

PEER REVIEW PLAN

Title:	IRIS TOXICOLOGICAL REVIEW AND SUMMARY DOCUMENTS FOR 1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE
Purpose/Objective:	EPA is undertaking an update of the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) health assessment for 1,1,1-trichloroethane. The outcome of this project will be an updated Toxicological Review and IRIS Summary of 1,1,1-trichloroethane that will be entered on the IRIS database. IRIS is an EPA database containing Agency scientific positions on potential adverse human health effects that may result from exposure to chemicals in the environment. IRIS contains chemical-specific summaries of qualitative and quantitative health information in support of two steps of the risk assessment process, i.e, hazard identification and dose-response evaluation. IRIS assessments are used nationally and internationally in combination with specific situational exposure assessment information to evaluate potential public health risks associated with environmental contaminants. The IRIS database is relied on for the development of risk assessments, site-specific environmental decisions, and rule making.
Product Completion Date (Projected):	09/30/2007
OMB Category:	Influential
Peer Review Leader:	Karen Hammerstrom email: hammerstrom.karen@epa.gov

External Peer Review Mechanism:	Ad Hoc Panel of Independent Experts
Date of Projected Peer Review:	01/15/2007

Was a deferral to peer review invoked?	No
Will an alternative peer review process be employed?	No
Number of Peer Reviewers	4 to 10
Primary Disciplines needed in the review:	Risk Assessment-Human Health, Toxicology-General, Toxicology-Neurotoxicology, Toxicology-Pharmacokinetics/Dosimetry/Modeling
Who will select the reviewers?	Outside Organization: TBD
Will the public, including scientific or professional societies be asked to	No

nominate peer reviewers?		
Will public nominations be allowed through the Peer Review Agenda?		No
Will there be opportunity for public comment on the product?		Yes
Describe How and When	A FRN will be published at least 30 days prior to the external peer review. The public will have access to the documents and be able to post comments via the agency's website E-Docket.	
Will the Agency provide significant and relevant public comments to the peer reviewers before they conduct their review?		Yes
Will the review be a panel, conducted in public?		Yes
Will public comments be allowed at the panel review?		Yes