PEER REVIEW PLAN		
Title:	Technical Support Document: National-scale Mercury Risk Assessment Supporting the Appropriate and Necessary Finding for Coal- and Oil-fired Electric Generating Units - DRAFT	
Purpose/Objective:	To characterize human health exposure and risk associated with U.S. electrical generating unit (EGU) mercury emissions with a foucs on a highly exposed population, subsistence fishers.	
Product Completion Date (Actual):	11/16/2011	
OMB Category:	Highly Influential	
Peer Review Leader:	Bryan Hubbell email: hubbell.bryan@epa.gov	

External Peer Review Mechanism:	Nominated to the Science Advisory Board for Peer Review
Peer Review Expected to Begin:	3rd Quarter, Fiscal Year 2011
	EPA's Fiscal Years run from October to September. Quarters for Fiscal Year 2011: 1st: October - December, 2010 2nd: January - March, 2011 3rd: April - June, 2011 4th: July - September, 2011

Was a deferral to peer review invoked?	No	
Will an alternative peer review process be employed?	No	
Number of Peer Reviewers		more than 10
Primary Disciplines needed in the review:	Epidemiology, Exposure Assessment, Risk Assessment-Human Health, Toxicology-Neurotoxicology, Toxicology-Reproductive	
Who will select the reviewers?	EPA	
Will the public, including scientific or professional societ nominate peer reviewers?	ies be asked to	Yes

Will there be opportunity for public comment on the product?		Yes
viii there be opportunity for public	b comment on the product:	100
Describe How and When	Public comments will be considered during the Peer Revie Process and will be address in the response to comments document for the rule.	
Will the Agency provide significant and relevant public comments to the peer reviewers before they conduct their review?		Yes
eviewers before they conduct the		