Revised 12/08/2009 to correct factual error

Alternative Asbestos Control Method (AACM) Research

EPA Region 6 Adele Cardenas Malott, P.E.

EPA Office of Research & Development Roger Wilmoth

June 16, 2008 TRB Summer Meeting

Thousands of abandoned buildings across America posing risks to communities





In the News....

- City of Fort Worth
 - 443 Abandoned buildings that cannot be demolished because of cost of asbestos removal (2005)
- City of Baltimore
 - 15,000 abandoned buildings (asbestos unknown)
- City of Detroit
 - Projected 1300 demolitions but could only afford 684 in FY2003; down from 2500 in FY 2001; NESHAP regulations increased cost by one third
- City of Philadelphia
 - 25,000 abandoned buildings, asbestos unknown
- City of Cincinnati
 - "About 50% of the demolitions are done at night or on weekends when no inspectors are around" (personal communication)

Impacts to Environmental Justice Areas

- Building owners abandon properties
- Owners unwilling to demolish due to cost
- Property Values low
- Higher occurrence of buildings deteriorating in low income areas.

Impacts to Environmental Justice Areas (continued)

- Communities inherit abandoned properties
- Abatement and Demolition
 costly....increase property list for
 communities
- Crime and community eye sores....unsafe
- Buildings deemed "imminent danger of

AACM is NOT the "Fort Worth Wet Method"

- Alternative Asbestos Control Method utilizes Amended Water which is a "soapy water" mixture.
- The Fort Worth XL Project utilized the existing NESHAP emergency provision which allows the use of water during the demolition procedures.

The Alternative Asbestos Control Method (AACM)

- AACM = an alternative best practice method developed by ORD, OAQPS, OECA, and R6 for buildings 1-3 stories tall, w/ these elements:
 - Remove accessible friable asbestos materials;
 - Wet with amended (soapy) water before, during, & after demolition;
 - Contain and treat wastewater;
 - Remove 3+ inches of soil;
 - Properly dispose of contaminated materials as ACM.

Who is Impacted from the Asbestos NESHAP?

- Asbestos industry (abatement/demolition)
- Environmental Consultants
- Environmental/Health Trainers
- Communities/EJ areas
- Federal Agency's (HUD, DOD,DOT...etc)

Who would be Impacted by the AACM?

- Asbestos Industry (Abatement/Demolition Co.- potential new business partners formed)
- Environmental/Health Trainers
- Environmental Consultants
- Communities/Redevelopers/EJ Areas
- Endoral Aganev's

Transportation Agency Impacts

Land Purchases

Highway Development –
a) Right of Ways
b) Easements

Potential Project Cost Reduction

Rulemaking Process

- NO Rulemaking.
- Science AACM Demolitions must be evaluated, EPA Administrator Decision.
- If deemed equivalent, National Workgroup formed.
- Regulations must be proposed (Draft).
- Cost/benefit analyses conducted (SBRFRA)
- Public process conducted (comment/public meetings, etc.)
- Rulemaking..... Time Intensive (3-? Years)

AACM1 Side-by-Side NESHAP vs AACM RACM wall systems and VAT, on soil



AACM1 Bulk Sampling





	NESHAP		AACM	
	PLM	GR/TEM	PLM	GR/TEM
Joint Compound	1-5%	10-19%	1-5%	4-10%
Interval Composite	NA	4-7%	NA	1-4%
VAT	10-20%	14-24%	10-20%	17-20%



Bulk Asbestos Results Methods: PLM and Gravimetric Reduction/TEM

Ring Two

15-filbeight

5-ft height

Ring One

AACM1 at Work - Interior









AACM1 at Work - Exterior









AACM1 at Work – Haul Off









AACM2 Transite, on pavement









AACM3 Popcorn Ceilings, VAT, wall surfacing, on soil and pavement

Oak Hollon

KOAT









Environmental Results AACM1

• The airborne asbestos concentrations measured during both the NESHAP and the AACM demolition processes were near or below the detection limit

 No visible emissions were observed during conducted AACM Research demolitions

	MORE EFFECTIVE		FOULT
PARAMETER – AACMI	NESHAP	AACM	EQUAL
Visible Emissions			
Asbestos in Air			
Asbestos in Settled Dust			
Asbestos in Soil			
Asbestos Debris in Soil			
Asbestos in Worker Breathing Zone			
Non -Asbestos Particulate in Air			
Time			
Cost			

Next Steps

- Draft Report for Demolition #2
 - Targeted June 2008
 - Peer Review Process/Public Notice July
 2008
 - * 30 day comment period
- Draft Report for Demolition #3
 - Targeted June 2008
 - Peer Review Process/Public Notice July 2008
 - * 30 day commont pariod

Lessons Learned

- Every Demolition Site Specific....varying asbestos materials, surrounding areas, local requirements, etc.
- More Sampling than done in History of Asbestos NESHAP....study the science/collect data.
- Demolitions provide Agency perspective of a 30+ -yr-old regulation.
- Does adding a new tool to the tool box make sense...?

Websites

• EPA Regulatory Brochure:

www.epa.gov/lawsregs/brochure/de veloping.html

• EPA Region 6 Website:

www.epa.gov/region06/6xa/asbestos .htm

Contact Information

EPA Region 6 Adele Cardenas Malott, P.E. <u>cardenas.adele@epa.gov</u>

Office of Research & Development Roger Wilmoth wilmoth.roger@epa.gov