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Air Pollution and Hospital Admissions for Ischemic and Hemorrhagic Stroke Among Medicare Beneficiaries

Gregory A. Wellenius, ScD; Joel Schwartz, PhD; Murray A. Mittleman, MD, DrPH

Background and Purpose—The association between short-term elevations in ambient air particles and increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality is well documented. Ambient particles may similarly increase the risk of stroke.

Methods—We evaluated the association between daily levels of respirable particulate matter (aerodynamic diameter ≤10 μm, PM10) and hospital admission for ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke among Medicare recipients (age ≥65 years) in 9 US cities using a 2-stage hierarchical model. In the first stage, we applied the time-stratified case-crossover design to estimate the effect of PM10 in each city. We used a 3-day unconstrained, distributed lag model to simultaneously estimate the effect of PM10 0 to 2 days before the admission day and controlled for meteorological covariates in all of the models. In the second stage, we used random-effects metaanalytic techniques to combine the city-specific effect estimates.

Results—Ischemic (n=155 503) and hemorrhagic (19 314) stroke admissions were examined separately. For ischemic stroke, an interquartile range increase in PM10 was associated with a 1.03% (95% CI, 0.04% to 2.04%) increase in admissions on the same day only. Similar results were observed with CO, NO2, and SO2. For hemorrhagic stroke, no association was observed with any pollutant 0 to 2 days before admission.

Conclusions—These results suggest that elevations in ambient particles may transiently increase the risk of ischemic, but not hemorrhagic, stroke. Studies with more accurate assessment of timing of stroke onset are necessary to confirm or refute these findings. (Stroke. 2005;36:2549-2553.)
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Epidemiological studies have demonstrated a consistent increased risk for cardiac morbidity and mortality associated with acute exposure to ambient air particles, including triggering of acute myocardial infarction,1,2 discharge of implanted cardioverter defibrillators,3 and hospital admission for acute decompensation of patients with congestive heart failure.4 Although the mechanisms have not been fully elucidated, current evidence suggests that alterations in hemodynamics,5,6 hemostatic factors,7–9 and autonomic function10,11 may underlie the cardiovascular effects of particulate air pollution.

Exposure to particulate air pollution may similarly increase the risk of stroke. Studies of small-area variation have found a positive association between stroke mortality rates and living in areas of high-ambient pollution.12 Time series studies using hospital discharge summaries report a statistically significant positive association between daily measures of respirable particles (particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter <10 μm, PM10) and cerebrovascular hospitalizations,13–16 but the results have been inconsistent.17–19 Moreover, few studies have distinguished between ischemic and hemorrhagic strokes.15,16,20 Given the putative mechanisms of particulate-related cardiovascular effects, we hypothesized that short-term elevations in PM10 would be associated with increased hospitalizations for ischemic but not hemorrhagic stroke. We evaluated this hypothesis among Medicare beneficiaries ≥65 years of age in 9 US cities with daily PM10 monitoring.

Methods

Study Population

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Harvard School of Public Health and Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center. We evaluated the association between mean daily PM10 levels and the rate of admission for stroke among Medicare beneficiaries in 9 cities chosen a priori based on their large populations, many years of daily PM10 monitoring, and geographic diversity (Table 1). The observation period in each city was limited by the range of dates for which both hospital admissions and daily PM10 data were available.

We obtained information on hospital admissions from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Ischemic stroke cases were defined as persons admitted from the emergency room with a primary discharge diagnosis of acute but ill-defined cerebrovascular
disease or occlusion of cerebral arteries, excluding those without cerebral infarction. In a sensitivity analysis, we limited the definition of ischemic stroke to patients admitted from the emergency room with a primary discharge diagnosis of occlusion of cerebral arteries. Hemorrhagic stroke cases were defined as persons admitted from the emergency room with a primary discharge diagnosis of intracerebral hemorrhage.

