



#### Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Options Database (GMOD) and Tool

Raj Bhander and John Chang ORD/NRMRL/APPCD/APTB Email: <u>bhander.gurbakhash@epa.gov</u> ACLCA Conference | Vancouver | Canada | Date: 10/06-08/2015



Office of Research and Development

National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division.



#### Outline







GMOD Introduction

GMOD Framework
 (Database & Tool)

- GMOD Usability, and Credibility
- ➢ Future Work

Office of Research and pevelopment National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division.



#### Outline

- A brief introduction to
  - Greenhouse Gas Mitigation options Database (GMOD)
  - GMOD availability and functionality
  - Users support (how the GMOD can satisfy the users' needs)
- Future research goal and summary



### What is GMOD Database and Tool?

- Data repository of greenhouse gas (GHG) mitigation technologies (new, existing & retrofit) for the Power, Pulp & Paper, Cement (peer reviewed), Refineries, Landfill (ready for external peer review) and Iron & Steel sectors (under development)
- Collected from well-known data sources (publications, reports, databases etc.)
- Provides costs, emissions, performance, and availability information of the technology
- Includes default data and parameters defined for capital costs, lifetime economic and utilization rates
- Approx. 400 datasets for various sectors
- A user friendly interface

Office of Research and Development National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division.



### **GMOD** Data Elements (Criteria)

- Necessary data elements that need to be compiled
  - Year of availability
  - Stage of development
  - Characteristics of installation
  - Primary and secondary fuels
  - Process Energy Consumption (penalty etc.)
  - Cost of Electricity
  - Streams and Inlet flue gas concentrations
  - CO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiency and co-benefits (Removal of other air pollutants, if any)
  - Capital costs, fixed and variable operating and maintenance costs
  - Environmental impacts (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, biogenic CO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>X</sub>, Sulfur dioxide, Mercury etc.)
- All data need to be (technical elements)
  - Presented consistently with common unit (SI units)
  - Documented (references, quality, accessibility etc.)



# How GMOD Supports

- GMOD is a decision support database and tool
- Serves as a comprehensive source for GHG control options for various sectors
- GMOD is designed to answer the following questions (but not limited to):
  - What is the best available technology for the situation?
  - What is the technology stage of development and availability?
  - What is the projected utilization cost?
  - What are the ancillary impacts of technology deployment?
  - What are the emission reduction costs?





### **GMOD Requirements & Availability**

- An executable package that can operate on Microsoft and OS X operating systems:
  - Operating system: Microsoft windows 7, 8, 8.1, 10 or OS X 10.x,
  - Memory (RAM): 256 MB or higher
  - Processor: 800 MHz or higher
  - Hard drive space: 500 MB or higher, and
  - An internet connection is recommended
- The alternative goal is to download the exe. package from the EPA website (<u>www.epa.gov/gmod</u> - under construction)
- Current version will be available by email (<u>bhander.gurbakhash@epa.gov</u>)
- Terms of Use

"The user is responsible for understanding the implications of changing any variable default values. EPA is not responsible for erroneous output due to unrealistic changes the user makes to the default values in the program."

National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division.









 GMOD Framework (Database & Tool)



- GMOD Usability, and Credibility
- ➢ Future Work

Office of Research and Development National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division.



