Design and characterization of a sequential cyclone system for the collection of bulk particulate matter
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In this paper, we describe the design, development and characterization of a high-volume sequential cyclone system for the collection of size-segregated PM in dry bulk form from the ambient environment in sufficient quantity for physical, chemical and toxicological characterization. The first stage of the system consists of a commercially available high volume PM10 inlet. The second stage cyclone was designed by us to collect inhalable coarse particles (<10 μm and >2.5 μm). When tested individually with a challenge aerosol, a D50 cut-size of this stage was found to be 2.3 μm at a flow rate of 1 m3 min⁻¹. The third stage, a commercially available cyclone designed for surface dust sampling, had a D50 cut-size of 0.3 μm when tested at the same flow rate. The purpose of the third stage is to collect the fine particle portion of PM2.5 or accumulation mode (PM <2.5 μm and >0.1 μm). Thus, the sequential cyclone system will collect bulk samples of both the inhalable coarse particles and the fine particle portion of PM2.5. The operation and maintenance of the new system are straightforward and allow for reliable collection of dry bulk ambient PM at relatively low cost.

1. Introduction

Airborne particulate matter (PM) is a complex mixture that includes particles in a wide range of sizes and with significantly different composition. Numerous epidemiological and toxicological studies have found associations between increases in morbidity and mortality for respiratory and cardiovascular illness and elevated concentrations of ambient PM.1–3 Toxicological studies exploring mechanisms which might explain these epidemiological findings typically use model particles such as carbon black,4,5 or residual oil fly ash (ROFA).6,7 The National Research Council8 identified the need to better understand the relationship between toxicity and the size and composition of PM as an important research priority. The epidemiological evidence suggesting that PM toxicity is size-dependent was recently reviewed by Pope and Dockery.1 In the early 1990s research and policy focused on health effects related to PM10 (PM smaller than 10 μm in aerodynamic diameter). The focus shifted to PM2.5 (PM <2.5 μm in aerodynamic diameter) in the late 1990s. However, since PM2.5 is a subset of PM10, there is growing interest in specifically exploring the impact on health from exposure to inhalable coarse particle fraction (particles with aerodynamic diameter between 2.5 μm and 10 μm, or PM10-2.5). To date, few studies have examined this question9,10 and even fewer have begun to assess the impact of PM composition.11,12

Surrogates of ambient PM such as carbon black and ROFA used in toxicological studies are only partially representative of ambient particulate matter. To allow for a true exploration of why ambient PM may be responsible for increased mortality and morbidity, a method for the collection of ambient PM samples for use in toxicological studies is needed. Existing samplers that collect PM in large quantity typically utilize filter-based or virtual inertial impaction techniques.13,14 The recently developed high volume cascade impactor or HVCI15 size-fractionates and collects PM on polyurethane foam, which minimizes particle bounce. However, the presence of any collection matrix complicates attempts to harvest the PM in a dry state and to obtain a comprehensive chemical characterization that could inform toxicological assays.
Non-media systems such as fine and ultrafine particle concentrators have also been developed, which generate concentrated ambient PM (CAP) for direct exposure in toxicological and human studies. Ultrafine particle concentrators typically separate the particles from the air by condensation with water prior to virtual impactor separation. Concentrated particles are then restored to their original sizes using thermal or diffusion dryers. Concentrators are not ideal for field collection of bulk PM samples because of their high relative cost and need for continuous maintenance.

An alternative to impactor or filter-based methods is high volume cyclones, which allow for the bulk collection of PM within the body of the cyclone. Unlike impactors, cyclones are easy to operate and maintain, are not subject to particle bounce, and their cost is low in comparison to other collection devices. High volume cyclones are routinely used in agricultural and manufacturing industries for the continuous, cost-effective removal of PM from process air. On a smaller scale, cyclones have been extensively used for low flow rate size-selective air sampling in the occupational and environmental health settings. Cyclones have also been used as collectors to sequentially size-segregate particles; a low volume, 5-stage cyclone system for high particulate concentration stack sampling has been used to characterize exposure to beryllium aerosols and another low-flow 2-stage sequential cyclone was recently developed for biological sample collection.

