# Preface: Special Issue of Atmospheric Environment for AQMEII

Authors:

Stefano Galmarini, European Union Joint Research Center

S. Trivikrama Rao, US Environmental Protection Agency

Douw Steyn, University of British Columbia

#### Preface

### S. Galmarini, ST Rao and D.G. Steyn

In December 2008, a handful of European and North American scientists got together to discuss a possible collaboration on the evaluation of regional-scale air quality models. Thus, the Air Quality Model Evaluation International Initiative (AQMEII) was started with the support of the European Commission Joint Research Centre, US-EPA, and Environment Canada. AQMEII's main goals are:

- exchanging expert knowledge in regional air quality modelling,
- · identifying knowledge gaps in air quality science,
- developing innovative methods for evaluating the uncertainty in air quality modelling
- building a common strategy on model development and future research priorities,
- establishing methodologies for model evaluation to increase knowledge on processes and to support the use of models for policy development
- preparing coordinated research projects and inter-comparison exercises.

While the model evaluation framework was developed already in 2007 during a workshop organised by US-EPA (Dennis *et al.*, 2010), in a follow-up meeting organised by the EC-Joint Research Centre, known as the Stresa workshop in 2009 (Galmarini *et al.*, 2010), the need for a comprehensive model evaluation activity was identified.

The two-continent model evaluation exercise (Rao et al., 2011) was set up and the results are summarised in this issue of Atmospheric Environment. The idea was to put at work the four model evaluation modes identified by Dennis et al. (2010), namely, operational, diagnostic, dynamic and probabilistic evaluation. The aim of this exercise was to collect almost all regional-scale air quality models used for research and policy support in Europe and North America (NA) from public and private sectors and have them to simulate the air quality over North America and Europe for the year 2006. In the 15 month that followed the Stresa meeting, the two modelling communities where fully engaged and model results collected. Table 1 summarizes the groups that actively took part in this project and submitting their model results. The coordination of the NA activities was led by US-EPA while the EU ones by the Joint Research Center. A preparatory phase was set up to gather all necessary information needed by the modelling groups, namely, model input data. Toward this purpose, US-EPA and TNO (NL) prepared emission inventory for 2006 for NA and Europe respectively; Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, IPSL (France) and USA-EPA prepared the 2006 European and NA meteorology respectively; JRC/IES, Environment Canada, and US-EPA collected compiled and harmonized, monitoring data for the two continents; ECMWF/Meteo France provided the boundary conditions for the modelling domains in the two continents.

Table 1. Participants to the AQMEII two-continent model valuation activity

A large number of research and operational monitoring networks in the two continents provided a massive amount of experimental evidence for. This includes 2006 for the two continents one year of continuous monitoring for almost 4000 stations for 6 gas phase species (03, C0, S02, HN03 and N02), 2700 stations of PMs, 4300 meteorology at surface monitoring points, 1300 meteorological profiles at 30 locations, 800 ozone profiles, and 2000+ aircraft profiles from MOZAIC. The large variety of sources of information led to a massive effort in data harmonisation and screening. All data were transferred to the JRC-ENSEMBLE system where model data were also collected as explained later.

A total number of 22 models took part to the simulation for the two continents and delivered results listed in Table2. None of the modelling groups had access to the full set of observational data.

