Fate of Ammonia Emissions at the Local to Regional Scale as Simulated by the Community Multiscale Air Quality Model

Robin L. Dennis[a*], Rohit Mathur[a], Jonathan E. Pleim[a] and John T. Walker[b]
[a]National Exposure Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, 27711 United States
[b]National Risk Management Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, 27711 United States
*Corresponding author: dennis.robin@epa.gov, (919) 541-2870, Mail Drop E243-02

Abstract

Atmospheric deposition of nitrogen contributes to eutrophication of estuarine waters and acidification of lakes and streams. Ammonia also contributes to fine particle formation in the atmosphere and associated health effects. Model projections suggest that NH_3 deposition may become the major source of nitrogen deposition in the future. The regional transport of NH_3 contributes to nitrogen deposition. Conventional wisdom for many is that a large fraction, or even all, of the NH_3 emissions deposit locally, near their source as dry deposition, which we believe is incorrect. In this study we use a regional atmospheric model, the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model to identify the dominant processes that dictate the fate of NH_3 and address the questions of how much NH_3 deposits locally and what is the range of influence of NH_3 emissions. The CMAQ simulation is for June 2002 with a 12-km grid size, covering the eastern half of the US. We study three different NH_3 dry deposition formulations, including one that represents bi-directional NH_3 air-surface exchange, to represent uncertainty in the NH_3 dry deposition estimates. We find for 12-km cells with high NH_3 emissions from confined animal operations that the local budget is dominated by turbulent transport away from the surface and that from 8-15% of a cell's NH_3 emissions dry deposit locally back within the same cell. The CMAQ estimates are consistent with local, semi-empirical budget studies of NH_3 emissions. The range of influence of a single cell's emissions varies from 180 to 380 kilometers, depending on the dry deposition formulation. At the regional scale, wet deposition is the major loss pathway for NH_3; nonetheless, about a quarter of the NH_3 emissions are estimated to transport off the North American continent, an estimate that is not sensitive to the uncertainty in dry deposition.

Keywords

Ammonia deposition, ammonia emission influence range, atmospheric budget, modeling, CMAQ

1. Introduction

Atmospheric deposition of reactive nitrogen is a source of nutrient enrichment and one of the sources of acidification that, as stressors, cause deleterious impacts on terrestrial and aquatic

ecosystems (Lovett and Tear 2008; Dennis et al., 2007; Driscoll et al., 2001). Oxidized nitrogen from emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_X) and reduced nitrogen from emissions of ammonia (NH_3) are the main contributors to the reactive nitrogen deposition budget. Reactive nitrogen emissions have increased significantly over the last century (Vitousek et al., 1997; Galloway et al. 2002) leading to increases in both oxidized nitrogen (NO_Y) and NH_X (= NH_3 + NH_4^+) deposition. Ammonia is also an important ingredient in inorganic fine particle mass formation and the resulting human health impacts (Seinfeld and Pandis 1998). The importance of NH_3 as a stressor is expected to increase as emissions of NO_X are projected to decrease due to Clean Air Act regulations while emissions of NH_3 are expected to continue to increase (Pinder et al., 2008).

It is important in ecosystem studies involving the nitrogen budget to properly account for all nitrogen emissions and deposition. In contrast to oxidized nitrogen, the transport of NH_3 is often not recognized even though reduced nitrogen source-receptor matrices are routinely calculated for Europe (Tarrasón and Nyíri 2008) and historical NH_3 modeling experience exists in Europe (van Pul et al., 2009). The conventional wisdom among a variety of researchers is that local NH_3 emissions are largely, or even fully, deposited back onto the source area (Castro et al., 2001; Dumont et al., 2005; Clarisse et al., 2009; Howarth et al., 2002; Asman 1998). We believe this conventional wisdom is incorrect. The range of influence of NH_3 emissions has an important bearing on identification of the source regions impacting receptors. Airsheds for coastal estuaries have been estimated for oxidized and reduced nitrogen using earlier models and for NH_3 the estimated airsheds were multistate in size (Paerl et al. 2002). Given the continued prevalence of the conventional wisdom regarding the transport scales of NH_3 emissions, a more detailed investigation of the dominant processes governing the fate of NH_3 at the regional scale is needed.

The fate of NH_3 through wet and dry deposition is expected to have an important effect on the range of influence of NH_3 emissions. Model estimates of ammonia dry deposition are highly uncertain for North American conditions, in part, because most empirical studies have been conducted in Europe where significant effort has been devoted to quantify and parameterize the dry deposition velocity of NH_3 for a variety of surface conditions (Sutton et al., 1993; Duyzer et al., 1992; Wyers and Erisman 1998; Milford et al., 2001). Sutton et al. (1994) summarize several

observation studies and report typical V_d values in the range of 0.5-5 cm s^{-1} for a variety of natural and forested ecosystems. The uncertainty is compounded by the recognition that NH_3 air-surface exchange is bidirectional (Flechard et al., 1999; Nemitz et al., 2001; Walker et al., 2008). It is useful to gain insight into the 3-D NH_3 atmospheric budget given the uncertainty in NH_3 deposition parameterizations for North American conditions (Mathur and Dennis 2003; Phillips et al., 2004; Walker et al., 2006; Aneja et al., 2008). In this paper we examine the 3-D fate of NH_3 emissions in light of the uncertainty in NH_3 air-surface exchange, including bi-directional air-surface exchange. Air quality model output of pollutant concentrations and deposition typically only reflects the cumulative effect of all physical and chemical processes that influence the NH_3 budget. We examine the fate of NH_3 emissions with the help of an "instrumented", regional-scale numerical air quality model in which additional process-level information related to fate and the mass budget is output (such as advection, vertical diffusion, emissions, deposition, etc.) (see Supporting Material for a more complete description).