The goal of this project was to build upon current cyclone technology to design and develop a cyclone system that would allow for the collection of ambient PM in gram quantities without the need for a collection substrate. The system would take advantage of previous work utilizing a single-stage high-volume cyclone for the bulk collection of environmental PM samples. The new system would incorporate multiple stages that allow for size selectivity in PM capture. This paper describes the design and development of a high-volume sequential cyclone system (HVSCS) for collection of size-segregated dry bulk PM from the ambient environment in sufficient quantity for physical, chemical and toxicological characterization.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Design overview

A framework for the sequential system is provided in Fig. 1. The first stage removes PM >10 μm, allowing PM <10 μm to pass to the second stage collector. The second stage, with a theoretical $D_{50}$ cut-size (the aerodynamic diameter of particles that are collected with 50% efficiency) of 2.5 μm, will collect the PM passing through the first stage providing a coarse PM sample (PM$_{10-2.5}$). Finally the third stage should have a $D_{50}$ as low as possible to provide the most representative sample of PM$_{2.5}$. Stage 1 is a high-volume PM$_{10}$ inlet (HI-Q Environmental, San Diego, CA) with a $D_{50}$ equal to 10 μm at a flow rate of 1.1 m$^3$ min$^{-1}$ (40 cfm). Stage 2 is a cyclone designed as part of this project with a target $D_{50}$ of 2.5 μm. The final stage, Stage 3, is a commercially available cyclone (model HVS3, CS3 Inc., Sandpoint, ID) designed as part of a vacuum carpet dust sampler for collection of settled dust to be analyzed for lead and pesticides. Calculations based on the design equations suggest that this Stage 3 cyclone will have a $D_{50}$ of ~0.8 μm when operated at 1 m$^3$ min$^{-1}$. We have used this cyclone as a single stage collector for PM toxicology studies. We report in this paper the laboratory-based $D_{50}$ for both Stage 2 and Stage 3 cyclones first tested separately and then tested when assembled as a system. The sampling pump used for the system is a BRL-3300M (HI-Q Environmental, San Diego, CA), a commonly used brushless pump for high volume environmental sampling.

2.2 Stage 2 cyclone design

The performance of cyclones can be estimated using semi-empirical equations. Cyclone design is therefore often an...
iterative process where the equations define the gross behavior, with fine-tuning of dimensions often needed to get more precise collection characteristics. The specifications of the Stage 2 cyclone, to be described from here forward as the coarse fraction cyclone, were based on the design of a Stairmand high efficiency cyclone\(^a\) (Fig. 2). The target dimensions of the coarse fraction cyclone were calculated for a \(D_{50}\) of 2.5 \(\mu\)m at 1.1 \(\text{m}^3\) \(\text{min}^{-1}\) (same flow rate as the PM\(_{10}\) pre-selector), following the model described by Moore and McFarland,\(^a\) summarized in eqn (1)–(3). As shown in eqn (1)–(3), the diameter of the cyclone \((D)\) is dependent on the flow Reynolds number (\(Re_t\)), which cannot be solved without a value for \(D\). Therefore, \(D\) must be obtained by an iterative process after assuming a \(D_o\).

\[
U_i = Q/\pi \times \left(\frac{D_o}{2}\right)^2
\]  

\[
Re_t = \frac{\rho \times U_i \times (D - D_o)}{2 \times \mu}
\]  

\[
D = \frac{D_{50}}{\exp(\ln(a) + b \ln(Re_t))}
\]

where \(U_i\) = inlet velocity (\(\text{cm s}^{-1}\)); \(Q\) = flow rate (\(\text{cm}^3\) \(\text{s}^{-1}\)); \(D_o\) = diameter of cyclone’s outlet (cm); \(D\) = cyclone’s body diameter (cm); \(\rho\) = air density (g \(\text{cm}^{-3}\)); \(\mu\) = fluid viscosity (g \(\text{cm s}^{-1}\)); \(D_{50}\) = aerodynamic particle cut point diameter (cm); \(a = -2.933 \pm 0.042\); and \(b = -0.81719 \pm 0.017\).

The starting requirement for the coarse fraction cyclone was that the diameter of the outlet needed to interface directly to the diameter of the inlet of Stage 3.