	Gas phase
3D fields	•O3, SO2, NO, NO2, N2O5, PAN, HNO3, NH3, CO, VOC, HCHO Isoprene, Toluene, Ethene, OH, HO2, H2O2, NOx(=NO+NO2), NOz(≈PAN+HNO3+N2O5), NOy=NOx+NOz
Hourly resolution  (averages for gas/aerosols; instantaneous for meteorology)	<ul> <li>PM2.5, PM10 mass</li> <li>PM components: PM<sub>2.5</sub>-SO<sub>4</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>-NO<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>-NH<sub>4</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>-EC, PM<sub>2.5</sub>-POC, PM<sub>2.5</sub>-TOC, PM<sub>2.5</sub>-Crustal, PM<sub>2.5</sub>-Sea_salt, PM<sub>2.5</sub>-Inorganic_Total, p-SO<sub>4</sub>, p-NO<sub>3</sub>, p-NH<sub>4</sub></li> <li>Meteorology</li> </ul>
2D fields	T, T <sub>d</sub> , P, u, v, RH  Other variables  Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD; vertical column)  Total column NO2 for comparison to satellite
Hourly resolution  (averages for gas/aerosols; instantaneous for meteorology)	measurements  • Wet Deposition: HSO <sub>3</sub> -, SO <sub>4</sub> =, NO <sub>3</sub> -, NH <sub>4</sub> +  • Dry deposition: SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , HNO <sub>3</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , PAN, O <sub>3</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , HCHO, p-SO <sub>4</sub> , p-NO <sub>3</sub> , p-NH <sub>4</sub> , p-TC, p-CM, p-SS, PM <sub>2.5</sub> Meteorology  Liquid precip, PBL height, SW radiation at ground, cloud cover, soil moisture, snow depth

Table 2. Data requested to modelling groups

Given the scale of this exercise and the number of participating models, this Atmospheric Environment special issue as been organised as follows. The first four papers will concentrate in setting-up the case study by presenting the model input information used by the modelers in a comparative way between NA and Europe. The papers on emissions (Pierce et al.), meteorology (Vautard et al.), boundary conditions (Schere et al.), monitoring data (Eder et al.) present the quality of the data and relevancy to both continents. The last three papers concentrate more on the operational and diagnostic evaluations of the models. In those papers, the motivation for the selection of 2006 as case study will also be included. Galmarini et al. will describe in what way the information collected (modelling and monitoring) was centrally managed through the IRC-ENSEMBLE system and what other tools such as US-EPA AMET, have been an important aid in model evaluation in AQMEII and the way in which it was adapted for the twocontinent exercise. In the paper, the importance of centralizing data gathering, compilation and harmonization in a web facility that guarantees accessibility to the information in numerical and graphical forms to all participations in support to model development and evaluation is stressed. Solazzo et al. (a and b) present the collective analysis and evaluation of all model results for the two most relevant pollutants, namely, Ozone and PM. While the ozone analysis concentrates on ensemble investigation (probabilistic evaluation), the PM is analysed from the episode and operational evaluation view point. The rest of the papers are individual contributions of the modelling groups that have analysed diagnostically the model performances. With respect to the model evaluation framework (Dennis et al., 2010), the research described in this issue covers very extensively operational, diagnostic and probabilistic model evaluation.

The contribution presented in this issue is just a drop in the ocean of what can still be learned from air quality model evaluation activities and monitoring data analysis. The huge effort made in gathering monitoring data from all data providers (listed in the acknowledgment hereafter), will remain, together with the model results produced to simulate them. As noted by Galmarini and Rao (2011), this information is made available to the science community for future research and will still be used by the present consortium. The collection of all available information is equivalent to the performance of two continental scale air quality experiments. Other information available for 2006 and not considered in this activity can still be included to complete the picture and provide an even deepeer set of data to the modelling community.

Model evaluation still remains the central point of any activity, namely, integrated assessment that considers model simulations as starting point of analysis past, current or future scenario. AQMEII in terms of initiative, enthusiastic participation, and massive effort has demonstrated among other things that a large amount of existing information is out there and can be used in a very effective way with the help of technology to improve science in the model . We need to summarize lessons learned before we introduce Phase 2 activity and its importantance.

While model evaluation research activities will continue beyond this phase, AQMEII will move to another important aspect of regional scale air quality modelling. A new model evaluation activity is in fact being set up relates to

coupled modelled and the analysis of model capabilities to simulated chemistry-radiation-dynamics coupled processes (Alapaty et al. 2012). The activity will still involve the North American and European modelling communities in line with the AQMEII mission and will still relate to the simulation of air quality in the two continents with the specific attention to coupled processes. Interaction with other international modelling programs like the Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution (HTAP) program of Longrange Transport of Air Pollution (LTRAP/UNECE) is also being explored.

