# CRITICAL CONSIDERATION OF THE MULTIPLICITY OF EXPERIMENTAL AND ORGANISMIC DETERMINANTS OF PYRETHROID NEUROTOXICITY: A PROOF OF CONCEPT

 $M.J.\ Wolansky^{1\S}$  and  $R.\ Tornero-Velez^2$ 

<sup>1</sup> Laboratorio de Toxicología de Mezclas Químicas (<u>www.latomeq.qb.fcen.uba.ar</u>), Instituto de Investigación IQUIBICEN, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET); Departamento Química Biológica, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria UBA. Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, ARGENTINA;

<sup>2</sup> National Exposure Research Laboratory (NERL), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA

§Corresponding author: Marcelo Wolansky. Laboratorio de Toxicología de Mezclas Químicas, Departamento Química Biológica, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires (UBA), Laboratorio QB48, Piso 4, Pabellón 2, Ciudad Universitaria UBA, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires (1428EGA), ARGENTINA.

Phone: 54-11-45763413. E-mail: <a href="mjwolansky@qb.fcen.uba.ar">mjwolansky@qb.fcen.uba.ar</a>

\*This manuscript has been reviewed by the National Exposure Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the EPA and should not be construed as implying EPA consent or endorsement. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

Acknowledgements: The authors want to express their gratitude to Drs. Anna B. Lowitt, Michael F. Hughes, and Elin Ulrich for their comments on an earlier version of this paper, and Dr. Kevin M. Crofton for his kind and highly valued guidance before and during the construction of this work.

### **Acronyms and Abbreviatures**

CYPM = Cypermethrin

DLM = Deltamethrin

ED30 = Effective Dose for a Thirty Percent Change Compared to the Corresponding Control Group

ED50 = Effective Dose for a Fifty Percent Change Compared to the Corresponding Control Group

F8M = Figure Eight Maze

FOB = Functional Observational battery

GABA =  $\gamma$ -Aminobutiric Acid

GF = glycerol-formal

ip = intraperitoneal

iv = intravascular

icv = intracerebroventricular

 $K_{o,w}$  = octanol-water partition coefficient

LD50 = Lethal Dose Fifty

LOAEL = Lowest Observable Adverse Effect Level

MOA = Mode of Action

NOAEL = No Observable Adverse Effect Level

PoD = Point of Departure

PRM = Permethrin

RfD = Reference Dose

UF = Uncertainty Factor

 $UF_D$  = Uncertainty Factor for Database

 $UF_{FQPA}$  = Uncertainty Factor for Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) related requirements

 $UF_L$  = Uncertainty Factor for the LOAEL estimate

UF<sub>S</sub> = Uncertainty Factor for Extrapolation from Subchronic to Chronic Exposure Toxicity

VGSC = Voltage Gated Sodium Channel

# **Abstract**

Pyrethroids (PYR) are pesticides with high insecticidal activity that may disrupt neuronal excitability in target and non-target species. The accumulated evidence consistently showed that this neurophysiologic action is followed by alterations in motor, sensorimotor, neuromuscular and thermoregulatory responses. Nevertheless, there are some equivocal results regarding the potency of PYR in lab animals. The estimation of potency is an important step in pesticide chemical risk assessment. In order to identify the variables influencing neurobehavioral findings across PYR studies, evidence on experimental and organismic determinants of acute PYR-induced neurotoxicity was reviewed in rodents. A comprehensive analysis of these studies was conducted focusing on test material and dosing conditions, testing conditions, animal models, and other determinants such as testing room temperature. Variations in the severity of the neurotoxicity, under lab-controlled conditions, was explained based upon factors including influence of animal species and age, test material features such as chemical structure and stereochemistry, and dosing conditions such as vehicle, route of exposure and dose volume. If not controlled, the interplay of these factors may lead to large variance in potency estimation. This review examined the scope of toxicological data required to determine the safety of pesticide products, and factors and covariates that need to be controlled in order to ensure that predictivity and precaution are balanced in a risk assessment process within a reasonable time-frame, using acute PYR-induced neurotoxicity in rodents as an exemplar.

# Introduction

The last decades have given rise to the marketing of hundreds of new pesticide products. The active ingredients of these products are chemicals with biological activity (e.g., repellence, attraction, knock-down, killing) against a wide repertoire of pests, from insects and molluscs to weeds and wild rodents. Once a natural or synthetic pesticide has undergone and successfully passed testing for efficacy and toxicity in a R&D Department, commercial product registrations require that a number of additional lab assays be conducted under specific guidelines to consider potential health risks in humans. Such guidelines are elaborated and enforced by authorities responsible for ensuring validity, adequacy and sufficiency of lab data packet (Health Canada 2009; USEPA 2012a, 2013; OECD 2012).

Systematic generation of lab animal data is a core step in considering chemical health risks in humans. In order to ascertain the potential harm that may be produced in humans once a new pesticide product is authorized to be marketed, several sources of information are incorporated into the decision making process. First, hazard information is collected following formal, systematic procedures demanded by legal mandates and regulatory authorities that allow for a comprehensive search on the potential target tissues and systems of the chemical, including teratology, neurotoxicity, carcinogenicity, and reproductive and developmental toxicity (EFSA 2007; OECD 2012; USEPA 2013). In addition, available lab animal and epidemiological studies may be reviewed to complete the body of evidence. Toxicological similarity is considered in terms of clinical signs of toxicity, mode of action, and structure-activity relationships, and the level of evidence supporting similarity is a core element toward a decision confirming or omitting the inclusion of the compound in a cumulative risk format for

the insecticide class (USEPA 2002; 2012a). Second, lab animals are utilized for generation of time- and dose- response relationships, and the computing of potency estimates when applicable (USEPA 2002; 2012a). Several pesticide classes have already been subjected to cumulative risk assessment processes aimed to reconsider the health risks posed to humans by exposure to relevant mixtures of pesticides with a similar mode of action (MOA). Recent USEPA research efforts included generation of relative potency factors for a number of triazine herbicides, and organophosphate (OP), N-methyl carbamate, and pyrethroid (PYR) insecticides (USEPA 2012a).

Relevant doses from animal studies, such as the highest no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL), the lowest dose producing an observed adverse effect (LOAEL), or a benchmark dose (BMD), are identified as a point of departure level (PoD) from which a reference dose (RfD) is established for humans (Izadi et al. 2012). A RfD is the estimated maximum amount of a chemical that may be taken daily without expecting any acute or chronic health impairment in humans (EPA 1993; Solecki et al. 2005). Toxicokinetic information may be needed, particularly when parent compound and toxicologically active metabolites may accumulate in exposed organisms. Finally, regulatory agencies may require additional data before reaching a final decision on relative hazard and health risks. To date, effects data obtained using experimental animals have represented a critical component in formal estimations of risk (ECETOC 2009; Patlewicz and Lander 2013).