**Exposure Information**

We obtained daily measures of PM, CO, NO, and SO from the US Environmental Protection Agency and computed daily mean concentrations in each city. To evaluate the effect of PM within the range of exposure deemed acceptable in the United States under current regulations, we excluded from analysis 31 days (of 37203 possible) on which PM levels exceeded 150 μg/m³, the current 24-hour US Environmental Protection Agency standard. We considered pollutant data unavailable for a given city if >10% of days in the observation period had missing values. Using this criterion, data on CO was missing in New Haven, Conn; NO in Birmingham, Ala, Salt Lake City, Utah, and Seattle, Wash; and SO in Birmingham, Ala. We obtained National Weather Service data on climatologic variables (National Climatic Data Center) and calculated the apparent temperature (an index of human discomfort that incorporates ambient temperature and relative humidity) as described previously.

Data on PM were missing on 4.0% of the days. In a sensitivity analysis, we used city-specific prediction models to impute single missing values. Specifically, we modeled PM as smooth functions of PM on the previous and following day, available copollutants, and climatologic variables. City-specific model R² values ranged from 0.50 to 0.85 (mean, 0.71). We imputed missing values of PM for days preceded and followed by nonmissing days. This time-series, referred to as “PM, with imputed values,” had missing values on 1.7% of days.

**Data Analysis**

We used a 2-stage hierarchical model to evaluate the association between ambient pollution and the rate of hospital admission, as described previously. In the first stage, we used the time-stratified case-crossover study design to separately estimate the effect of air pollution in each city. In this design, each subject’s exposure before a case-defining event (case period) is compared with his or her own exposure experience during

**TABLE 1. No. of Hospital Admissions for Ischemic and Hemorrhagic Stroke Among Medicare Beneficiaries (age ≥65) in 9 US Cities During the Study Period**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Person-Years (Thousands)</th>
<th>Ischemic Cases</th>
<th>Hemorrhagic Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td>5/1/1986–11/30/1999</td>
<td>8603</td>
<td>54 797</td>
<td>7182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleveland</td>
<td>Cuyahoga</td>
<td>10/1/1988–11/30/1999</td>
<td>2468</td>
<td>20 142</td>
<td>2298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minneapolis</td>
<td>Hennepin, Ramsey</td>
<td>1/1/1987–12/31/1997</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>6903</td>
<td>1119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh</td>
<td>Allegheny</td>
<td>1/1/1987–11/30/1999</td>
<td>2995</td>
<td>23 139</td>
<td>2590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Lake City</td>
<td>Salt Lake</td>
<td>1/1/1986–11/30/1999</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>2559</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>1/1/1986–12/31/1995</td>
<td>1671</td>
<td>7190</td>
<td>1078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24 300</td>
<td>155 503</td>
<td>19 314</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on estimated no. of residents ≥65 years of age according to the US Bureau of the Census, 1990 Census of Population and Housing.

**TABLE 2. Summary of Mean Daily Concentrations of Air Pollutants and Climatologic Variables in 9 US Cities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>75</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>Correlation With PM&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>PM&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;, µg/m³</th>
<th>PM&lt;sub&gt;2.5&lt;/sub&gt; with imputed values, µg/m³</th>
<th>CO, ppm</th>
<th>NO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;, ppb</th>
<th>SO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;, ppb</th>
<th>Apparent temperature, °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;, µg/m³</td>
<td>12.91</td>
<td>18.88</td>
<td>28.36</td>
<td>41.84</td>
<td>57.89</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM&lt;sub&gt;2.5&lt;/sub&gt;, µg/m³</td>
<td>12.94</td>
<td>18.95</td>
<td>28.44</td>
<td>41.83</td>
<td>58.00</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO, ppm</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;, ppb</td>
<td>13.71</td>
<td>18.05</td>
<td>23.54</td>
<td>29.98</td>
<td>36.54</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;, ppb</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>10.26</td>
<td>16.17</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent temperature, °C</td>
<td>-3.93</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>19.33</td>
<td>25.42</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the second stage, we obtained a combined random-effects estimate from the city-specific effect estimates using standard random-effects meta-analysis methods. All of the reported \( P \) values are based on 2-sided tests at the \( \alpha=0.05 \) level. Analyses were performed using SAS V9 and the R statistical package.