Penetration efficiency tests (described later) of an initial prototype coarse cyclone showed a relatively sharp cut point of 1.5 \(\mu\)m, below the targeted \(D_{50}\) of 2.5 \(\mu\)m (Fig. 5). A second prototype of the coarse fraction cyclone was re-designed to move the \(D_{50}\) closer to 2.5 \(\mu\)m, resulting in an increase in \(D\) by 1.7 cm. The rest of the dimensions changed accordingly (Fig. 2). The penetration efficiency of this new cyclone was also tested in a laboratory setting, using monodisperse particles of different sizes at two flow rates: 1.1 and 1.0 \(\text{m}^3\) \(\text{min}^{-1}\). These flow rates were chosen to represent the midpoint and lower edge of acceptable flow ranges for the Stage 1 PM\(_{10}\) inlet.

2.3 Laboratory characterization of cyclone performance

Collection efficiencies were tested first for each cyclone individually and then for each cyclone when operated as a system using fluorescently tagged particles, one size at a time, as described below.

2.3.1. Determination of collection efficiency of cyclones tested individually. Individual cyclones were tested using two different aerosol generation methods: (1) an ink-jet aerosol generator (IJAG)\(^b\) that allows testing outside a chamber for particles ranging from 2 to 12 \(\mu\)m and (2) polystyrene latex (PSL) monodisperse particles ranging from 0.1 to 5 \(\mu\)m nebulized inside a chamber.\(^c\) The combined systems, described below in detail, generate solid fluorescent particles of sizes ranging from 0.1 to 12 \(\mu\)m (one size at a time). Characterization of each cyclone’s performance efficiency was accomplished by measuring: (1) fluorescence of the total mass of particles entering the cyclone, using a reference filter (RF); (2) fluorescence of particles penetrating through the cyclone using an outlet filter (OF); and (3) fluorescence of particles retained by the cyclone’s collection cup (CC) and body (Fig. 3b and c). Both reference and outlet filters were 8\(\times\)10\(^6\) AE glass fiber (Pall Corp., East Hills, NY).

Fluorescence was extracted from the filters, body and cup by washing with a known volume of an appropriate recovery solution (see below for details). Fluorescence was then determined by pipetting a 3 mL aliquot from each wash in a cuvette and analyzing on a fluorometer (Model 450, Sequoia-Turner, Mountain View, CA). Because of the small number of total samples collected, and the high variability of the fluorescence from blank filters, between 40 and 60% filter blanks were analyzed to determine fluorescence background. Blanks of the recovery solution were read every 3 samples to correct for baseline drift.

To test individual cyclones, particles with Aerodynamic Equivalent Diameter (AED) \(>2\) \(\mu\)m were generated with an IJAG particle generation system (Fig. 3a), in which monodisperse particles are produced by desiccating liquid droplets of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) mixed with sodium fluorescein.

![Fig. 3](image-url) Cyclone testing using an Ink-Jet Aerosol Generator (IJAG). (a) Verification of size and concentration generated with the IJAG; (b) collection on a reference filter; and (c) test of cyclone collection efficiency.
(Na-Fi). By manipulating the proportion of components in solution, the IJAG can generate particles of different diameters; the smallest diameter possible is 2 μm. The NaOH–Na-Fi solution is released into a vertical cylinder of the IJAG using an inkjet printer nozzle. The number of particles released by the nozzle is electronically controlled and verified using a light scattering sensor. Generated particles travel through a charge neutralizer and a heated vertical cylinder that evaporates the liquid, leaving solid particles of a single size that are released from the cylinder nozzle at 1 L min⁻¹. Particle diameter and count were confirmed between runs using an Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS 3320, TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN) (Fig. 3a).