Derivation of an exposure limit for a pesticide chemical in humans such as RfD from an animal PoD requires considering several sources of uncertainty. In general, uncertainty is partitioned into an inter-species component (e.g., rodent to human extrapolation), and an intra-

species component (i.e., human variability). Additional factors may be incorporated into the risk estimation process when applicable (Kirman et al. 2005), including uncertainty in extrapolation from subchronic to chronic exposure (UF<sub>S</sub>), uncertainty in use of a LOAEL (UF<sub>L</sub>), and uncertainty for completeness of the database (UF<sub>D</sub>). The 1996 Food Quality Protection Act requires that an additional 10 fold factor (UF<sub>FQPA</sub>) be applied to protect infants and children unless evidence is presented to the contrary (FQPA, 1996).

$$RfD = \frac{PoD}{UF_{\text{interspecies}} \ x \ UF_{\text{intraspecies}} \ x \ UF_{other}}$$

The RfD estimate thus incorporates a number of precautionary uncertainty factors to the PoD. A combination of UF estimates make up a global 100-1,000X margin of safety (MOS) from the PoD considered the most adequate after thoughtful examination of the available evidence. In other words, a protective extrapolation process from animal data to generation of health risk estimates for dietary exposure to pyrethroids in humans should thus ensure that only when a susceptible individual "consumed" more than 100-1,000-fold the acute PoD level computed in animal assays, clinical signs of toxicity might be expected. Similarly, the greater the acute dose "ingested" exceeding the threshold dose in humans (i.e., the upper limit of the MOS for PoD), the greater the severity of the clinical intoxication. Accordingly, provided the residue tolerances in the food were strictly respected, an exaggerated toxic response after acute exposure to pesticide residues in food would only occur after, for instance, an extreme ingestion of specific food commodities in a single meal. However, the uncertainty factors ("safety factors") do consider only a portion of the uncertainty inherent to the PoD estimate itself, i.e., the UF<sub>L</sub> (uncertainty due to a specific PoD, the LOAEL) and UF<sub>D</sub> (sparse data to

inform the PoD). This procedure to compute RfD estimates has been applied to all pesticide classes, including the PYR class reviewed in this investigation. Benchmark dose (BMD) methodology improves on a major pitfall of the NOAEL and LOAEL of sample size dependence, as the BMD is the dose that corresponds to a specified observable level of response. Provided the appropriate response model is specified, the lower confidence bound on the BMD captures uncertainties in the POD (Crump, 2002; Izadi et al., 2012). However, these uncertainties only correspond to the given experimental framework. Additional sources of PoD uncertainty may not be considered in the risk assessment. This review demonstrates a leading case for accumulated PYR research efforts all of which make up a step forward towards a more comprehensive characterization of uncertainty.

Emerging evidence indicates that variations in pesticide dose-response relationships may occur in mammals as a function of biological factors and experimental dosing and testing conditions used in toxicological assays designed to support a chemical registration process. There were reports warning on the potential to observe large variations in acute toxicity and potency estimates as a function of the lab conditions selected for assay (McDaniel and Moser 1997; Crofton et al. 1995; Karalliedde et al. 2003; Wolansky et al. 2007a). This issue is further compounded by the historical tendancy to explore only a few experimental conditions per compound in toxicological assays: i.e., one age to consider effects "in adults", one set of physiological conditions as a model of toxic response in "healthy" animals, one vehicle to dissolve the test chemicals (i.e., when administration of a bolus dose dissolved in a vehicle is used in animal assays as required in dose-response studies of pesticides producing neurotoxicity), selection of a limited battery of measures to monitor clinical effects, one device to examine an entire functional domain, one (or a very few) testing times to identify and

classify toxicity landmarks, etc. As a result, for many currently marketed pesticide products, neurotoxicological information is often sparse; filling these data gaps for the numerous old and new pesticide products is a long-term challenge (US NRC 1984). Strategies to recognize the potential animal responses that are missing in the available knowledge base would help reduce the uncertainty intrinsic to the PoD selected for animal-human extrapolation. In recognition of the need to expedite chemical risk assessments and reduce uncertainy in species extrapolation deficiencies in current practices, the U.S. National Academy of Sciences released a report in 2007, "Toxicity Testing in the 21st Century: A Vision and a Strategy" (US NRC 2007; Krewski et al. 2010), that envisions a future in which virtually all routine toxicity testing would be conducted in human cells or cell lines in vitro by evaluating cellular responses in a suite of toxicity pathway assays using high-throughput tests (Rotroff et. al. 2010; Judson et. al. 2011; Leist et al. 2012). However, notwithstanding anticipated advances in this field, whole animal data remains a critical component of human health risk assessment.

Pyrethroid (PYR) insecticides offer an adequate case to revisit the question of animal data sufficiency in a health risk assessment process. Synthetic PYR were first marketed about 50 years ago. Competitive insecticidal features were then observed in a series of newer compounds commercialized in the late 70's and early 80's (Katsuda 1999), a period when increasing restrictions on agricultural and home pest control applications got started for many organochlorine (OC) and OP insecticides due to safety concerns (Rogan and Chen 2005; Lubick 2010; USEPA 2012b). Pyrethroids usage has been estimated at 23-30% of the worldwide market of insecticides (Casida and Quistad 1998; Katsuda 1999; CDC 2003; Sudakin 2006; Freedonia 2006). Emerging studies document a background exposure of humans to pyrethroids (CDC 2005; Egeghy et al. 2011), consistent with recent figures showing

environmental occurrence of multiple PYR residues (DPCS 2001; Moran 2005; Woudneh and Oros 2006; Tulve et al. 2006; Tornero-Velez et al. 2012a). Pyrethroid-induced neurotoxicity in mammals such as rats and mice has been characterized during last 35 years. Clinical descriptions of PYR intoxications in humans have been reviewed by He (2000), Ray and Forshaw (2000), and Spencer and O'Malley (2006). In addition, evidence based on *in vitro* data and animal data supports a common mode of action (MOA), enabling a classification of PYR by structure and neurobehavioral syndrome, and the estimation of relative potencies (McDaniel and Moser, 1993; Soderlund et al. 2002; Shafer et al. 2005; Wolansky et al. 2006; Wolansky and Harrill 2008; Soderlund 2012). A comprehensive body of evidence is thus available to attempt identification of toxicity determinants, as well identification of experimental and biological factors that have apparently no influence on the clinical manifestation of PYR syndromes.