**Results**

**Ischemic Stroke Admissions**

There were 155,503 hospital admissions from the emergency department with a primary discharge diagnosis of ischemic stroke among Medicare beneficiaries \( \geq 65 \) years of age in 9 US cities (Table 1). The overall mean \( \text{PM}_{10} \) level was 32.69 \( \mu g/m^3 \) (SD \( \pm 19.75 \); Table 2). Age on admission ranged from 65 to 117 years with a mean of 78.6 \( \pm 7.7 \) years. Cases were mostly white (75.4%) and female (60.7%).

We simultaneously estimated the effect of \( \text{PM}_{10} \) at lags of 0 to 2 days in each city and then obtained summary estimates using standard random-effects meta-analysis techniques. A positive association between \( \text{PM}_{10} \) and hospital admissions on the same day was observed in 7 of the 9 cities examined (\( P_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.71 \); Figure, A). Overall, an interquartile range increase in \( \text{PM}_{10} \) on the day of admission was associated with a 1.03\% (95\% CI, 0.04\% to 2.04\%) increase in the rate of hospital admission for ischemic stroke. \( \text{PM}_{10} \) levels 1 or 2 days before admission were not associated with increased risk. Using the alternate definition of ischemic stroke yielded a 1.39\% (95\% CI, 0.26\% to 2.52\%) increase for an interquartile range increase in \( \text{PM}_{10} \) on the admission day and no significant increase associated with \( \text{PM}_{10} \) levels 1 or 2 days before admission. Using \( \text{PM}_{10} \) with imputed values as the exposure did not materially alter the results.

Ambient measures of CO, NO\(_2\), and SO\(_2\) were correlated with ambient \( \text{PM}_{10} \) levels (Table 2). An interquartile range increase in each pollutant was associated with a qualitatively similar increase in admissions on the same day (Table 3). No association was observed with any pollutant 1 or 2 days before the admission date.

**Hemorrhagic Stroke Admissions**

There were 19,314 hospital admissions with a primary discharge diagnosis of hemorrhagic stroke (Table 1). Compared with patients admitted for ischemic stroke, these patients tended to be younger (mean age, 77.9 \( \pm 7.6 \) years), more likely to be white (78.2\%), and less likely to be female (58.8\%).

\( \text{PM}_{10} \) levels on the day of admission were not associated with hemorrhagic stroke admissions although there was evidence of statistical heterogeneity between cities (\( P_{\text{heterogeneity}}=0.013 \); Figure, B). \( \text{PM}_{10} \) levels 1 to 2 days before admission were also not associated with increased risk. Likewise, no association was observed between hemorrhagic stroke admissions and \( \text{PM}_{10} \) with imputed values, CO, NO\(_2\), or SO\(_2\) (Table 3).

**Discussion**

Previous studies using administrative data from 1 to 3 cities suggest an association between daily fluctuations in \( \text{PM}_{10} \) and cerebrovascular hospital admissions. We evaluated the association between \( \text{PM}_{10} \) and hospital admissions for ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke among Medicare beneficiaries \( \geq 65 \) years of age in 9 US cities and found that a transient increase in ambient particles was associated with an increased risk of hospital admission for ischemic but not hemorrhagic stroke. Specifically, for ischemic stroke, we found that an interquartile range increase in \( \text{PM}_{10} \) was associated with a 1.03\% (95\% CI, 0.04\% to 2.04\%) increase in the risk of admission on the same day. In contrast, for hemorrhagic stroke, we found no evidence of an association with \( \text{PM}_{10} \) or any other pollutant examined.