During cyclone testing, the IJAG was set to generate single-sized particles at a rate of 300 particles per second for 100 seconds, giving a total of 30 000 particles per run. This system produces a monodisperse aerosol of very consistent and reproducible fluorescent concentration, which facilitates quality control and mass balance determinations. The fluorescence generated in each run was determined by capturing the particles on a reference 8” × 10” AE glass fiber filter connected to a pump running at 1 m³ min⁻¹ and placed 5 cm from the outer nozzle of the IJAG (Fig. 3b). This filter was chosen to provide sufficient surface area to collect the generated particles with low pressure drop at that flow rate. The flow rate for the reference filters and cyclones was calibrated before each test using a mass flow meter (model 504FT, Kurz Instruments Inc., Monterey, CA). After each run, the filter was folded and placed in a centrifuge tube, where 40 mL of recovery solution (0.1% ammonium hydroxide in deionized water) were added to extract the Na-Fi from the filter. Each tube was shaken gently before analyzing for fluorescence. The average fluorescence of three replicate filters served as the reference level (i.e., 100% fluorescence entering the cyclone) for each particle size. Immediately after the reference filters were exposed, the IJAG nozzle was placed 5 cm from the cyclone inlet and particles were drawn into the cyclone (Fig. 3c) connected to an outlet filter. After each particle generation run, the cup was washed with 20 mL of the recovery solution which was analyzed for fluorescence. The outlet filter was extracted as described above and the extract also analyzed for fluorescence. This process was repeated three times for each particle size. After the last run of each particle size, the inside of the cyclone body was washed using 60 mL of recovery solution as many times as necessary until fluorescence was back to background. The fluorescence sum from the washouts was divided by the number of runs, and added to the cup results to account for particles retained by the cyclone body. This information was used with results from the outlet filters to check mass balance determinations. The cyclone’s collection efficiency was calculated for each particle size by dividing the fluorescence recovered from the cyclone body by the fluorescence recovered from the reference filter. The reference filter represents total fluorescence entering the cyclone. Because of the variability inherent to the method, this number can sometimes be slightly larger than 1.

For particles with AED <5 μm, fluorescent-labeled Polystyrene Latex beads (PSL—Fluoresbrite® YG. Polysciences Inc., Warrington, PA) were aerosolized inside a 70 m³ chamber using two 24-jet Collison nebulizers (BGI Inc., Waltham, MA) whose outlets were each connected to a 10 mCi charge neutralizer (Model 3054, TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN). Particle size and concentration inside the chamber were monitored with an Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS 3320, TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN).

The cyclones and reference filters were located side-by-side inside the 70 m³ chamber (Fig. 4), where fluorescent PSL particles were aerosolized as described above. The flow rate for the reference filters and cyclones was calibrated before each test using a mass flow meter. For each run, a monodisperse PSL aerosol was generated with the Collison nebulizers for 10 minutes at 30 psi. Particle size and concentration were verified using an APS 3320. After the nebulizers were turned off, the cyclone and reference filters were run for 10 minutes with a mixing fan running for 30 seconds every 2 minutes. Before the 2nd and 3rd runs, PSL particles were aerosolized to return the chamber to initial concentrations. The filters and cups were processed for fluorescence measurement as described above, except the recovery solution consisted of 100% ethyl acetate, which dissolves PSL. To ensure that PSL beads were completely dissolved, filters soaked in 40 mL ethyl acetate were left in an orbital shaker for 30 minutes at 150 rpm before analysis. All ethyl acetate work was performed inside an exhaust hood with adequate personal protective equipment. At the end of the 3rd run, each part of the cyclone body was rinsed with 20 mL ethyl acetate until fluorescence was back to background levels, to ensure complete recovery of the PSL particles. Before testing at the next particle size, the chamber was flushed for 20 minutes with filtered air, and the cyclones were thoroughly washed, rinsed with DI water, dried with filtered air, and inspected under a black light.

The size cut point for each cyclone (D_{50}) was calculated using STATA® (State College, TX). Efficiency curves (Fig. 5 and 6) were modeled using the non-linear logistic function, which assumes a symmetric sigmoid shape. Non-symmetrical Gompertz models were explored but found to be very similar, so the more conservative symmetrical model was used in all cases.

### 2.3.2. Testing cyclones when assembled as a sequential system

Characterization of each cyclone when operated as part of the sequential system was conducted using a smaller range of particle sizes inside the chamber with nebulized PSL particles ranging from...
soaked in 40 mL recovery solution. The average extracted fluorescence from the blank filters was subtracted from that of the spiked filters, and then compared to 40 mL recovery solution spiked with 1 mL of the same Na-Fl that was used to spike the filters.