This review provides a compilation of data supporting the influence of a number of variables on functional measures of acute PYR-induced neurotoxicity. While most neurobehavioral data available in the open literature are derived from studies of toxic response in rats and mice after acute, single bolus, administration of PYR, there have been no attempts to use the same comprehensive approach to examine the global impact of study design conditions on BMD estimated after subchronic or chronic exposures. The reader should not expect that this analysis of determinants is exclusive to PYR insecticides. Indeed, a number of the determinants discussed below are likewise responsible for variations in the syndrome severity observed after exposure to other pesticide classes such as OP (Karalliedde et al. 2003; Wolansky, personal communication) and OC (McDaniel and Moser 1997) in mammals.

Toxicity determinants will be addressed separately as they pertain to the study design (test material chemistry, dose solution preparation, and dosing and testing conditions) or to the animal model (biological conditions such as age, species, strain, gender, and physiological status). A majority of the available studies reported in peer-reviewed literature on PYR have used young adult, male rats. Thus, this review will be primarily based on articles reporting on male responses, with no inclusion of the larger body of data generated in guideline (i.e., confidential) studies using male and female animals regularly conducted in order to apply for a registration of a new pesticide product. Similarly, a consideration of the impact of PYR-mediated toxicity determinants in developing or aged animals is in most cases not possible due to lack of data. Data from rat and mice are therefore predominantly reviewed to discuss the potential interplay between experimental settings and their expected impact on the risk of neurotoxicity in humans. Using PYR as a case study, a systematic approach for reviewing lab data is presented and proposed as a tool to help identify critical data gaps and prioritize animal data needs in chemical risk assessment.

# **Pyrethroid Structure**

Pyrethroids are neurotoxic insecticides developed in the last decades for optimizing insect killing, knockdown and repellent properties of a series of natural compounds called pyrethrins (Valentine 1990). This insecticide class has expanded its structural variability, since the first PYR was marketed more than 50 years ago. Figure 1 illustrates the structural evolution of the pyrethrins/pyrethroids family of insecticides. Most PYR are esters, including an aromatic alcohol and a cyclopentanecarboxilate; a few ethers have been also developed (Katsuda 1999). These are lipophilic chemicals with extremely low solubility in aqueous media, placed at the

top in a ranking of pesticide  $K_{o,w}$  coefficients (Finizio et al. 1997). More details on structure-activity relationships are provided in following sections.

# (Figure 1 here)

# Proposed Mode-of-Action (MOA) for Pyrethroid Neurotoxicity

Pyrethroid insecticides act primarily on the nervous system in target and non-target species. The commonly accepted primary MOA of PYR is the prolongation of the open state of voltage-gated sodium channels (VGSC) in targeted neurons (Soderlund et al. 2002; Soderlund 2012). Pyrethroids produce a transient arrest of the VGSC system in the inactivation phase, prolonging inward Na<sup>+</sup> currents. This repolarization disruption results in repetitive neuronal firing or depolarizing block, depending on the duration the VGSC is kept open (Narahashi 1996; Soderlund et al. 2002). The subsequent occurrence of a dosage-dependent elicitation of neurobehavioral signs to the observed syndrome suggests the existence of a relationship between dose/s of parent compound at target tissue/s and actual toxicity (Rickard and Brodie 1985).

Other channel and receptor systems in neuronal tissues, including Ca<sup>2+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> channels and GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors, have been proposed to play a role in the generation of compound-specific effects (Crofton and Reiter 1987; Hildebrand et al. 2004; Lawrence and Casida 1982). Whole-cell and patch clamp assays in cultured rat neurons suggest that T- and CS-syndrome PYR interact with VGSC binding sites by either competitive or allosteric actions (Song and Narahashi 1996; Motomura and Narahashi 2001). Moreover, structure-dependent interaction among PYR was proposed to occur in chloride channels of membrane patches from differentiated mouse neuroblastoma cells (Burr and Ray 2004). As a preliminary conclusion,

the action on the VGSC system of targeted neurons is presently considered the primary driver for neurotoxicity induced after acute exposure to individual or combined PYR insecticides, although one or more alternative sites in targeted neurons may likely account for type-specific neurological syndromes produced at high-effective doses in rats and mice (Soderlund et al. 2002; Burr and Ray 2004; Cao et al. 2011; Johnstone et al. 2010; Soderlund 2012). The regulatory matter of determining commonality among pesticides is outside the scope of this review. Specific literature is available to further explore this topic (Borgert et al. 2005), and examine the knowledge base which was critically considered to propose dose-addition as a default hypothesis in the lab assays informing the cumulative risk assessment of PYR (Wolansky et al. 2009; USEPA 2011, 2012a).

# **Pyrethroid Neurotoxicity**

Data consistently indicate that oral exposure of lab animals to PYR at doses well below those inducing lethality produce evident alterations in various neurobehavioral domains (McDaniel and Moser 1993; Soderlund et al. 2002; Wolansky and Harrill 2008). Acute exposures to PYR produced predominantly reversible alterations in the functionality of motor, sensorimotor, neuromuscular, thermoregulatory, and learning-related pathways in rodents. Exhaustive compilations of reports on the effects of PYR on neurobehavioral endpoints in rodents and a critical interpretation of them were published (Soderlund et al. 2002; Shafer et al. 2005; Wolansky & Harrill 2008). Although primary mechanistic commonality is proposed for all PYR, observation of single-compound specific neurobehavioral profiles strongly suggests some toxicological heterogeneity among these insecticides (Crofton and Reiter 1984; Peele and Crofton 1987; McDaniel and Moser 1993; Soderlund et al. 2002; Wolansky and Harrill 2008;

Soderlund 2012). Further, neurotoxicological characterizations and classification of PYR in rats and mice were originally conducted by recording cage-side or open field observations carried out upon intravascular (iv) or intracerebral (ic) exposure to nearly lethal doses (Verschoyle and Aldridge 1980; Lawrence and Casida 1982; Gammon 1985; Nishimura et al. 1984). These clinical descriptions of acute effects indicated the presence of three types of neurological syndromes: (1) those inducing whole body tremors, (2) those inducing choreoathetosis and salivation, and (3) a smaller group producing a mixed syndrome including tremors and salivation. Accordingly, these have been termed T-, CS- and TS-syndromes, respectively. In general, T-, CS- and TS-syndrome compounds are also named "Type I", "Type II" and "Type I/II" PYR, respectively (Verschoyle and Aldridge 1980; Lawrence and Casida 1982; Gammon 1985; McDaniel and Moser 1993). A simpler, dual, Type I/Type II nomenclature is applicable to classify the patterns of neurotoxicity of high-effective doses of most modern PYR (Soderlund et al. 2002; Wolansky and Harrill 2008). Figure 2 shows the various clinical signs of PYR syndromes observed in rats as a function of the administered dose and time after dosing. In general, studies using single doses equivalent to 1/100-1/5 rat oral LD50 (LD50 range for PYRs = 22 to +10,000 mg/kg) consistently showed a complete recovery of control performance in neurobehavioral assays after 12-24 hr (Wolansky and Harrill 2008). Indeed, the detoxification pathways for PYR in mammals are highly efficient (Soderlund et al. 2002), suggesting minimal accumulation of toxic levels in target tissues after low-level exposures such as those observed in recent environmental monitoring studies (CDC 2005; Tulve et al. 2006; Starr et al. 2008). It is worthwhile noting that time elapsed between acute dosage and functional assessment, if not controlled, may lead to categorically different results in toxicological evaluations.