Few published studies have examined the effects of ambient particles specifically on ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke. Using hospital admission records from Taiwan,
Tsai et al\(^\text{16}\) found a statistically significant positive association between PM\(_{10}\) and both ischemic and hemorrhagic strokes after excluding days with mean daily temperature <20°C. Similarly, Hong et al\(^\text{20}\) analyzed death certificates in Seoul, Korea, with similar statistically significant results for ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke. Linn et al\(^\text{15}\) also found a significant increase in hospitalization rates for ischemic stroke in metropolitan Los Angeles, but did not consider hemorrhagic strokes. The discrepant results in relation to hemorrhagic stroke may be explained by differences in case definitions, analytic methods, average pollutant levels, or population characteristics between the current and previous studies.

Exposure to ambient particles may plausibly increase the risk of ischemic stroke by promoting atherosclerotic plaque disruption and thrombosis. This could be mediated by a combination of ≥3 different mechanisms. First, particulate exposure can induce an acute systemic inflammatory response with an increased number of circulating neutrophils\(^\text{29}\) and increased levels of C-reactive protein.\(^\text{9}\) Second, particulate-related changes in hemostatic factors have been reported, including increased levels of fibrinogen\(^\text{7,8}\) and von Willebrand factor\(^\text{9}\) and enhanced peripheral arterial thrombosis.\(^\text{29}\) Third, particulate-related changes in autonomic nervous system activity, as assessed by heart rate variability, are well documented and are consistent with relative sympathectomization.\(^\text{10,11}\)

The observed association between ischemic stroke and air pollution was similar for all of the pollutants considered. Likewise, we consistently failed to find evidence of an association between hemorrhagic stroke and any pollutant considered. Because ambient measures are correlated, separating the effects of individual pollutants is problematic. However, PM\(_{10}\) is relatively spatially homogenous within a metropolitan area,\(^\text{30}\) and ambient measures at a central site are well correlated with personal exposure measures.\(^\text{31}\) In contrast, personal exposures to CO, NO\(_2\), and SO\(_2\) are relatively poorly predicted by ambient measures.\(^\text{32}\) For example, ambient measures of NO\(_2\) are likely more strongly correlated with personal exposure to particulate matter than to personal NO\(_2\) exposure.\(^\text{32}\) Thus, the present study is consistent with the interpretation that sources of pollution that lead to concurrent elevation of these pollutants may be responsible for the observed associations.

The health effects of exposure to air pollution from indoor sources (eg, from tobacco smoke or cooking) are also of interest, but evaluating these effects requires a different study design and indoor, as well as ambient, pollutant monitors. Although ambient particles have been shown to penetrate indoors, there is little correlation between exposure to particles originating from indoor sources and those from outdoor sources.\(^\text{33}\)

This study has several potential limitations. First, misclassification of the outcome is expected as a result of diagnostic or coding errors. However, these errors are likely unrelated to pollutant levels and are expected to reduce the precision of our estimates but not otherwise bias our results. Second, the inclusion of these cases is expected to reduce the precision of our estimates but not otherwise bias our results. Second, the use of ambient rather than personal exposure measures is expected to result in exposure misclassification. However, this misclassification is expected to lead one to underestimate the relative risk.\(^\text{36}\) Third, the date of symptom onset likely preceded the date of admission in a proportion of cases, leading to nondifferential exposure misclassification and bias of the relative risk toward the null. Fourth, residual confounding by short-term respiratory epidemics remains a possibility. However, the time-stratified case-crossover design used in this study has been shown to effectively control for confounding by time trends in both exposure and outcomes.\(^\text{24}\) In particular, this approach provides effective control for time trends that occur over periods longer than 1 calendar month. Additionally, studies directly examining this possibility suggest that respiratory epidemics are not important confounders of the association between particulate matter and all-cause or cardiovascular mortality.\(^\text{37}\)

**Conclusions**

In the current study, we found that a transient increase in ambient particles was associated with an increased risk of hospital admission for ischemic but not hemorrhagic stroke.
Although the relative increase in risk was small, given the large number of people simultaneously at risk for ischemic stroke and exposed to urban pollution, even a small relative risk may be of significant public health interest. Additional studies with more detailed data on the clinical characteristics of subjects and more accurate assessment of the timing of symptom onset are needed to confirm or refute these findings.
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