The objective is to address the following questions: (1a) what fraction of the local NH_3 emissions deposit within the cell into which they are emitted; (1b) what is the fate of the local NH_3 emissions at the surface and in the total column over the emitting cell; (2) how far downwind do NH_3 emissions from a high emission cell have a significant impact (range of influence); and (3) what is the fate of regional NH_3 emissions from all sources; what fraction of the emissions is transported out of the domain.

First, we briefly describe the Community Multiscale Air Quality model (CMAQ) and its ability to represent relevant deposition and air concentration gradients, with details provided in the supplementary material. We next develop a set of sensitivity cases to address dry deposition uncertainty and describe the study approach. We then present results relative to the three questions posed above and conclude with a discussion of the results.

2. Model Description

2.1 Summary of CMAQ Description and Evaluation

CMAQ is a 3-dimensional grid model that simulates the transport, transformation and wet and dry deposition of a full suite of species. Details of the simulated physical and chemical processes are provided in Byun and Schere (2006) and the references therein. Further detail on the dry deposition algorithms is given in Section 3.1. The "instrumentation" of CMAQ is described in the Supporting Material and details are available in Gipson (1999). The meteorological inputs for this study are derived from the Fifth Generation Penn State/NCAR Mesoscale Model (MM5) (Grell et al., 1994). The CMAQ horizontal grid size was 12 x 12 kilometers with vertical extent to 16 kilometers; the domain for the study is shown in Figure 1; the simulation period is June 2002.

[Figure 1 Approximately Here]

Comparisons of CMAQ outputs with annual National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP) data and Chemical Speciation Network (CSN) and Clean Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNET) data show CMAQ is able to capture the main spatial pattern and magnitude of NH_3 wet deposition and pattern of ambient concentrations across the continental U.S. Regional gradients of NH_3 concentrations across North Carolina between a location with high animal operation emissions and a location in a low emission area are captured reasonably well by CMAQ (For July 2004: Observed: 14.0 vs 1.2 $\mu g\ m^{-3}$; CMAQ: 13.7 vs. 1.1 $\mu g\ m^{-3}$). (See the supplemental material for more detail).

2.2 Dry Deposition Uncertainty

The net NH_3 dry net flux has an important influence on the balance between emissions, sinks and transport. Deposition velocity data are sparse, especially in the U.S., against which to compare the CMAQ estimates. As noted above, Sutton et al. (1994) reported typical V_d values for NH_3 in the range of 0.5-5 cm s^{-1} for a variety of natural and forested ecosystems. Asman (2001) estimated an average V_d of 1.2 cm s^{-1} for low vegetation landscapes and suggested that for forests the V_d could be of the order of 2.5 cm s^{-1}. Many European studies (e.g. Flechard et al., 1999; Nemitz et al., 2001), acknowledge the existence of bidirectional air-surface exchange. For the U.S., Rattray and Sievering (2001) reported daytime V_d's of 1.7-2.3 cm s^{-1} over alpine tundra and Phillips et al. (2004) reported summertime nighttime values of V_d of 0.76±1.7 cm s^{-1} and

daytime values of 3.9±2.8 cm s^{-1} for unfertilized grass close to animal operations, with an average V_d of 1.9 cm s^{-1}. The uncertainty in the Phillips et al. (2004) estimates is high and only for about 60% of the measurements was there an unambiguous flux to the surface. Walker et al. (2006) report a mean V_d of 0.13 cm s^{-1} over fertilized soybeans, the low net flux stemming from the bi-directional exchange of NH_3 and large cuticular resistance due to NH_3 accumulation. Pryor et al. (2001) reported NH_3 emissions instead of deposition on 3 of 17 days above a forest in the Midwestern U.S. Recent flux measurements over fertilized corn in North Carolina show significant periods of NH_3 emissions during a diurnal cycle. Thus, bidirectional exchange of NH_3 needs to be considered.

Figure 2 presents the median of the V_d's computed by CMAQ together with the 95th and 5th percentile values from cells across the modeling domain by hour for HNO_3, NH_3 and SO_2 for a randomly selected day in June 2002. The figure shows that the median V_d for NH_3 being computed by CMAQ is between that of HNO_3 and SO_2, but closer to HNO_3. The CMAQ diurnal median NH_3 V_d range across the domain seems consistent with sparse observations, spanning from 0.25 cm s^{-1} to 2.5 cm s^{-1}. The 95th percentile values in Figure 2 for HNO_3 and NH_3 are very comparable and exceed 5 cm s^{-1}. Rattray and Sievering (2001) report comparable HNO_3 and NH_3 V_d's for tundra, but at levels of approximately 2 cm s^{-1}. However, given the bi-directional nature of NH_3 flux at the surface, a unidirectional form is likely to yield deposition that is biased high, affecting the NH_3 budget and the range of influence of NH_3 emissions. Hence, the current flux parameterization for NH_3 is expected to provide an upper bound for an assessment of the NH_3 budget, but it would be useful to have a bi-directional NH_3 flux formulation and to have a "lower bound" unidirectional flux estimate for comparison to help address the uncertainty.