# (Figure 2 here)

In addition, PYR-evoked neurobehavioral syndromes include a repertoire of adverse effects also observed after exposure to other insecticide classes primarily targeting neuronal excitability and neurotransmission. For instance, oral administration of the T-syndrome PYR bifenthrin was shown to produce increased startle response and hyperthermia among a battery of signs also present after acute oral exposure to the prototypic OC insecticide DDT (McDaniel and Moser 1997; Wolansky et al. 2007a). Further, the neuromuscular weakness and tremorigenic actions produced by a number of PYR were similarly observed in OP studies (Ecobichon and Joy 1993; Mileson et al. 1998; Pope 1999; Wolansky and Harrill 2008). Yet, there is little information on the joint action of insecticides of different classes in rat studies using environmentally-relevant exposure and quantitative neurobehavioral assays.

Most PYR exert relatively low toxicity to mammals (Soderlund et al. 2002; Wolansky and Harrill 2008; He 2000; Power and Sudakin 2007). Oral dose levels associated with dosagedependent effects of individual PYR in animals (McDaniel and Moser 1993; Wolansky and Harrill 2008) are generally well above the emerging data on levels of human daily exposure to PYR (FDA 2013; Tulve et al. 2006; Lu et al. 2006; Woudneh and Oros 2006). Considering individual PYR separately, acute intoxications due to intake of PYR-residues contained in foods might not be attainable in humans. A relatively low incidence of registered cases of PYR-mediated intoxication (Power and Sudakin 2007) is consistent with the proposed safety of PYR (Casida and Quistad 1998; Katsuda 1999).

The neurobehavioral data base for PYR in mammals contains a classification of clinical syndromes that used nearly-lethal, iv and intracerebroventricular (icv) exposures in rats and mice, and dose-response assays using a number of endpoints after sublethal, oral and ip exposures (predominantly rats; Wolansky and Harrill 2008). Most synthetic members of the PYR class (1) share a common structural ancestor (i.e., the basic structure of natural pyrethrins), (2) produce a similar action in the nervous system of target and non-target species as a primary event required to elicit neurobehavioral toxicity (see next section), and (3) evoke qualitatively similar changes in various neurobehavioral endpoints. In general, PYR produce acute, mostly reversible decline in motor activity, motor coordination, and neuromuscular strength, and deficit in operant responses (Soderlund et al. 2002; Wolansky and Harrill 2008). Increase in body temperature may occur at low-effective doses (McDaniel and Moser 1993; Wolansky et al. 2007a, 2007b; Pato et al. 2011). Contrary to this apparent commonality among pyrethroids, divergence of neurobehavioral profiles across PYR structures becomes evident as exposure levels increase, a matter addressed in the Functional Observational Battery (FOB) study of 12 cyano and non-cyano PYR conducted by Weiner et al. (2009).

# **Determinants of Pyrethroid Neurotoxicity**

Pyrethroid-induced neurotoxicity is influenced by a variety of biological factors and experimental conditions. Below, a list of physical, chemical and biological determinants of PYR-mediated neurotoxicity in rodent assays is proposed, organizing the available evidence as follows: test material, dosing solution, and dosing conditions (i.e., toxicokinetic factors), testing conditions, and animal models (i.e., toxicodynamic factors).

### **Pyrethroid Toxicokinetics-Related Factors**

### **Test Material and Dosing Solution**

# **Chemical Structure**

Most PYR contain cyclopropane carboxylic acid moieties linked to aromatic alcohols through a central ester bond (Figure 1). Modifications to this basic structure may greatly influence activity in *in vitro* and *in vivo* models (Gammon 1985; Yang et al. 1987; Valentine 1990; Vijverberg and Van der Bercken 1990; Naumann 1998; Soderlund et al. 2002; Soderlund 2012). Presence of an α-cyano group in the alcohol moiety confers an increase in potency (based on rat oral LD50 estimates) of approximately one order of magnitude (Lawrence and Casida 1982; Vijverberg and Van der Bercken 1990; Valentine 1990; Soderlund et al. 2002). Other structural modifications to the acid and alcohol moieties have been introduced, i.e., variation in the identity and position of halogenated and hydrophobic chemical groups and in the stereochemical configuration (Naumann 1998) (Figure 1, compounds #9-12). Rat oral LD<sub>50</sub>s for old and modern PYR may have approximately 500-fold variation in toxicity based on compound structure according to the available acute oral toxicity classification documents for pesticides (CDC 2003; WHO 2005).

# **Pyrethroid Stereochemistry**

The spatial conformation of a pesticide is often a major determinant of its toxicity (Glickman and Casida 1982; Kurihara et al. 1997; Liu et al. 2005). Pyrethroids may include 2-4 chiral centers, and the resulting stereoisomers may greatly differ in potency in lab animals (Verschoyle and Aldridge 1980; Glickman and Casida 1982; Coats 1990; Kurihara et al. 1997; Liu et al. 2005). For most PYR, preparations enriched in one or a few *cis* isomers (e.g., *cis*-resmethrin, *cis*-permethrin, *cis*-bifenthrin, *cis*-cypermethrin) exhibit greater potency (ie, lower oral LD50) than preparations poor in *cis*-isomers (INCHEM 1990a; WHO 2005). Cismethrin,

the *1R-cis* isomer of resmethrin, is up to 50-fold more potent than resmethrin preparations enriched in the 1R-trans isomer in rats (Abernathy and Casida 1973; White et al. 1976; Verschoyle and Barnes 1972; Gray et al. 1980; Cremer and Seville 1982; Crofton and Reiter 1984; Wolansky et al. 2006). In lab rodents, potency variations in PYR samples differing in isomer ratios have been explained in terms of isomer-specific metabolism (Ueda et al. 1975). Tornero-Velez et al. (2012b) elaborated a physiologically-based pharmacokinetic model of cisand trans-permethrin disposition in rats and human. The faster clearance of the trans compounds was explained by rapid hydrolytic metabolism. In developing a quantitative structure-property relationship to estimate hydrolytic rates for pyrethroids, Chang et al. (2012) applied a 3D pharmacophore approach to discern protein-ligand interaction features indicative of catalytically enabled ligand poses near the active site of carboxylesterase. The model successfully filtered out cis compounds with remarkable accuracy. In addition, pyrethroids with an  $\alpha$ -cyano group may also assume different isomeric [ $\alpha$ S] or [ $\alpha$ R] configurations based on the spatial orientation of this group. The  $[\alpha S]$  configuration has higher activity than the  $[\alpha R]$  one in target and non-target species (Elliott and Janes 1978; Valentine 1990; Soderlund et al. 2002). The difference in lethality is up to approximately 3-4-fold in rats when unresolved cypermethrin (CYPM) is compared to the most toxic "alpha-cypermethrin", a preparation containing approximately 50% (1R-cis, \alpha S) and 50% (1S-cis, \alpha R) (a higher proportion of the potent (1R-cis αS) isomer relative to cypermethrin) (Pronk et al. 1996; EMEA 1998, 2003; McGregor 1999; WHO 2005; Wood 2012). Figure 3 illustrates the critical role of chiral centers for PYR-mediated toxicity in rats. Isomer-composition dependent differences are evident for the three cyano (cyhalothrin, cyfluthrin, fenvalerate) and one non-cyano (permethrin, PRM)