#### Disclaimer

Although this paper has been subjected to review and clearance by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, it does not necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Agency

## Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the contribution of various groups to the first air Quality Model Evaluation international Initiative (AQMEII) activity. The following agencies have prepared the data sets used in this study: U.S. EPA (North American emissions processing and gridded meteorology); U.S. EPA, Environment Canada, Mexican Secretariat of the Environment and Natural Resources (Secretaría de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales-SEMARNAT) and National Institute of Ecology (Instituto Nacional de Ecología-INE) (North American national emissions inventories); TNO (European emissions processing): Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, IPSL, CEA/CNRS/UVSQ (gridded meteorology for Europe); ECMWF/GEMS project & Météo-France/CNRM-GAME (Chemical boundary conditions). Ambient North American concentration measurements were extracted from Environment Canada's National Atmospheric Chemistry Database (NAtChem) PM database and provided by several U.S. and Canadian agencies (AQS, CAPMoN, CASTNet, IMPROVE, NAPS, SEARCH and STN networks); North American precipitationchemistry measurements were extracted from NAtChem's precipitationchemistry data base and were provided by several U.S. and Canadian agencies (CAPMoN, NADP, NBPMN, NSPSN, and REPQ networks); the WMO World Ozone and Ultraviolet Data Centre (WOUDC) and its data contributing agencies provided North American and European ozonesonde profiles; NASA's AErosol RObotic NETwork (AeroNet) and its data-contributing agencies provided North American and European AOD measurements; the MOZAIC Data Centre and its contributing airlines provided North American and European aircraft takeoff and landing vertical profiles; for European air quality data the following data centers were used: EMEP European Environment Agency/European Topic Center on Air and Climate Change/AirBase provided European air- and precipitationchemistry data. The Finish Meteorological Institute for providing biomass burning emission data for Europe. Data from meteorological station monitoring networks were provided by air quality modeling systems NOAA and Environment Canada (for the US and Canadian meteorological network data) and the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) data support section.

#### References

....

- Alapaty K., R. Mathur, J. Pleim, C. Hogrefe, S. T. Rao, V. Ramaswamy, S. Galmarini, M. Schaap, P. Makar, R. Vautard, A. Baklanov, G. Kallos, B. Vogel, R. Sokhi. New Directions: Understanding interactions of air quality and climate change at regional scales, Atmospheric Environment, 49, March 2012, Pages 419-421
- Dennis, R., Fox, T., Fuentes, M., Gilliland, A., Hanna, S., Hogrefe, C., Irwin, J., (...), Venkatram, (2010), A. A framework for evaluating regional-scale numerical photochemical modeling systems *Environmental Fluid Mechanics*, 10 (4), pp. 471-489.
- Galmarini S., R. Bianconi, W. Klug, T. Mikkelsen, R. Addis, S. Andronopoulos, P. Astrup, A. Baklanov, J. Bartniki, J. C. Bartzis, R. Bellasio, F. Bompay, R. Buckley, M. Bouzom, H. Champion, R. D'Amours, E. Davakis, H. Eleveld, G. T. Geertsema, H. Glaab, M. Kollax, M. Ilvonen, A. Manning, U. Pechinger, C. Persson, E. Polreich, S. Potemski, M. Prodanova, J. Saltbones, H. Slaper, M. A. Sofiev, D. Syrakov, J. H. Sørensen, L. Van der Auwera, I. Valkama, R. Zelazny (2004) Ensemble dispersion forecasting, Part I: Concept, Approach and Indicators, Atmos. Environ., 38, 28, 4607-4617.
- Galmarini S., Steyn D.G., Schere K.L. and Moran M.D., (2010), Advancing the evaluation of regional-scale air quality models, EUR 24245 EN, ISBN 978-92-79-15007-4
- Galmarini S. and Rao ST, (2011), The AQMEII two-continent Regional Air Quality Model evaluation study: Fueling ideas with unprecedented data Atmos. Envir., 45, 14, 2464
- Rao S.T., S. Galmarini and K. Puckett, Air quality model evaluation international Initiative (AQMEII): advancing state-of-science in regional photochemical