[Figure 2 Approximately Here]

3. Design of the Modeling Experiment

This study is designed to conduct CMAQ simulations for June 2002 with process analysis for assessing the major components of the NH_3 fate/budget, for three different cases of dry NH_3 flux, two of which represent an upper and a lower estimate of the flux using uni-directional algorithms. The third case is a prototype representation of bi-directional NH_3 flux in CMAQ.

The range of cases is intended to provide a sense of the NH_3 budget and its sensitivity to the uncertainties in removal via dry deposition. This design, which assumes the current formulation for NH_3 flux represents the upper bound, requires implementation of a prototype bi-directional NH_3 flux algorithm for CMAQ to more completely represent the flux processes. It then requires selection of an appropriate uni-directional flux formulation, based on another species, to be applied to NH_3 to provide a lower estimate, and potentially, on average, a lower bound for natural systems.

3.1 Current NH_3 flux algorithm

The unidirectional NH_3 flux model is the dry deposition model (M3dry) in the CMAQ model system (Pleim et al. 2001). This model uses the resistance analogy as the basic approach to formulation, as shown in Figure S1. The aerodynamic and surface layer resistances are governed, respectively, by turbulent transfer from the atmosphere to the receptor and molecular diffusion across the laminar sub-layer of air at the receptor surface (plant or ground). Fluxes to the foliage are controlled by the cuticular resistance and the stomatal resistance in series with the mesophyll resistance. The M3dry model uses bulk stomatal and aerodynamic resistances from the Pleim-Xiu-land-surface model (Pleim and Xiu 1995; Xiu and Pleim 2001) used in MM5. The surface resistance (cuticle and ground) is scaled by reactivity for dry surfaces and effective Henry's law coefficients for wet surfaces. The CMAQ results from the base, M3dry model formulation are termed Base for this study.

3.2 Bi-directional NH_3 flux algorithm

To create the bi-directional capability, the dry deposition sink is replaced by a compensation point model in vertical diffusion in the CMAQ model. This two-layer canopy compensation point model formulation follows Nemitz et al. (2001). With the flux model in CMAQ, air concentrations can be compared to compensation points. The compensation point for NH_3 is the gas phase concentration at equilibrium with liquid NH_3 concentration in the stomatal cavities (χ_s) and with NH_3 concentrations in the soil (χ_g). For plants the stomatal compensation point is a function of temperature and the ratio of NH_4^+ to H^+ in the stomatal cavity. The latter ratio is

termed the leaf or soil emission potential, Γ, which controls canopy-scale NH_3 fluxes. The resistance analog model was modified to include compensation concentrations in the stomatal cavities and soil, as shown in Figure S1. The leaf emission potential is Γs and the soil emission potential is Γg. The compensation point model is semi-empirical and relies heavily on field measurements for both development and testing. Development of the prototype is based on summer 2002 field data from Duplin County fertilized soybeans (Walker et al., 2006). Gamma values, Γ, are specified by broad land-use (LU) category. A Γs = 1000 is assumed for fertilized crops; for non-fertilized crops and natural (or non-agricultural) vegetation Γs = 100; and for ground Γg = 0.8*Γs. These are very general recommendations that come from the Edinburgh research group (Loubet et al., 2009) and adapted by Walker et al. (2006), Walker et al. (2008). The Edinburgh group did not distinguish different crops. Research based on North Carolina bi-directional flux studies, currently in process, will better distinguish differences in the magnitude of Γ for different crops for incorporation into CMAQ for public release. Aggregate Γ values for each grid cell are weighted according to fractional LU coverage. The CMAQ results from the bi-directional formulation are identified as Bi-Di.

3.3 Lower estimate NH_3 flux algorithm

The purpose of the lower estimate is to provide a range to better depict the sensitivity of the analysis of the fate of NH_3 to the uncertainty in dry deposition. We selected SO_2 to represent the lower bound V_d because SO_2 has similar surface interactions as NH_3, but V_d is smaller. The M3dry model structure for SO_2 deposition is the same as for NH_3 and both are responsive to surface wetness. SO_2 is less sticky than NH_3 and somewhat less water soluble resulting in V_d's that are smaller than but similar to those of NH_3 (see Figure 2). This is confirmed by Figure S5, a map of the maximum Vd across the domain for the randomly selected day of Figure 2, that is shown in the supplemental material. Thus, the V_d's for SO_2 were applied to NH_3 concentrations for the "lower bound" estimates. The CMAQ results from this formulation are identified as SO_2V_d.