Validation of PSL extraction was performed by spiking 1 mL of a 1:1000 dilution of stock PSL on AE filters connected to a pump running at 1.1 m$^3$ min$^{-1}$. The PSL beads were extracted from the filters by soaking the filters in 40 mL ethyl acetate. The filters were then placed on a shaker at 150 rpm to remove any remaining PSL. Blank filters were also soaked in ethyl acetate and the fluorescence subtracted from that of spiked filters. The resulting fluorescence was compared to a mixture of 40 mL ethyl acetate spiked with 1 mL of the same 1:1000 PSL solution used to spike the filters. Readings of fluorescence were conducted three times each hour for three hours to determine if the percent recovery of the PSL changed over time.

3. Results

3.1 Particle recovery from the filters

The mean Na-Fl recovery from the filters was 84 ± 10% (Table 1). Recovery of PSL particles from the filters ranged from 97–99.5% (±15%). Cyclone testing results using the IJAG generator with Na-Fl particles were adjusted using the 84% recovery value.

Validation of the method to recover PSL beads from the filters indicated that over time there was a loss in recovery percentages. Recovery after 1 hour was 97%, whereas recovery after 3 hours was 94%. Therefore during testing, filters collecting PSL particles were extracted and analyzed within one hour of sample collection.

3.2 Cyclone design

The target design dimensions of the Stage 2 coarse fraction cyclone were calculated for a $D_{50}$ of 2.5 μm at 1.1 m$^3$ min$^{-1}$.

Table 1 Summary of experiments to validate fluorescence recovery from filters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Type of spike</th>
<th>Fluorescence units (FU)</th>
<th>Std dev. (%)</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>Blank corr. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference solution</td>
<td>2.5 μm Na-Fl</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter blank$^a$</td>
<td>3 None</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiked filter$^b$</td>
<td>2.5 μm Na-Fl</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference solution</td>
<td>1 μm PSL</td>
<td>1294</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter blank$^a$</td>
<td>4 None</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiked filter$^c$</td>
<td>1 μm PSL</td>
<td>1258</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>1255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference solution</td>
<td>0.1 μm PSL</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter blank$^a$</td>
<td>4 None</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiked filter$^d$</td>
<td>0.1 μm PSL</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ 0.1% ammonium hydroxide in deionized water. $^b$ All filters are 8.0 μm AE glass fiber. $^c$ 100% ethyl acetate. $^d$ Fluorescence determined within 1 hour.
following the model described by Moore and McFarland. The resulting dimensions are summarized in Table 2.

### 3.3. Cyclone performance

Two prototype coarse fraction cyclones were tested. Tests of the first prototype at 1.1 m³ min⁻¹ using particles from 1 to 12 μm showed a sharp cut point ($D_{50}$) at 1.5 μm with 100% efficiency after about 3 μm (Fig. 5). A second version of the coarse fraction cyclone modified to move the $D_{50}$ closer to 2.5 μm was tested using particles ranging in size from 0.1 to 5 μm at 1.1 and 1.0 m³ min⁻¹. Cyclone collection efficiency results are presented in Fig. 5. Based on the logistic function model, the $D_{50}$ of the second prototype coarse cyclone was calculated to be 1.8 (±0.5) μm at 1.1 m³ min⁻¹. The collection efficiency curve shifted to the right at 1.0 m³ m⁻¹, providing a $D_{50}$ of 2.3 (±0.2) μm. Both models were statistically significant at $p < 0.05$. Collection efficiency results at each particle size were found to be highly reproducible with coefficients of variation ranging from 2.5% to 13%.

Results for the collection efficiency testing of the Stage 3 cyclone are presented in Fig. 6. Based on the logistic function model, the $D_{50}$ at 0.9 m³ min⁻¹ is 0.5 μm ($p < 0.05$) and at 1.1 m³ min⁻¹ is 0.1 μm ($p < 0.05$). Extrapolating between these two curves would result in a $D_{50}$ close to 0.3 μm at a flow rate of 1.0 m³ min⁻¹. Particles with diameters of 0.1 μm were collected with 30% efficiency at 1.1 m³ per m. Coefficients of variation ranged from 0.1% to 11.5%.

