

PEER REVIEW PLAN

Title:

Screening Methodologies to Support Risk and Technology Reviews (RTR): A Case Study Analysis

Purpose/Objective:

The document titled "Screening Methodologies to Support Risk and Technology Reviews (RTR): A Case Study Analysis" presents specific enhancements made to our risk assessment methodologies, particularly to our acute, multipathway, and environmental screening methodologies developed since the last SAB review was completed in 2010. This document will be the subject of an SAB review where EPA will specifically be seeking input on the scientific credibility of these screening methodologies, as well as on the scientific credibility of a number of additional enhancements made to our inhalation risk assessment.

Product Completion Date (Projected):

09/01/2015

OMB Category:

Highly Influential

Peer Review Leader:

Terri Hollingsworth
email: hollingsworth.terri@epa.gov

External Peer Review Mechanism:

Nominated to the Science Advisory Board for Peer Review

Peer Review Expected to Begin:

4th Quarter, Fiscal Year 2015

EPA's Fiscal Years run from October to September.
Quarters for Fiscal Year 2015:
1st: October - December, 2014
2nd: January - March, 2015
3rd: April - June, 2015
4th: July - September, 2015

Was a deferral to peer review invoked?

No

Will an alternative peer review process be employed?

No

Number of Peer Reviewers

more than 10

Primary Disciplines needed in the review:		Ecology-Ecosystem, Exposure Assessment, Risk Assessment-Ecological, Risk Assessment-Human Health, Toxicology-General
Who will select the reviewers?		FACA
Will the public, including scientific or professional societies be asked to nominate peer reviewers?		Yes
Will public nominations be allowed through the Peer Review Agenda?		Yes
Will there be opportunity for public comment on the product?		Yes
Describe How and When	An FR notice will announce availability of the document for public review prior to the meeting of the peer review panel. Written comments will be accepted, and an opportunity for oral comments be provided at the public meeting of the peer review panel.	
Will the Agency provide significant and relevant public comments to the peer reviewers before they conduct their review?		Yes
Will the review be a panel, conducted in public?		Yes
Will public comments be allowed at the panel review?		Yes