- modeling and its applications, *Bull. Am. Meteor Soc.* **92** (1) (2011), pp. 23-30
- Vautard R., Moran M. D., Solazzo E., Gilliam R. C., Mathias V., Bianconi R., Chemel C., Ferreira J., Geyer B., Hansen A. B., Jericevic A., Prank M., Segers A., Silver J. D., Werhahn J., Wolke R., Rao S.T., and Galmarini S., Evaluation of the meteorological forcing used for the Air Quality Model Evaluation International Initiative (AQMEII) air quality simulations, this issue
- Schere K., Flemming J., Vautard R., Chemel C., Colette A., Hogrefe C., Bessagnet B., Meleux F., Mathur R., Roselle S., Hu R.-M., Sokhi R. S., Rao S.T., Galmarini S., Trace Gas/Aerosol Boundary Concentrations and their Impacts on Continental-Scale AQMEII Modeling Domains, this issue
- Galmarini S., R. Bianconi, E. Solazzo, W. Appel, S.T. Rao, S. Mosca, ENSEMBLE and AMET: two systems and approaches to a harmonized, simplified and efficient assistance to air quality models development and evaluation
- Appel K. W., C. Chemel, S. J. Roselle, X. V. Francis, R. S. Sokhi, S.T. Rao and S. Galmirini Examination of the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model performance for North America and Europe for the AQMEII project
- Gilliam R. C., Godowitch J. M., and S T. Rao Improving the Characterization of Lower Troposphere Transport with Four Dimensional Data Assimilation
- Pirovano G., A. Balzarini, B. Bessagnet, C. Emery, G. Kallos, F. Meleux, C. Mitsakou, U. Nopmongcol, G.M. Riva, G. Yarwood. Investigating impacts of chemistry and transport model formulation on model performance at European scale.
- Solazzo E., R. Bianconi, R. Vautard, B. Bessagnet, C. Chemel, I. Coll, J. Ferreira, R. Forkel, X. V. Francis, A. I. Miranda, M. D. Moran, U. Nopmongcol, G. Pirovano, K. N. Sartelet, R. S. Sokhi, J. Werhahn, R. Wolke, G. Yarwood, J. Zhang, S.T. Rao, S. Galmarini Ensemble modelling and diagnostic model evaluation for surface-level Ozone in Europe and North America
- Brandt J., J. D. Silver, J. H. Christensen, L. M. Frohn, C. Geels, A. Gross, A. B. Hansen, K. M. Hansen, G. B. Hedegaard, C. A. Skjøth, H. Villadsen and A. Zare An integrated model study for Europe and North America using the Danish

- Eulerian Hemispheric Model with focus on intercontinental transport of air pollution
- Pouliot G, T. Pierce; H. Denier van de Gon; M. Schaap; M. Moran; U. Nopmongcol Comparing Emission Inventories and Model---Ready Emission Datasets between Europe and North America for the AQMEII Project
- U. Nopmongcol, B. Koo, E. Tai, J. Jung, P. Piyachaturawat, C. Emery, G. Yarwood, G. Pirovano, C. Mitsakou, G. Kallos, Modeling Europe with CAMx for the Air Quality Model Evaluation International Initiative (AQMEII)
- Galmarini S., R. Bianconi, W. Appel, E. Solazzo, S. Mosca, P. Grossi, M. Moran, K. Schere, S.T. Rao ENSEMBLE and AMET: two systems and approaches to a harmonized, simplified and efficient facility for air quality models development and evaluation