3.4 Budget Analysis Approach

227 Results were developed and analyzed for June 2002 to address the three study questions. June
228 was chosen because NH_3 emissions are high (enhanced relative to the annual average) and crops
229 are fully leafed out. The analysis focuses on cells with much higher than average NH_3
230 emissions, because of the potential for significant impacts. From the US EPA National
231 Emissions Inventory for 2002, emissions from fertilized crops account for 35% and 28% of the
232 annual and summer NH_3 emissions, respectively; the great majority come from animal
233 operations. Grid cells with more than 90% of the emissions from animal operations had much
234 higher emission rates and were more numerous than cells with more than 90% from fertilizer
235 application. Thus, we focused on NH_3 rich cells associated with animal operations for this study.
236 Exploration of Base results for 12 rural high-emission cells and 8 urban cells indicated that the
237 agriculturally-related high emission cells tended to have a higher fraction of their NH_3 emissions
238 dry deposited in the emitting cell than urban cells (15-25% versus 10-15%, respectively). The
239 difference is mainly due to the influence of emissions from neighboring cells. Deposition
240 velocities were not substantially different across the cells, including non-agriculture-non-urban
241 cells, because urban areas in the model are assumed to have vegetation, to have surface
242 roughness comparable to deciduous forests and to develop wet surfaces comparable to other land
243 use types.
244
245 The amount of deposition in a cell has two components: deposition from local, within-cell
246 emissions and deposition from emissions outside the cell. We want to eliminate the second
247 influence for this analysis. With process analysis alone we only obtain a calculation of the net
248 rate of deposition or transport in a cell and cannot distinguish the portions of the budget solely
249 associated with the emissions from the single cell of interest. To remove the influence of the
250 neighboring cells, we increased the NH_3 emissions in the cell of interest, resimulated the monthly
251 budgets and then subtracted the base case results from the enhanced NH_3 emissions case to
252 obtain the true net budget for the single cell. Increasing emissions in NH_3 rich cells should not
253 affect the relative budget estimates for the single cell because the relative process rates are not
254 changed by a change in emissions. We tested several NH_3 emissions increases between 2 and 7
255 times higher than the base and confirmed the fraction dry depositing, etc. in the single cell is
256 invariant with respect to the emission rate in the single cell, providing a robust examination of
257 the relative importance of the individual processes. Finding no relation also indicates that sulfate

availability does not matter much in these high emission cells associated with animal operations because there is so much NH_3 available (extremely NH_3 rich). In fact, if the deposition rates are nominally independent of grid resolution over small relatively homogeneous domains, then the fraction of emissions dry depositing for a single cell analysis also is nominally independent of model grid resolution (using smaller grid sizes).

When cells were isolated from the emissions in neighboring cells the fraction of cell emissions dry depositing for Sampson County, NC and Lancaster County, PA was 15.4% and 16.3%, respectively. The Lancaster County cell was chosen as another cell with similarly localized emissions due to confined animal operations to check that the isolated deposition fraction of Sampson County could be approximately reproduced elsewhere. The June budget analyses for the first two study questions were calculated for the emissions from a single, isolated cell through the brute-force sensitivity-difference calculation. We chose a cell in Sampson County, NC to study because it has high NH_3 emissions, due to agricultural confined animal operations. This cluster of cells in NC has high emissions relative to other cells within several hundred km's. Figure 1 illustrates the monthly NH_3 emission rates for June 2002 for the modeling domain and shows the high emissions region in NC.

4. Results

Simulations with CMAQ configured in three versions, Base, SO2Vd and Bi-Di, were performed with process analysis to attribute the net result to each process. This provides quantitative information on net concentration gains and losses in a cell and by which process it occurred. The process analysis results were combined into monthly averages and deposition results were summed to provide monthly deposition values. The process budgets were computed for surface cells, for the vertical column above each cell or averaged over a regional domain of vertical columns. The study questions are addressed in turn in the next section.

4.1 Local fate of local NH_3 emissions

Fate of local NH_3 emissions at the surface. To calculate the budget of the local NH_3 emissions at the surface, we use the process analysis results for NH_3 for the Sampson County surface cell after the base case was subtracted from the single cell enhanced NH_3 emissions case. The gains in NH_3 are due to NH_3 emissions (into cell bottom) and the NH_3 losses are due to dry deposition (out cell bottom), net horizontal advection (out cell sides), vertical advection and diffusion (out cell top), and chemical conversion of NH_3 to particulate NH_4^+. The budget results are shown in Figure 3. The dry deposition is only a fraction of the total emissions into the cell: 7.7% and 15.4% for the SO_2V_d and the Base cases, respectively. The dry deposition fraction is sensitive to the rate of the deposition, the fraction for the SO_2V_d case is half that of the Base case. The fraction dry depositing in the Bi-Di case is 8.4%, close to the SO_2V_d case. Because the chemical conversion is so small these results also hold for $NH_X = NH_3 + NH_4^+$.

[Figure 3 Approximately Here]

The fate of NH_3 (and NH_X) at the surface is dominated by turbulent diffusion (vertical mixing). Turbulent mixing transports a majority of the surface NH_3 emissions up and away from the surface and into the atmospheric mixed layer. As shown in Figure 3, about 2/3rds of the emissions are moved aloft for all three cases. The magnitude of the horizontal transport, more than 20% for all three cases, indicates that cells with high emissions can influence the magnitude of the concentrations of adjacent or neighboring cells.

The three estimates from the CMAQ simulations for a summer month are that the local dry deposition flux ranges from 7.7-15.4% of the local emissions, with the bi-directional estimate at the lower end of the range. A few empirically-based estimates of the fraction of local NH_3 emissions dry depositing locally from single high emission areas (confined animal operations) are available to compare to the spread of CMAQ estimates made in this study. Reported studies are for annual emissions. Fowler et al. (1998) estimated the fraction of annual NH_3 emissions depositing within 300 m of a poultry facility surrounded by forest in Scotland to be 3-10% and inferred the fraction out to 1.2 km to be around 10%. Walker et al. (2008), using a two-layer bi-directional dry deposition model estimated that 7.8-13.3%, with a best estimate of 10.4%, of annual hog farm emissions dry deposited over the nearest 500 m. Further model analysis of the Walker et al. (2008) data for summer time conditions indicated the fraction dry depositing is