PYR. The maximum isomer ratio effect may be estimated from approximately 7 to 50-fold factor, taking into account PRM and resmethrin studies, respectively.

# (Figure 3 here)

In summary, the composition of stereoisomers in test materials of pyrethroids is a major determinant of PYR potency in susceptible organisms. Utilization of different lots of a PYR material (or dissimilar formulations) differing in the isomeric ratios may affect time- and dose-response assay reproducibility. Misleading interpretations across studies may thus arise by neglecting complete test material chemical information. Analysis of stereoisomers in a dosing solution aliquot and target tissue samples need to be conducted when possible to confirm the nature of a PYR dose producing a certain neurotoxicity profile in mammals with greater accuracy.

### **Purity**

Little is known of the joint toxicity of PYR and other chemicals and the influence of purity on the toxicity of technical and commercial preparations under experimental or environmental conditions in mammals. Even minor changes in purity were reported to markedly influence the toxicity of a number of insecticides such as the OP malathion (INCHEM 1997a). Studies aimed to reconsider the safe levels of PYR were mostly conducted using technical grade compounds of 88-99% purity (Soderlund et al. 2002; Breckenridge et al. 2009; Wolansky et al. 2006, 2007a, 2009). Yet, since the first PYR was marketed in 1952 (INCHEM 1989) hundreds of formulations containing one or more PYR and a variety of non-PYR ingredients have been registered (CDC 2003; NPIRS 2011).

Coformulated chemicals may be able to modify the toxicological profile of PYR. In commercial products, PYR are often a minor fraction of the formulation (NPIRS 2011). Pyrethroid-induced toxicity may be potentiated by non-PYR ingredients such as solvents (David 1982; Grossman 1995; Yang et al. 2002), metabolic inhibitors (Casida et al. 1976; Grossman 1995), and other pesticides (Miyamoto 1976; Audegond et al. 1989; Ortiz et al. 1995; Abou-Donia et al. 1996, 2001; Abu-Qare and Abou-Donia 2003). The purity factor was studied in vitro by two labs using two different cell culture systems. First, environmentallyrelevant levels of two commercial formulations of bifenthrin produced greater toxicity than that of the technical material in a human adenocarcinoma cell-line (Skandrani et al. 2006). In the other study, trade-secret, non-PYR ingredients of a commercial bifenthrin product produced changes in culture morphology in PC12 cells (Tran et al. 2006). In addition, a few studies reported on the influence of non-PYR ingredients of PYR commercial products in in vivo toxicological assays in rodents. An enhancement of PYR toxicity by co-formulated chemicals was demonstrated by testing different formulations of fenvalerate in mice (Williamson et al. 1989) and deltamethrin (DLM) in rats (Lepeshkin et al. 1992) after acute, single-dose exposure by oral route. In the former study, Swiss mice administered technical-grade preparations of PRM and fenvalerate or their commercial formulations ip (Ambush® and Pydrin®, respectively), were evaluated using a neurobehavioral endpoint. The commercial formulations showed greater toxicity than the corresponding active ingredients (Williamson et al. 1989). In contrast, a 40% pure PRM commercial formulation using petroleum distillates as a carrier (i.e., Hi-Yield Plus®) produced attenuated toxicity (i.e., a later onset of clinical signs), using general motor activity as an endpoint compared to a technical grade, 92% pure sample of this Type I PYR in rats (Wolansky et al. personal communication). Internal doses accumulated after acute

exposures to PYRs by dermal route may also be influenced by coformulated ingredients in PYR products. In general, percutaneous PYR absorption capacity in vivo (i.e., often considered  $\leq$  2% of the administered dose) is proposed to be well below 10% of that observed using oral administration schemes in rodents and humans. This greater efficacy as a natural biological filter for PYR suggests that skin exposures in humans would be more markedly relevant at occupational settings, spill accidents after handling concentrated formulations, and through use of permethrin-based shampoos to treat head and pubic lice infestations in children and adults (CDC 2003; Ross et al. 2011; Gunning et al. 2012). An extensive discussion of the potential influence of coformulated chemicals on transdermal penetration rates of commercial products based on permethrin was recently reported by Ross et al. (2011). These studies provide evidence of the potential role of impurities (i.e., other active or inactive ingredients) in increasing or decreasing PYR toxicity. Figure 4 illustrates the influence of purity and carrier composition on oral LD<sub>50</sub> for PRM.

# (Figure 4 here)

Further, evaluation of the formulation-effect needs to include examination of potential interactions between active ingredients present in commercial PYR materials (Hodgson and Rose 2008). There are commercial insecticide products formulated with more than one active ingredient, and some protocols for pest control recommend simultaneous or sequential use of more than one pesticide product. Pesticides such as DDT-like OC, OP and PYR produce a number of common signs of neurotoxicity in rodents such as tremors and alterations of neuromuscular and thermoregulatory responses (Herr et al. 1986; McDaniel and Moser 1997; Soderlund et al. 2002; Ecobichon and Joy 1993; Wolansky and Harrill 2008; Krieger 2010). To

our knowledge there is no study available in vivo examining acute or chronic, cumulative effects produced by co-exposure to environmentally relevant levels of pesticides with different MOA in rodents using endpoints informing on neurobehavioral toxicity. Yet, there are a number of research articles (Gaughan et al. 1980; Audegond et al. 1989; Abou-Donia et al. 2001; Abu-Qare and Abou-Donia 2003; Liu et al. 2006; Sexton et al. 2011) and reviews (Lydy et al. 2004; Hodgson and Rose 2008; Hernández et al. 2012) indicating that synergy may occur between concurrent, supra-threshold exposures to pesticides in rodents and humans.