#### **GMOD** Database & Tool Structure





#### **GMOD Tool (Interface) Structure**





#### **GMOD Interface – Technologies Data**

<ul> <li>Technologies data:</li> </ul>	Tool for Mitigation Options		
· recritiologies data.	File Default Database Paint Style Tools Help		
<ul> <li>Sectors data</li> </ul>	Image: Sectors     Image: Secto		
Data filtration options	Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Options Database and Tool (GMODT) ×		
Data intration options	E Sectors A 🔍 🗉 🔂 🚯 👫 😰 🖼		
<u></u>	Mitigation Technology Description     By       By        Searce Type     Status		
국 Technology: New 379 MW PC Boiler (Super) w/ Post Comb-Econ FG Plus, 90% CO2 Removal	- C X 79 Sector Facility Status (115 records) Sources Type (41 records) Reduction Technology RT Count		
File Tools Help         Image: Constraint of the second s	V     A Poter Sector     V     A rew rights     V     V     V       S50     Norm. Year     Development Stage     Sub-Type       Val     Pal     V     V     V       Val     V     V     V		
Technology New 379 MW PC Boiler (Super) w/ Post Comb-Econ FG Plus, 90% CO2 Removal Availability	ity Year 2015 By EPA Development Stage Sub-source Type Red. Technology Sub-red. Technology Capacity		
Description Retrofitted with Econamine Flue Gas (FG) Plus Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) at 90% CO2 A Ba	se Year 2007 Date 7/1/2011 V 93 New 379 MW PC Boiler (Super) w/ Po Demonstration & PC Boiler		
	DataSet Type 🗹 Undefined 💌 pal New 546 MW PC Boiler (Super) w/ Po Demonstration 🚯 PC Boiler		
🗙 Summary 🎼 Costs and Others 🛸 Emissions 🛱 Resources 🔚 Documenta	ation list New 135 MW Coal fired CFB Boiler (S Demonstration 💧 CFB Boiler		
General Summary     Facility Status     Net Output [MW]     Net Hi	Refere [mmBtu/MWb] Dicture 135 New 500 MW PC Boiler (Super) w/ Po Demonstration PC Boiler		
New ▼ Prev W/Controls ▼ 379.00 0	New 329 MW PC Boiler (Super) w/ Po Demonstration		
Stage of Development For add Aux. Power [kWh/MW] Net H	R After, [mmBtu/MWh] New 566 MW PC Boiler (UltraSuper) Demonstration PC Boiler TIITCATION OPTIONS		
Technology Location . , Technology Vendor	New 550 MW Bituminous PC Boiler (S Demonstration 🔥 PC Boiler		
Greenfield, Midwestern US (Generic site)	New 98 MW CFB Boiler (Alstom) w/ c Demonstration <u>6</u> CFB Boiler		
Reduction Technology	.97		
Source Type Coal Boiler	v     Economic Life [yrs]     40.00     bal		
Reduction Tech. 1 <ul> <li>Reduction Tech. 2</li> <li>Sub-reduction Tech.</li> <li>V</li> <li>Sub-reduction. Tech2.</li> </ul>	. er		
Sub2-reduction Tech. 🏠 MEA 🗸 Sub2-reduction. Tech	2 🔆 Select V Pal <		
National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Air Pollution Prevention a	VERSION: Vol: 2.0.0.1 Comments Close Scenario >> Compare >>		



#### **GMOD Interface – Outputs**









 GMOD Framework (Database & Tool)



- GMOD Usability, and Credibility
- ➢ Future Work



#### Brief Example: Existing Power Unit

- A private industry would like to **upgrade an existing** power production unit under the following criteria:
  - Reduce emissions from 315 MW PC Boiler to meet emission reduction standards,
  - A capital expenditure budget \$600,000 or,
  - a normalized budget of \$1,905/MW,
  - Control must be commercially available, economic life 30yrs, utilization rate of 75%,
- Energy-efficiency control options
  - excess oxygen control in boiler, decreased boiler air heater leakage, new turbine seals, boiler feed pump overhaul, install intelligent soot blowers, boiler condenser cleaning ductwork upgrade, modify electrostatic precipitator (ESP), and modify selective catalytic reduction (SCR)
- **Question:** What does GMOD suggest for the best control option to meet emission reduction standards?



