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## Premise Plumbing Issues

- Metals Release (Pb, Cu, Fe)
- Copper Pitting
- Blue Water
- Biofilm Growth
- Dezincification
- Disinfectant Residual Depletion

Factors such as water quality, plumbing materials, water use patterns, and plumbing configuration contribute to the likelihood of these issues

## Goal: Determine how water usage and temperature impact lead,

 copper, and chlorine levelsBuilt a model home plumbing system based on a household of 4, followed a regimented flushing schedule, and monitored daily for 5 years to capture the aging and corrosion processes

## Home Plumbing System (HPS) Components

## Faucet 1:

Brass Utility Faucet


Faucets 2-4:
American Standard Chrome Faucet


## Faucet 2-4 interior



- Copper ( $1 / 2$ " Type M) connected by Sn -Cu solder, except a portion of Faucet 3 line has 60:40 $\mathrm{Sn}: \mathrm{Pb}$ solder
- Faucets have brass and plastic wetted surfaces
- Purchased at same time
- Faucets 2-4 certified to meet NSF/ANSI 61 section 9
- Brass and $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Sn}$ solder are the only lead sources during the first 1800 days
- Plumbing length of a typical 4-person house


## Toilet and Shower

Glacier Bay toilet and shower head


## Other Features

- Hot Water Heater
- Flow Totalizer
- Acid Feed Pump


## Copper Plumbing

Inches of copper pipe from flow meter to fixture

|  | Cold Water <br> Line | Hot Water Line |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Faucet 1 | $586^{\prime \prime}$ | $568^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Faucet 2 | $518^{\prime \prime}$ | $477^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Faucet 3 | $406^{\prime \prime}$ | $361^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Faucet 4 | $292^{\prime \prime}$ | $243^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Shower | $546^{\prime \prime}$ | $486^{\prime \prime}$ |

- 214 " from recirculating pump at Faucet 1 back to hot water heater
- Recirculates if temperature falls below $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$



## Flushing Regimen

All fixtures flushed $3 x$ per weekday at maximum flow rate (0.8-1.2 $\mathrm{gal} / \mathrm{min}$ ) at :

- 8 AM (cold)
- 12 PM (50/50 hot \& cold)
- 3 PM (cold)

| Fixture | Time per Flush | Time per Day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Faucet 1 | 7 minutes | 21 minutes |
| Faucet 2 | 7 minutes | 21 minutes |
| Faucet 3 | 15 minutes | 45 minutes |
| Faucet 4 | 1 minute | 3 minutes |
| Shower | 15 minutes | 45 minutes |
| Toilet | 3 flushes | 9 flushes |

- Based on the typical use of a family of 4 reported by AWWA/WRF's survey (1999)
- Does not include water use from outdoors or a clothes washer
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## Water Use by Fixture



Cold Water Line


Hot Water Line

- 188 gallons total per each day ran
- Ran 1157 days


## Sampling Schedule

- 250 mL samples
- Stagnant sampling includes first and second draw (two 250 mL samples)
- First draw covers faucet and 1.3 m copper pipe
- Second draw covers 1.5 m copper pipe
- Analyzed for metals by ICP-MS and ICP-AES
- Water Quality samples analyzed for inorganics

|  | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 AM | Cold 3-Day <br> Stagnation |  |  | Cold 1-Day <br> Stagnation | Hot 1-Day <br> Stagnation |
| 3 PM | Water <br> Quality |  | Cold Flushed | Hot Flushed | Cold Flushed |
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## Model System Required Regular Plumbing Work

## Day 786: Faucet 3 leaked, O-ring <br> replaced

| Day ~300: <br> Initial aging period <br> complete |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Day 51 <br> tank va <br> Day 53 <br> water |
| 200 | 400 |

## Day 662: Removed

 and replaced Faucets 2 \& 4, and copper pipe from hot and cold water lines leading to Faucet 4Day 967: Installed check valve on cold and hot water lines in hot water tank due to temperature mixing

Day 1005: Flow meter installed on hot water line. Day 1006: Faucet 1 clogged with solid particles

Day 1898:
Switched
flushing pattern
to "random use"

Day 1863: Put LSL in-line with the rest of the
plumbing system

Days 1691-1696: LSL
installed.
Day 1704: Started
conditioning LSL

Day 921: Sample port added to beginning of hot water recirculation line
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## Water Quality

| Water Line | pH | Temperature (deg. C) | DO (mg/L) | Free Chlorine (mg/L) | Chloride (mg/L) | Phosphate (mg/L) | Nitrate ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as <br> N) | Total Alkalinity ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cold | $\begin{array}{r} 7.2 \pm 0.43 \\ (189) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21.4 \pm 4.20 \\ (190) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.5 \pm 1.6 \\ (190) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.71 \pm 0.28 \\ (192) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 39.5 \pm 9.3 \\ (199) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.14 \pm 0.07 \\ (192) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.83 \pm 0.20 \\ (199) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 58.5 \pm 11.4 \\ (199) \end{array}$ |
| Hot | $\begin{array}{r} 7.3 \pm 0.54 \\ (188) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28.5 \pm 6.82 \\ (189) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.5 \pm 1.6 \\ (189) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.27 \pm 0.19 \\ (191) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 42.6 \pm 42.1 \\ (197) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.20 \pm 0.11 \\ (192) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.83 \pm 0.19 \\ (197) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.1 \pm 11.1 \\ (197) \end{array}$ |