Finally, careful consideration of potential confounders needs to be conducted before any interaction between experimental factors is postulated. Interaction between intrinsic PYRmediated toxicity, formulation, and route of exposure may explain the wide variation of PYR potency estimates that have been obtained under different dosing conditions (Chanh et al. 1984a, 1984b; Nishimura et al. 1984; Metker et al. 1977; Glomot 1982; Crofton et al. 1995; Aboud-Donia et al. 2011; Abu-Qare and Abou-Donia 2003).

# **Dosing Solution** (dosing material preparation protocol)

The protocol used for preparing dosing solutions and the storage of test materials may confound the apparent toxicity of PYR. Oral diet, accumulative exposure schemes using test materials of the older (i.e., photolabile) PYR such as allethrin, resmethrin, tetramethrin, or phenothrin dissolved in food pellets or in liquid solutions needs to be controlled for UVinduced photodegradation. Further, in studies using aqueous PYR dosing solutions, it is recommended to refer to solubility tables to prevent incomplete solubilization. The issue of solubility in most PYR studies using oral exposures may be resolved by dissolving the technical grade materials in oily vehicles and slightly warming up to 35-45°C prior to a dosing

run. An alternative procedure is to prepare more diluted dosing solutions (i.e., using larger dosing volumes). Yet, neurobehavioral studies for the OC DDT (McDaniel and Moser 1997), the T-like PYR bifenthrin (Wolansky et al. 2007a; see below), and the OP diazinon (Wolansky et al., unpublished data) indicate that a decrease in toxicity may occur by increasing dosing volume using corn oil as a vehicle regardless of the insecticide structure.

# **Route of Exposure and Vehicle**

Route: The route of exposure determines the organism's ADME profile (i.e., absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion) and, thus, the portion of an administered dose reaching target tissues. Diet and hand-to-mouth behavior in children have greater relevance as PYR exposure pathways than inhalation in general population (Soderlund et al. 2002; Tulve et al. 2006; Freeman et al. 2005; Lu et al. 2006; Starr et al. 2008). As mentioned above, skin absorption may have potential participation in intoxications occurring after chronic exposures (Gunning et al. 2012; Ross et al. 2011; Abou-Donia et al. 2011), e.g., repeated handling of PYR products, a type of exposure scenario more likely observed in occupational settings (Hilton et al. 1994; Spencer and O'Malley 2006).

For PYR, lethal doses and doses effective for producing evident changes in behavior in rodents vary across routes of exposure. A few studies tested the actions of PYR using multiple routes of exposure. Nishimura and co-workers (1984) characterized the progression of the Tsyndrome induced by allethrin in mice using each of three routes of exposure in independent experiments. Apparently equitoxic (i.e., highly-effective) single-doses of allethrin were tested using each route as follows: 480 mg/kg (oral), 8 mg/kg (iv) and 0.3-0.9 mg/kg (icv). Almost a three-order variation in effective doses was evident across routes (Figure 5A), and differences

in syndrome onset times and the variability of behavioral responses between animals were also dependent on route (Nishimura et al. 1984). Further, the influence of several vehicles on the toxicity of a single-dose of the CS-syndrome compound DLM administered to rats by oral and ip routes was studied by Crofton and co-workers (1995) using motor activity as an endpoint. Regardless of the examined vehicles and routes, DLM-induced decreases in motor activity. Yet, with glycerol-formal (GF) as vehicle there was no marked difference between ED50<sub>oral</sub> and ED50<sub>IP</sub>; for the other examined vehicles (i.e., corn oil, Emulphor, and methylcelulose) ED<sub>50</sub> varied up to 28-fold for a same vehicle across routes of exposures (Crofton et al. 1995). Available data are thus sufficient to postulate a major influence of the selected route of exposure in the intensity and repertoire of functional observations in studies of PYR neurotoxicity.

# (Figure 5 here)

Note that there may be interaction between route and vehicle factors: While PRM is less toxic to rats than cyfluthrin using an oral route and corn oil as a vehicle (Soderlund et al. 2002; Wolansky and Harrill 2008), cyfluthrin in PEG-400 by ip route is less potent than oral PRM in corn oil (INCHEM 1990a, 1997b).

**Vehicle:** This aspect has been introduced above as a determinant of PYR toxicity (Figure 4). The dosing solution vehicle per se has been observed to significantly influence PYR toxicity in rats. Pyrethroids are largely hydrophobic substances, with high affinity for lipid-rich biological or chemical substrates such as cell membrane phospholipids and lipophilic solvents (Coats 1990; Laskowski 2002). Moreover, most PYRs tend to aggregate and precipitate in aqueous carriers within the dosing solution concentration ranges needed to produce neurobehavioral

effects. Indeed,  $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin present in an aqueous solution of the insecticide product ICON<sup>®</sup> (oral NOAEL = 50 mg/kg; Ratnasooriya et al. 2002) was clearly less toxic to rats than a technical-grade  $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin dissolved in corn oil, when general motor activity was used as an endpoint (oral ED30 for  $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin = 1.3 mg/kg; Wolansky et al. 2006). Three studies have specifically addressed the issue of vehicle-dependent PYR-mediated toxicity in young adult rats. First, Crofton and co-workers (1995) tested the effect of 4 vehicles, GF, corn oil, Emulphor®, and methylcelullose, on the motor activity alterations induced by DLM. Strikingly, there was a variation in potency (i.e., oral LOAEL and ED50 estimates for motor activity) of more than two orders of magnitude across vehicles. A quantitative comparison of this vehicleeffect in rats is shown in Figure 5B. Second, Kim and co-workers (2007) demonstrated that differences in gastrointestinal (GIT) absorption rates and bioavailability at target tissues may explain the differential toxicity of DLM administered in different vehicles. Doses of DLM in GF administered by the oral or iv route produced the expected salivation and slight tremors a few hr after exposure. DLM did not evoke any such effects when Alkamuls® (Emulphor®) was used as the vehicle. An approximate 10-fold higher blood C<sub>max</sub> and area under the curve (AUC) were found in the GF animals than in the Alkamuls® animals following oral administration of 10 mg/kg DLM. A microscopic analysis showed that Alkamuls® did not achieve complete solubilization of the test PYR; DLM tended to aggregate, likely leading to a lower nervous system dose (Kim et al. 2007). Third, the comprehensive toxicological assessment of PRM conducted by Metker et al. (1977) exhibited up to an approximate 10-fold increase in toxicity when a neat PRM sample was diluted in corn oil before dosing. The mechanism by which oil and other fat vehicles enhance oral absorption of lipophilic compounds is not entirely known. Based on studies of DDT pharmacokinetics, Gershkovich and Hoffman (2007) proposed that

following a high-fat meal, the lymphatic absorption and the plasma disposition levels of lipophilic compounds are enhanced by association with chylomicrons (structures bearing triglyceride-rich lipoproteins) formed in the absorptive cells of the small intestine. We may posit that diets differing in the proportion of fatty foods will influence the GIT absorption rates of ingested pyrethroid residues, though the impact in the human diet is presently unknown. In summary, the accumulated evidence indicates an influence of diluents and co-dissolved ingredients on critical toxicokinetic factors accounting for PYR potency in mammals.

