion control BMPs
 - Did not appear to eliminate stream impacts due to urbanization (i.e. declining IBI scores)



This information is preliminary and is subject to revision. It is being provided to meet the need for timely 'best science' information. The assessment is provided on the condition that neither the U.S. Geological Survey nor the United States Government may be held liable for any damages resulting from the authorized or unauthorized use of the assessment.

Acknowledgments

- Funding:
 - USGS Programs: Geographic Analysis and Monitoring Program, Mendenhall Research Fellowship Program, Chesapeake Priority Ecosystem Studies, and National Research Program
 - US EPA: The stream gauge data was funded in part by the EPA under assistance agreements DW14921533, DW14921811, and DW14922385 to the USGS
- Montgomery County DEP
 - Ho-Ching Fong, Derek Isensee, Amy Stevens
- EGSC
 - Annette Hall, Brianna Hammond (PSU), Daniel K. Jones (UMBC), Stephanie Sparkman (GMU)



Questions

 J.V. Loperfido jloperfido@usgs.gov