expected to peak at 13.5% at about 2.5 km from the farm because fertilized crops start influencing the bi-directional results at longer distances. European model studies have a wide range of estimates, suggesting that from 2% to 60% of the emissions deposit within short distances (Loubet et al., 2009). The CMAQ fractions are quite consistent with the empirically-based results, even though for a summer period. We do expect the fractions might be lower in winter. Since the average meteorology is similar across a grid cell, then we expect the process rates will not vary substantially across the grid cell if the land cover heterogeneity is not large (see supplemental section). Then, the fraction of emissions depositing, mixing and transporting will be moderately independent of grid size, similar to what was found in the above Walker et al. (2008) calculations. Thus, we expect CMAQ estimates of the fraction that dry deposits will be similar at finer grid resolutions consistent with the domains of these studies and the empirically-based estimates are considered to provide some ground truth against which to judge the CMAQ results.

Fate of high emitting cell emissions in total column above cell. Calculation of the total column budget above a cell allows us to construct information on what is happening above the surface. Importantly, the column resultant allows us to unambiguously estimate wet deposition as a loss process and include it in the analysis. We compute the fraction of the emissions introduced that are "lost" due to chemistry, long-range horizontal transport and wet deposition in addition to dry deposition. The dry deposition has the same fraction as for the analysis at the surface. Budget results are shown in Figure S6. Once aloft, most of the NH_3, between 82-89%, is transported by horizontal advection away from the high emitting cell and a small fraction, 2.1-2.2%, is converted to aerosol NH_4^+ before it is advected away. For this particular time period and location, only a small fraction (0.57-0.58%) of the emitted NH_3 in this cell is wet deposited back to the surface. Mainly, once aloft, the NH_3 from this high emitting cell undergoes horizontal transport, which is indicative of involvement in long-range transport.

4.2 Range of influence of NH_3 emissions from a high emitting cell

Since we have found that most of the local surface emissions are not deposited locally but are involved in long range transport, then the question follows: over what spatial extent are the

emissions expected to have an important impact? To address this question, an operational definition for the range of influence needs to be developed because the concentrations/deposition from a source decline with distance and become very diffuse to the point that the impact from that source is insignificant. A cutoff point must be established to create the operational definition. We defined the cutoff point for the range of influence to be the distance by which 50% of the emissions from the source have deposited. This is different than but very consistent with the earlier definition of Dennis (1997) who first summed up the domain-wide deposition from a source and then defined the range of influence as the distance from the maximum deposition cell by which two-thirds of that source's domain-wide total deposition is deposited. The consistency between the two operational definitions will become apparent in the next section. The current definition is much easier to implement.

We subtracted the base case from the enhanced NH_3 emissions case, as noted in Section 4.1 to isolate the analysis to the influence from a single cell, and accumulated the wet and dry deposition as a function of increasing distance from the isolated cell. Rather than taking average transport by compass direction into account to develop the accumulation, we simply incremented a cell at a time in the four directions from the central cell in an expanding square and accumulated the deposition for each square. The results of the range of influence calculations are shown in Figure 4 for the three dry deposition algorithm cases. The distance on the X axis is the perpendicular distance from the center cell to a side of the box. At 500 km on the graph each side of the box is 1,000 kms.

[Figure 4 Approximately Here]

The model process analysis indicates there is long-range transport of NH_3 emissions. The range of influence depends significantly on the magnitude of the wet plus dry deposition flux. The range of influence is shortest for the Base case, approximately 180 kms and farthest for the SO_2V_d case, approximately 380 kms. The range of the Bi-Di case is between the other two, approximately 320 kms, but much closer to the SO_2V_d case. The range of the SO_2V_d case is approximately twice that of the base Base case. The 180 km range covers almost all of eastern North Carolina, and the 380 km range covers most of Virginia, North Carolina and South

Carolina, reaching to Washington D.C. and covering a majority of the Chesapeake Bay. The results are particular to June 2002 and the meteorology in the southeast of the country.

4.3 Regional fate of regional NH_3 emissions from all sources

All of the emissions sources and loss processes are acting together over a regional domain to produce a net result: the in situ environment that we observe. Emissions are continually being added to an air mass column as it is transported and the concentrations are continually being affected by inputs and losses. The model with process analysis can help us understand what we ultimately expect to happen from a regional perspective. We found it instructive to start with the local budget and build up to the regional budget. We do this by computing and tracking the monthly average process analysis outputs and resulting budget in horizontally-expanding 3-D volumes. Starting with the single column over the high emission cell and adding a new set of columns around the perimeter (4 sides) and averaging anew the properties for each new volume we can illuminate the transition from local to regional budgets. The budget is assessed for the vertical extent of the model to follow wet deposition and transport as well as dry deposition. As the size of the 3-D box increases, the average air mass history time increases. This gives time for chemical conversion of NH_3 to NH_4^+ to take place as well as wet deposition and cleansing of the air mass contents. To follow the ultimate fate of the NH_3 emissions at the broad regional scale, the budget for this analysis is tracked as NH_X.

The result of the expanding box budget analysis centered at the high emission cell in NC, but now including the NH_3 emissions from all cells in each box, is shown in Figure 5. Dry deposition dominates the local loss process, but it soon plateaus and for the bi-directional implementation the dry deposition fraction peaks and then goes to a lower level before it plateaus. Soon (100-150 km out from the center) wet deposition catches up and then surpasses dry deposition to become the dominant regional loss process. By the regional air mass history time most of the NH_X budget is in the form of NH_4^+, explaining the dominance of wet deposition over dry deposition.