Route of exposure and vehicle are critical determinants of PYR-mediated neurotoxicity as well as potential modifiers of the influence of other determinants such as species, age, and dosing solution. Studies in lab animals need to carefully control for aspiration during gavage procedures when the oral route is used. Administration route and vehicle may per se account for up to 5-28-fold (top panel) and approximate 200-fold (bottom panel) variation in PYR toxicity, respectively.

### **Organismic Factors**

### **Animal model (organism characteristics)**

# **Species and Strain**

A major source of biological variability across studies of neurobehavioral toxicity is the test animal. Data from lab rats and mice are presumed to provide relevant information of acceptable predictive value to protect human health from toxic exposures to chemicals (Barlow et al. 2002). A few comparable PYR studies make possible ascertainment of the impact of the animal model in the susceptibility to PYR-induced neurotoxicity.

Regarding acute, single-dose exposure studies examining technical grade test compounds, most neurobehavioral, dose-response data for PYR were generated by employing Long Evans (LE) rats, although a few investigations used Sprague-Dawley (SD) and Wistar strains (Wolansky and Harrill 2008). There are 4 studies of deltamethrin (DLM) dose-effect relationship using similar oral corn oil dosing and testing conditions with figure-eight maze (F8M) activity as an endpoint. Three studies used the LE strain (Crofton and Reiter 1984; Crofton et al. 1995; Wolansky et al. 2006), and one the SD strain (MacPhail et al. 1981). In all cases, dosage-related decreases in activity were observed. The ED<sub>30</sub> were estimated to be within a 2.5-4 mg/kg range. In addition, LE and SD rat strains were used to examine  $\lambda$ cyhalothrin effects in two labs under comparable experimental conditions (Hornychová et al. 1995; Wolansky et al. 2006). Both studies included general motor activity assays conducted a few hr after administration of a similar, single oral dose of  $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin. Equivalent motor changes were noted in both studies at 2-6 mg/kg. Thus, no strain-specific pattern of acute PYR toxicity in rats is apparent based on above DLM and  $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin assays.

Further, a few comparable studies indicated a consistent trend for species-specific susceptibility for acute PYR-mediated toxicity in mammals. Mice are more susceptible than rats, and greater sensitivity is observed in rodents than dogs (Narahashi 2000). An INCHEM document showed that male adult, oral LD<sub>50</sub> for oily dosing solutions of DLM varied between 33 mg/kg for mice and 128 mg/kg for rats, well below ≥300 mg/kg (i.e., no mortality up to 300 mg/kg) observed using Beagle dogs (INCHEM 1990b). In addition, mice and rat oral LD<sub>50</sub> estimates for CYPM (a 50:50 cis:trans sample, diluted in DMSO) ranged from 138 to 303 mg/kg, respectively (INCHEM 1990c). These potency differences are primarily based upon species-specific patterns of PYR metabolism (Ruzo et al. 1978, 1979; Ross et al. 2006).

Recognizing that the parent pyrethroids are the proximate neurotoxic agents and that metabolism is detoxifying, variation in detoxifying metabolism within and between species may confound potency extrapolation to humans. For an advanced discussion of rat-human differences in metabolism the reader is encouraged to consult the studies of Ross et al. (2006), Crow et al. (2007), Godin et al. (2006, 2007), Scollon et al. (2009), and Knaak et al. (2012). More comprehensive comparisons of toxicity across species are hindered by confounding factors, such as variations in age, vehicle, dosing volume, and testing time. Species may thus account for 2-4-fold variability in susceptibility across small rodent models, according to above CYPM and DLM reports, respectively.

# **Age and Body Size**

The neurobehavioral toxicity attributed to PYR has been predominantly characterized using young adult animals (Wolansky and Harrill 2008). Information on age-related factors influencing the neurotoxicity of environmental chemicals is increasingly relevant to informed regulatory decisions, particularly when projected figures for under-18 and over-65 age groups are considered: these two age-groups together make up 43.3% of the US population in the 2050 projection (US Census Bureau 2008). In general, exploration of potential age-related risks of neurotoxicity of insecticides has received little scientific consideration. For PYR, there are a few studies examining the vulnerability of developing rats and little data, if any, are available on the adverse neurological effects of PYR during aging of animals or humans.

Developing rats show greater vulnerability to PYR high-dose, acute neurotoxicity than adults. The influence of age on PYR toxicity was reviewed by Sheets (2000) and Shafer et al. (2005). Information on age-dependent susceptibility to neurotoxicity in rats and mice is only available for a few PYR: two PYR of each type, i.e., the CS-syndrome compounds DLM and CYPM, and the T-syndrome compounds PRM and cismethrin (Sheets et al. 1994; Sheets 2000). In these studies, each of three age-groups of rats was administered different dosages of PYR by the oral route, and toxicity was estimated using mortality and neurobehavioral (i.e., acoustic startle response) assays. The lethality of each of the PYR decreased with age. Rat pups were more than one order of magnitude more sensitive before two weeks of age than adults. Similarly, at three weeks of age, pups were 7.4-fold more susceptible than adults. Interestingly, there was no evident variation in test performance between the 3- and 9-week age-groups using acoustic startle response (ASR) assays, when an oral, low-effective dose of DLM in corn oil was administered. Moreover, this investigation was the first study aimed to elucidate the relative weight of toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic factors on the apparent age-related susceptibility for the acute toxicity attributed to PYR. Target tissue (i.e. whole brain) determinations of DLM were carried out in weanling and adult groups of rats administered low-effective and lethal doses of DLM. When a dose well below lethality was used, target tissue level was higher in the weanling pups, and an opposite trend was observed when brain concentrations were determined after acute administration of a LD<sub>50</sub> dose (Sheets et al. 1994). A recent study of age-dependence in DLM pharmacokinetics by Kim et al. (2010) provides an additional perspective on these ASR results. Kim et al. (2010) showed that pre-weanling and weanling pups i) displayed markedly elevated brain concentrations of DLM, that remained in this primary target tissue for longer periods of time than in adult rats (which quickly eliminated DLM), and ii) presented pronounced salivation, tremors, choreoathetosis, and eventual lethality. Tornero-Velez et al. (2010) developed an age-dependent, physiologically-based pharmacokinetic model, simulating the data of Kim et al. (2010), by incorporating age-specific