*Adjusted pH from 8.5 to make water more corrosive
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## Cold Water 17 h Stagnation



## Faucet 3: Pb-Sn Solder



The first draw (black line) covers
the faucet and 1.3 meters of pipe.
It covers $2 \mathrm{~Pb}: \mathrm{Sn}$ soldered joints.

The second draw (green line) covers the next 1.5 meters of pipe. It covers $5 \mathrm{~Pb}: \mathrm{Sn}$ soldered joints.

## Cold Tap: First 250 mL vs. Second 250 mL

- $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Sn}$ soldered joints in Faucet 3 cause high lead release in the second draw



17 h Stagnation samples
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## Cold I Day vs. 3 Day Stagnation

Faucet 3
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## Faucet Type

- Faucet 2:Faucet 4 water use ratio of 7:1
- Same faucet type
- Both faucets replaced on day 662

- Faucet 1 is a brass utility faucet
- Faucet 2 is certified as complying with NSF/ANSI 61
- Identical water use


Cold water line
1 day stagnation
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## Faucet ICold I7 h Stagnation



Faucet 1: $1.35 \pm$ $0.80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$

Faucet 2: $0.56 \pm$ $0.37 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$
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## Aging by Gallons and Days



Cold 17 h stagnation


## Day 1696: Installed Lead Service Line

- Excavated from Cincinnati property
- 6'8" length, 0.5" ID
- Began conditioning pipe separately from premise plumbing
- ~250 gal/day, 1 gal/min
- pH 7.50



## 今EPA

## Lead Service Line Conditioning

Flushed


17+h Stagnation


Noted physical disturbance
Filtered sample was not

Average particulate percentage for stagnant= 10.6\% (0.7-31\%)

## BEPA

## Chlorine Demand

Collected on days
1802-1853
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## Day I 863: LSL put In-line with downstream premise plumbing

Total lead only

Faucet 1


Faucet 3


Faucet 2


Faucet 4


## Day I898:"Typical" Use Patterns

## Switched water usage from regimented flushing to random use to observe how water use patterns and typical sampling protocols reflect a system's plumbosolvency

- Faucet 3 is the simulated "kitchen tap" because it has the most water use
- Pb-Sn solder
- Outlined typical use patterns based on 2016 WRF survey
- Average number of water uses (pulses)
- Average draw per use
- Faucet 1 simulated as appliances (washing machine \& dishwasher) that each have a defined water draw
- Recorded time and volume of each draw
- Different members of the lab chose time, volume, flow rate, temperature, and fixture for each draw


## Sampling Protocols

- Random Daytime (RDT)
- Protocol: 1 L sample collected at a random time during workday hours
* Captures wide range of exposure scenarios
- Lead and Copper Rule (LCR)
- Protocol: 1 L first draw after at least 6 hours of no use
- Stagnation time was 17 h in this system
* Lack of pre-flush preserves varying contact times with the LSL, dependent on water use patterns
- Composite Sampling
- Protocol: 60 mL into a 1 L container whenever a glass of water or cooking water is drawn over the course of 1 day
* Combination of many small samples at time of consumption approximates true exposure
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## Sampling Results

- Frequent water use can severely dilute samples compared to the stagnant LSL concentration (~150 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ )
- LSL is only $6 \%$ of total plumbing length (typical LSL is $\sim 60 \mathrm{ft}$ )
- 1.3 L from Faucet 3 to LSL



## Continuing Work

## Microbiological Work

- Legionella bacteria detected in the four faucets and shower head
- Ongoing work monitors growth and response to normal hot water maintenance work and preventative practices

Lead Transport Modeling

- The model system's plumbosolvency has been characterized through sampling
- A range of lead exposure scenarios can be modeled based on different water use patterns


## Summary

- Significant amounts of lead can leach from new brass, solder, and faucets, even those complying with NSF standards
- Identical faucets can initially release drastically different amounts of lead and copper
- Lead and copper levels both plateaued after $\sim 300$ days and aging is dependent on both time and volume of water used
- Plumbing work including faucet, pipe, and/or hot water heater replacement causes extended and variable lead and copper release, and levels can be higher than those after first installation
- High plumbosolvency is not accurately reflected by conventional sampling protocols


## Contact Information
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## Notice

The findings and conclusions in this presentation have not been formally disseminated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and should not be construed to represent any Agency determination or policy. Any mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