Expanding out 500 km from the center of analysis achieves an integration of the budget processes that has become regionally representative. The fractions at 500 km are very close to those computed for the entire modeling domain. Eventually the transport out of the expanding, regional box merges for all three cases and appears to stabilize at about 25%, suggesting that about a quarter of the regional emissions are expected to leave the domain. The transport out of the domain is relatively insensitive to the uncertainty in NH_3 deposition. Wet and dry deposition differences for the 3 sensitivity cases offset each other nearly equally in the budget.

[Figure 5 Approximately Here]

5. Discussion

The surface budgets developed and presented here are typical of most other NH_3 emissions source regions associated with agricultural animal operations. While the fraction of NH_3 emissions locally deposited is sensitive to the uncertainty in NH_3 dry deposition, the message is still that vertical turbulent diffusion away from the surface is dominant and only a small fraction deposits locally. For a variety of locations across the domain, for which NH_3 emission rates varied by a factor of 10, the fraction of NH_3 emissions depositing in the same cell ranged from 5% to 20%. This analysis suggests a nominal value for the fraction of NH_3 emissions dry depositing of 10% with a plausible range of 5% to 20%. Urban areas had smaller nominal dry deposition fractions for non-isolated cells than the agriculturally-related high emissions areas (10-15% versus 15-25% with Base), but the emissions in neighboring cells of urban areas are also smaller leading to less distortion of the non-isolated deposition fraction estimate. Sampson County's net horizontal transport fraction increased from 7% to 20% when the cell was isolated (via subtraction of the base case from the enhanced NH_3 emissions case) and the nominal fraction dry depositing decreased from 24.5% to the uninflated estimate of 15.4%. Thus, an estimate of 10% for urban areas appears reasonable as well.

The range of influence of a single cell's emissions is sensitive to the uncertainty in NH_3 dry deposition, varying by a factor of two. These uncertainties need to be reduced to provide a better estimate of the range of influence of the NH_3 emissions. The estimates of the range of influence are for times when plants are leafed out and fertilizer is assumed to be applied. They are

441 expected to be somewhat different for winter and fallow periods. The magnitude of the
442 difference will depend on the ground and foliage flux characteristics, including the relative
443 importance of cuticular and stomatal resistances and the seasonality of ground and foliage
444 emission potentials (Γ), which govern the magnitude and temperature dependence of fluxes.
445 Potentially the sensitivity to uncertainty will not be as large in these other time periods. There
446 could be some influence of different partitioning of NH_X at different locations, but because wet
447 and dry deposition counter balance each other we do not see much evidence of an effect here. In
448 spite of the uncertainties, the model analysis indicates that the range of influence of NH_3
449 emissions can span hundreds of kilometers, and is not just a local-scale phenomenon.
450
451 For this study, the fraction of domain-wide NH_3 emissions that is transported off the continent is
452 relatively insensitive to the uncertainty in NH_3 dry deposition, varying between 23 and 28%.
453 Changes in dry deposition within the domain are compensated by a wet deposition change.
454 Thus, this result appears to be fairly robust even with the deposition uncertainties. This estimate
455 may be influenced by the boundary conditions aloft, but we believe this influence will be small.
456
457 A sensitivity study to explore the source of differences between the NH_3 bi-directional and uni-
458 direction flux formulations indicates clearly that the essential bi-directional elements driven by
459 the compensation points are making the real difference between the two formulations. The
460 differences in fluxes are not caused by differences in resistance parameter values. There is
461 currently a large uncertainty in the bi-directional formulation associated with the estimation of Γ,
462 the emissions potential due to the existence of compensation points. Nonetheless, we can learn
463 much about the NH_3 budget in spite of these uncertainties.
464
465 High priority research is ongoing to improve the bi-directional parameterization and the
466 estimates of the leaf and soil gammas across different cropping regions and throughout the year.
467 We are developing a software tool to estimate the soil Γ associated with fertilizer application.
468 When we have a spatially and temporally varying Γ_g, we will investigate the emissions budgets
469 for fertilized fields, as well as reexamine the animal operation emission budgets, as this will be
470 of interest. Work to examine the seasonality of single cell budgets and their range of influence is
471 continuing.

Acknowledgements

The 2004 NH_3 data was from a study led by Wayne Robarge of NC State University. This paper has been reviewed in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's peer and administrative review policies and has been approved for publication. Approval does not signify that the contents necessarily reflect the views and policies of the Agency, nor does the mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

Supporting Material Available

This information is available free of charge via the internet at http://www.atmospolres.com.

References

Aneja, V.P., Blunden, J., Roelle, P.A., Schlesinger, W.H., Knighton, R., Niyogi, D., Gilliam, W., Jennings, G., Duke, C.S., 2008. Workshop on agricultural air quality: State of the science. *Atmospheric Environment* 42, 3195-3208.

Asman, W.A., 2001. Modelling the atmospheric transport and deposition of ammonia and ammonium: An overview with special reference to Denmark. *Atmospheric Environment* 35, 1969-1983.

Asman, W.A.H., 1998. Factors influencing local dry deposition of gases with special reference to ammonia. *Atmospheric Environment* 32, 415-421.