#### Brief Example: Existing Power Unit (conti...)

GMOD

#### Recommendations

- Installing intelligent soot
   blowers for CO2
   reduction
- Boiler condenser
   cleaning that provides
   emission reductions
   with no capital
   expenditure

Type of Control	Change in Emissions (Ibs./MWh)	Normalized Cost (\$/MW)	Source Size Range (MW)
Excess oxygen control in boiler	-5.25 to -13.6	556 to 1,000	500 to 900
Decreased boiler air heater leakage	-0.834 to -5.25	529 to 1,300	250 to 900
New turbine seals	-3.13	1500	250
Boiler feed pump overhaul	-7.87	833 to 1,500	200 to 900
Install intelligent soot blowers	-12.6 to -18.9	556 to 1,500	200 to 900
Boiler condenser cleaning	-10.5	0	200 to 900
Ductwork upgrade	-0.315	556	450
Modify ESP	-0.525	444 to 500	200 to 900
Modify SCR	-1.05	1,000 to 1,250	200 to 900



#### **Example B: A New Power Unit Construction**

- A technology developer would like to construct a new power production unit:
- Technology/geographical constraints
  - due to geographical limitations, the wind turbine, geothermal, and solar options are not viable,
  - and does not wish to build a nuclear plant in light of site selection difficulties.
- Technology choice (Available in GMOD)
  - Coal-fired supercritical pulverized coal (PC) boiler, Unit net capacity of at least 550 MW, utilization rate of 80% and economic life - 40 years
- Control options choice (Available in GMOD):
  - Demonstration level only.
- **Question:** How GMOD can help the technology developer to select most mitigative and compatible options to control emissions from PC-boiler to meet emission reduction standard?

National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division.



#### **Example B: A New Power Unit Construction**

- Selected demonstration level mitigation options for the technology of interest:
  - No GHG Control
  - Oxy-firing and an air separation unit plus carbon capture and storage (cryogenic distillation for 95% oxygen purity; energy requirements supplied by the PC boiler and subtracted from the gross output)
  - Post-combustion using MEA2carbon capture and storage
  - Post-combustion with Econamine FG Plus carbon capture and storage
  - Post-combustion chilled NH3 carbon capture and storage

Post-combustion dry carbonate carbon capture and storage
 Office of Research and Development

Eliminate – Pilot Scale



#### **Example B: A New Power Unit Construction**

- GMOD emissions reduction and cost recommendations:
  - Oxy-firing has the lowest CO<sub>2</sub> emission rate (approx. 170 lbs./MWh)
  - Post-combustion MEA is the most costeffective (total generation costs approx. \$77/MWh).
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emission rates for post-combustion
     MEA and post-combustion Econamine FG
     Plus are closer but total generation cost of
     the Econamine FG Plus is higher.







## **GMOD Status**

- Current version of database contains approximately 400 datasets for power, refinery, cement, pulp and paper, and landfill sectors
- User friendly interface allows users to:
  - Project best mitigation technologies
  - Compare similar technologies
  - Generate and compare various technologies scenarios
- Database Sector Status:
  - Power, Cement and Pulp and Paper will be publically available by end of FY2016
  - Refinery sector will be publically available by end of FY2016, Iron & steel sectors expected by end of FY2018.
  - Collecting Iron & Steel Sector data and updating Cement and Pulp & Paper sectors.



### GMOD Development will:

- Identify mitigation concepts for GHG emissions which are of high interest to researchers and policy makers ("GHG tailoring Rule")
- Fill information gaps in existing greenhouse mitigation databases
- Provide the user with information on real-life examples from the literature and control options for various sectors.
- Help the user determine the most attractive options from performance and cost perspectives
- Provide a comprehensive and reliable source to view, compare and evaluate various mitigation options data



# Thank You!

Contact information:

Raj Bhander Email: <u>Bhander.Gurbakhash@epa.gov</u> Ph: 919-541-7542

John Chang Email: <u>Chang.John@epa.gov</u> Ph: 919-541-3747

#### DISCLAIMER

Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, nor usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference therein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. government and shall not be used for advertising or process endorsement purposes.

Office of Research and Development

National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division.