Tests of the assembled cyclone system at 1 m³ min⁻¹ are presented in Fig. 7. Tests with PSL beads indicate that when assembled as a system, the Stage 2 cyclone retains particles between 10 and 3.5 μm, while the Stage 3 cyclone collects particles between 3.5 and ~0.3 μm.

Limited environmental sampling to date confirms that the cyclone system collects hundreds of milligrams of ambient PM. In the first sites of deployment the cyclone has collected between 400 and 1500 mg of “fine” PM and between 110 and 1200 mg of “coarse” PM over the course of 5 weeks on each site.

### 4. Discussion

The cyclone system was developed for the collection of bulk quantities of ambient particulate matter without the complications of a sampling substrate. The goal of this sampler is to collect size segregated dry bulk PM samples in large enough quantity to be useful for toxicological studies, as well as for a range of chemical characterizations without the need to collect multiple collocated samples or to remove the PM from a sampling substrate. The final design, depicted in Fig. 8, is a sequential system that consists of a high-volume PM₁₀ inlet, a custom-designed coarse fraction cyclone and a cyclone that collects particles down to ~0.3 μm. By eliminating particles with mean aerodynamic diameter >10 μm from the air stream, the Stage 2 cyclone, as currently designed, collects particles representative of the coarse fraction PM (particles with aerodynamic equivalent diameter equal to or smaller than 10 μm and larger than approximately 3.5 μm PM₁₀₃.₅). Subsequent designs will be manufactured and tested to shift the cut point closer to 2.5 μm. The PM collected by the Stage 3 cyclone is representative of fine PM, composed of particles with mean aerodynamic diameter smaller than 3.5 μm and larger than 0.3 μm (PM₃.₅0.₃). Each element of the sampler is coupled to the next with smooth connectors to minimize PM losses, and are connected using quick release V-clamps (MHHLA, Kaestner Company, Baltimore, MD) for easy assembly.

The major limitation of this system is that cyclone technology does not allow for the collection of ultra-fine particles, which may be associated with significant health consequences due to their high particle number, surface area and bioavailability. As inertial collectors cyclones are inefficient collectors of particles will low mass (i.e., ultrafines). Recovery of the ultrafine particles was considered in the developmental stages of the design process. The use of an after-filter was tested, resulting in a large pressure drop; since no pump could be found to overcome the increase in pressure at the target flow rate, this option was abandoned. Another option explored in the design stage was the use of an electrostatic precipitator. However, this technology is not well developed for the designed flow rate nor for the size range and low concentrations found in environmental samples. In addition, the mass of particles smaller than 0.1 μm, if collected, would not have been adequate for toxicological tests and characterization evaluations. From our laboratory tests of the cyclone system characterization, the Stage 3 cyclone collects between 30% and
50% of particles with 0.1 μm in diameter (Fig. 6). This means that our system exhausts most particles smaller than 0.1 μm in diameter. Based on published results by Wallace and colleagues, we have calculated that PM smaller than 0.1 μm comprises 13% to 20% of the fine fraction mass. The cyclone system, therefore, collects ~80 to 87% of typical fine PM.

The primary advantage of this system is the absence of a sampling substrate that must be removed before delivery of the PM to any toxicological or chemical assay. For toxicological studies exploring the mechanisms of injury associated with exposure to ambient particulate matter, milligram quantities of particulate matter can be needed if the studies are being conducted in vivo. This system allows for the collection of hundreds of milligrams of ambient PM over relatively short periods of time. In an urban environment such as Baltimore, MD, where weekly average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations during the summer months can be on the order of 20 μg m$^{-3}$, one week of sampling will yield approximately 200 mg bulk fine PM. A month-long sampling effort would yield adequate mass for complementary chemical characterization for constituents including trace elements, elemental carbon, and non-volatile inorganic and organic compounds.

This system as designed is being used in a nation-wide study of PM toxicity. PM samples from cities across the US are being collected to compare toxicity in in vivo and in vitro models.

Fig. 8 Schematic of the Sequential Cyclone Sampler. Final prototype.
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