Byun, D. Schere, K.L., 2006. Review of the governing equations, computational algorithms, and other components of the Models-3 Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) modeling system. *Applied Mechanics Reviews* 59, 51-77.

Castro, M.S., et al., 2001. Contribution of Atmospheric Deposition to the Total Nitrogen Loads to Thirty-four Estuaries on the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts of the United States, in Valigura, R.A., et al. (editors), *Nitrogen Loading in Coastal Water Bodies: An Atmospheric Perspective*, Coastal and Estuarine Studies No. 57, American Geophysical Union, Washington DC, 254 pp.

Clarisse, L., Clerbaus, C., Dentener, F., Hurtmans, D., Coheur, P-F., 2009. Global ammonia distribution derived from infrared satellite observations. *Nature Geoscience* 2, 479-483. July 2009/www.nature.com/naturegeoscience/DOI:10.1038/NGEO551

Dennis, R.L., 1997. Using the Regional Acid Deposition Model to Determine the Nitrogen Deposition Airshed of the Chesapeake Bay Watershed, in Baker, J.E. editor, *Atmospheric Deposition to the Great Lakes and Coastal Waters*, Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry, Pennsacola, FL, 393-413.

Dennis, R., Haeuber, R., Blett, T., Cosby, J., Driscoll, C., Sickles, J. and Johnston, J., 2007. Sulfur and nitrogen deposition on ecosystems in the United States. *Environmental Manager*, December 2007, 12-17.

Driscoll, C.T., Lawrence, G.B., Bulger, A.J., Butler, T.J., Cronan, C.S., Eagar, C., Lambert, K.F., Likens, G.E., Stoddard, J.L. and Weathers, K.C., 2001. Acidic deposition in the northeastern United States: Sources and inputs, ecosystem effects, and management strategies. *Bioscience* 51(3), 180-198.

Dumont, E., Harrison, J.A., Kroeze, C., Bakker, E.J., Seitzinger, S.P., 2005. Global distribution and sources of dissolved inorganic nitrogen export to the coastal zone: Results from a spatially explicit, global model. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 19, BG4S02, doi:1029/2005GB002488.

Duyzer, J.H., Verhagen, H.L.M., Westrate, J.H., 1992. Measurement of the dry deposition of NH_3 on to coniferous forest. *Environmental Pollution* 75, 3-13.

Flechard, C.R., Fowler, D., Sutton, M.A., Cape, J.N., 1999. A dynamic model of bi-directional ammonia exchange between semi-natural vegetation and the atmospheric. *Quarterly Journal of Royal Meteoroloical Society* 125, 2611-2641.

Fowler, D., et al., 1998. The mass budget of atmospheric ammonia in woodland within 1km of livestock buildings. *Environmental Pollution* 102, 343-348.

Galloway, J.N., Cowling, E.B., 2002. Reactive Nitrogen and the World: 200 years of change. *Ambio* 31 no. 2, 64-71.

Gipson, G., 1999. Process Analysis, in Byun, D., and Ching, J.K.S., editors, Science Algorithms of the EPA Models-3 Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) Modeling System, EPA Report No. EPA-600/R-99/030, Office of Research and Development, Washington DC, pp 16-1 – 16-38.

Grell, G. A., Dudhia, J., Stauffer, D. R., 1994. A description of the fifth-generation Penn State/NCAR mesoscale model (MM5). NCAR Tech. Note NCAR/TN-398+STR, 117 pp. (Available from the National Center for Atmospheric Research, P. O. Box 3000, Boulder, CO 80307.)

Howarth, R.W., Boyer, E.W., Pabich, W.J, Galloway, J.N., 2002. Nitrogen Use in the United States from 1961-2000 and Potential Future Trends, *Ambio* 31 no. 2, 88-96.

Loubet, B. et al., 2009. Ammonia Deposition Near Hot Spots: Processes, models and monitoring methods, in Sutton, M.A., Reis, S., Baker, S.M.H., editors, *Atmospheric Ammonia: Detecting emissions changes and environmental impacts*, Springer, NY, 205-267.

Lovett, G.M. and T.H. Tear, 2008. Threats from Above: Air Pollution Impacts on Ecosystems and Biological Diversity in the Eastern United States. The Nature Conservancy and the Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies (www.ecostudies.org/reprints/Threats_from_Above.pdf).

Mathur, R. and Dennis, R.L., 2003. Seasonal and annual modeling of reduced nitrogen compounds over the eastern United States: Emissions, ambient levels, and deposition amounts. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 108 (D15), 4481, doi:10.1029/2002/JD002794.

Milford, C., Hargreaves, K.J., Sutton, M.A., Loubet, B., Cellier, P., 2001. Fluxes of NH_3 and CO_2 over upland moorland in the vicinity of agricultural land. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 106 (D20), 24169-24181.

Nemitz, E., Milford, C., Sutton, M.A., 2001. A two-layer canopy compensation point model for describing bi-directional biosphere-atmospheric exchange of ammonia. *Quarterly Journal of Royal Meteorological Society* 127, 815-833.

Paerl, H.W., Dennis, R.L., Whitall, D.R., 2002. Atmospheric Deposition of Nitrogen: Implications for Nutrient Over-enrichment of Coastal Waters. *Estuaries* 25, No. 4B, 677-693.

Phillips, S.B., Arya, S.P., Aneja, V.P., 2004. Ammonia flux and dry deposition velocity from near-surface concentration gradient measurements over a grass surface in North Carolina. *Atmospheric Environment* 38, 3469-3480.

Pinder, R.W., Gilliland, A.B., Dennis, R.L., 2008. The Environmental Impact of Atmospheric NH_3 Emissions under Present and Future Conditions in the Eastern United States. *Geophysical Research Letters* 35, L12808. June 2008.

Pleim, J.E., Xiu, A., 1995: Development and testing of a surface flux and planetary boundary layer model for application in mesoscale models. *Journal of Applied Meteorology* 34, 16-32.

Pleim, J. E., Xiu, A., Finkelstein, P. L., Otte, T.L., 2001, A coupled land-surface and dry deposition model and comparison to field measurements of surface heat, moisture, and ozone fluxes. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution: Focus* 1, 243-252.

Pryor, S.C., Barthelmie, R.J., Sorensen, L.L., Jensen, B., 2001. Ammonia concentrations and fluxes over a forest in the Midwestern USA. *Atmospheric Environment* 35, 5645-5656.

Rattray, G., Sievering, H., 2001. Dry deposition of ammonia, nitric acid, ammonium, and nitrate to alpine tundra as Niwot Ridge, Colorado. *Atmospheric Environment* 35, 1105-1109.

Seinfeld, J.H. and Pandis, S.N., 1998. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, John Wiley, Hoboken, NJ.

Sutton, M.A., Fowler, D., Montrieff, J.B., 1993. The exchange of atmospheric ammonia with vegetated surfaces: I. Unfertilized vegetation. *Quarterly Journal Royal Meteorological Society* 119, 1047-1070.

Sutton, M.A., Asman, W.A.H., Schjørring, J.K., 1994. Dry deposition of reduced nitrogen. *Tellus* 46B, 255-273.

Tarrasón,L. and Á. Nyíri (editors), 2008. Transboundary acidification, eutrophication and ground level ozone in Europe in 2006, EMEP Status Report 1/2008, Norwegian Meteorological Institute, electronically available at http://www.emep.int.

Van Pul, A., et al., 2009, Modelling of the Atmospheric Transport and Deposition of Ammonia at a National and Regional Scale, in M. Sutton, S. Reis and S.M.H. Baker (editors), *Atmospheric Ammonia: Detecting emissions changes and environmental impacts*, Springer, NY, 301-358.

Vitousek, M., Aber, J.D., Howarth, R.W., Likens, G.E., Matson, P.A., Schindler, D.W., Schlesinger, W.H., Tilman, D.G., 1997. Technical Report: Human Alteration of the Global Nitrogen Cycle: Sources and consequences, Ecological Applications, vol. 7, no. 3, pp 737-750.

Walker, J.T., Robarge, W.P., Wu, Y., Meyers, T., 2006. Measurement of bi-directional ammonia fluxes over soybean using the modified Bowen-ratio technique. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 138, 54-68.

Walker, J., Spence, P., Kimbrough, S., Robarge, W., 2008. Inferential model estimates of ammonia dry deposition in the vicinity of a swine production facility. *Atmospheric Environment* 42, 3407-3418.

Wyers, G.P., Erisman, J.W., 1998. Ammonia exchange over coniferous forest. *Atmospheric Environment* 32, 441-451.

Xiu, A., Pleim, J. E., 2001. Development of a land surface model part I: Application in a mesoscale meteorology model. *Journal of Applied Meteorology* 40, *192-209*

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Map of the 12 km x 12 km June 2002 hourly average NH_3 emissions (kg-N/hr) showing the areas with high emissions and the CMAQ domain of the study.

Figure 2. Diurnal profile of median and 5^{th} & 95^{th} percentiles of V_d's calculated by CMAQ across the model domain for June 25, 2002 in Greenwich Mean Time, for NH_3, HNO_3 and SO_2. To see the bars HNO_3 is on the hour, NH_3 is offset ahead and SO_2 offset behind each hour.

Figure 3. Bar chart of the NH_3 layer-1 budget for the single, isolated Sampson County cell for June 2002: SO2 = SO2Vd; Bi-Di = Bi-directional ; Base = M3dry. Layer 1 nominally 38m.

Figure 4. Range of influence of NH_3 emissions from the single, isolated Sampson County, NC cell.

Figure 5. Cumulative regional NH_3 budget of advection, wet- and dry-deposition, calculated for an expanding box starting at the high-emitting Sampson County, NC cell

Low Resolution Figures Solely for Review Copy



Figure 1. Map of the 12 km x 12 km June 2002 hourly average NH_3 emissions (kg-N/hr) showing the areas with high emissions and the CMAQ domain of the study.



Figure 2. Diurnal profile of median and 5th & 95th percentiles of V_d's calculated by CMAQ across the model domain for June 25, 2002 in Greenwich Mean Time, for NH_3, HNO_3 and SO_2. To see the bars HNO_3 is on the hour, NH_3 is offset ahead and SO_2 offset behind each hour.



Figure 3. Bar chart of the NH_3 layer-1 budget for the single, isolated Sampson County cell for June 2002: SO2 – SO2Vd; Bi-Di = Bi-directional ; Base = M3dry. Layer 1 nominally 38m.



Figure 4. Range of influence of NH_3 emissions from the single, isolated Sampson County, NC cell.



Figure 5. Cumulative regional NH_3 budget of advection, wet- and dry-deposition, calculated for an expanding box starting at the high-emitting Sampson County, NC cell