information for organ weights and metabolic rate contants. The model demonstrated minimal difference in brain concentrations between the 3- and 9-week old groups of rats at 2 hr (the time of ASR assay), yet a large divergence at 6 hr, with markedly lower levels in the older rats brought about by faster clearance kinetics. Thus, conducting an ASR assay at 6 instead of 2 hr, might demonstrate a greater sensitivity of younger rats. It is important to note that this may not be the case at low doses that do not exceed the limited metabolic detoxification capacity of immature rodents (or humans; Anand et al. 2006; Kennedy 2008). Other factor influencing the interpretation of age-related, [exposure]-[target tissue dose]-[effect] relationships would be the divergence of the time-course patterns for signs of neurotoxicity at either age group. The onset and recovery of clinical signs after acute oral PYR administration in rodents are typically rapid, predominantly occurring within 9 hr (Figure 2). These studies underscore the need for evaluation of sensitive neurotoxicity endpoints in conjunction with pharmacokinetic profiles in order to reach decisions on age-dependent toxicity (Marino, 2012). This is not to suggest that the observed sensitivity in younger animals is solely a matter of pharmacokinetics. Immature animals may be more sensitive at the site of action. The *Xenopus* oocyte system is the primary model for acquiring information on the sensitivity of individual mammalian sodium channel isoforms (Soderlund 2012). Using a Xenopus oocyte model to evaluate sodium currents from combinations of alpha and beta subunits of voltage-gated sodium channels, Meacham et al. (2008) observed that subunit combinations expressed in embryos were more sensitive to DLM and other cyano-bearing PYRs than subunit combinations which predominate in adults. This finding suggests that various toxicodynamic and toxicokinetic factors may concur to determine age-related differences in pyrethroid susceptibility.

A larger rat body size may a priori imply a delay and reduction in the distribution of an absorbed dose of PYR to target tissues. A greater amount of body fat in larger animals may facilitate extraction of PYR from the aqueous blood, so that less is available for central nervous system (CNS) uptake. Alternatively, as a fat-rich compartment, the CNS might act as a buffer zone, partially attenuating the impact of the PYR burden present in blood. Narahashi (2000) proposed a body weight factor of 2-fold for size-related variations in susceptibility to PYRinduced toxicity across studies. In the comprehensive PRM study of Metker and co-workers (1977), no evident body weight-related difference in  $LD_{50}$  was observed. In the case of studies comparing different developmental stages, the body size effect, if any, would be subsumed by age-related susceptibility (Sheets et al. 1994; Sheets 2000; Shafer et al. 2005).

Concurrent aspects of PYR toxicology and the maturation of homeostatic mechanisms during early life may help explain a differential vulnerability through ontogenesis in mammals. The neurophysiological disruption that PYR produce in targeted neurons (Song and Narahashi 1996), if prolonged in time, may be soon followed by altered neural output and neurobehavioral signs of toxicity (Brodie and Aldridge 1982). Even a relatively low target tissue exposure to PYR at pM-nM levels may be postulated to be effective in producing eventual signs of neurotoxicity (Ogata et al. 1988). In addition, the kinetics for a build up of PYR in target tissues greatly depends upon the detoxifying capacity of the organism. Pyrethroid metabolism is primarily carried out by liver cytochrome P450 (OX) and carboxylesterase (CE) enzymes (Miyamoto 1976; Soderlund et al. 2002; Godin et al. 2006). Differences in detoxifying capacity were proposed to play a major role in age-dependent vulnerability to PYR-induced neurotoxicity (Cantalamessa 1993; Dybing and Søderlund 1999; Sheets 2000; Shafer et al. 2005). The age at which an adult-like neurobehavioral response to

PYR is observed coincides with the period of final maturation of the xenobiotic metabolism (Anand et al. 2006).

In summary, susceptibility decreases as maturation progresses in high-dose, acute PYR exposure studies conducted in rodents. This is consistent with equivalent findings observed in insects (Bouvier et al. 2002), suggesting a potential role of age in PYR-mediated toxicity across target and non-target species. This age-effect, only emerging after oral exposure to higheffective doses promoting it, would account for up to a one order of magnitude increase in toxicity in developing animals compared to young adults. A tentative, no age-effect conclusion is proposed for environmentally relevant (i.e., low-level) exposures to PYR, although this conclusion awaits additional work to be confirmed. Limited evidence precludes a final conclusion on the body size as a factor, although it seems to contribute a marginal impact on potency estimates, if any, as compared to the age and other biological determinants of acute PYR toxicity in rodents.

### Gender

Little neurobehavioral evidence is available for PYR from acute exposure studies in rodents using females. Some subacute and chronic exposure studies are therefore reviewed below as an attempt to identify any suggestion of gender-specific susceptibility. Pyrethroid neurotoxicity would be expected to depend upon gender if gender-related differences occur in rates of absorption, distribution and excretion, capacity of detoxification, or in target tissue sensitivity. Soderlund et al (2002) reported blood and liver OX and CE enzymes are major determinants in PYR detoxification in mammals that may exhibit some gender-related differences (Hart et al. 2009). A few studies of male and female rats administered middle-tohigh effective doses of PYR failed to show a consistent trend for gender-related susceptibility. In the FOB study conducted by McDaniel and Moser (1993) after acute oral exposure to PRM and CYPM, no gender-specific sensitivity was apparent. In conclusion, no clear evidence of a gender-effect was found in neurobehavioral studies that used male and female animals subjected to similar schemes of acute, single-bolus, oral sublethal doses of PYR. No apparent comprehensive study of gender-related factors affecting neurobehavioral responses after environmentally-relevant exposures to PYR was available in rodents.

# Other determinants of pyrethroid toxicity

# **Pyrethroid metabolites**

Pyrethroids are efficiently metabolized in mammals: primary and secondary metabolites are considered to be far less toxic than parent compounds (Gaughan et al. 1977; Roberts and Hutson 1998; Soderlund et al. 2002). However, a few studies suggested a potential relevance of metabolic pathways for PYR-observed toxicity. Tralomethrin may transform to DLM by debromination within organisms; both PYR esters produce potent CS-like syndromes (rat oral  $LD_{50} < 100$  mg/kg using an oily vehicle), and there is a 10-fold increase in half-life under aerobic conditions after this transformation (CDC 2003; Cal-EPA 1996; WHO 2005). A similar transformation and conservation of potency was observed in rats when tralocythrin is debrominated to form (1R, S)-cis-cypermethrin (Cole et al. 1982). Further, in rats, methylchrysanthemate, a common intermediate of the metabolizing pathways of a number of PYR was reported to alter motor activity (Bères et al. 2000); changes in this endpoint also occur upon exposure to a number of the parent PYR regardless of their chemical structure (Wolansky and Harrill 2008). In addition, acute oral (rats) or ip (mice) exposure to several metabolites

common to a number of PYR metabolic pathways was found to evoke toxicity with LD<sub>50</sub>s ranging from 371 mg/kg (i.e., 3-phenoxybenzyl alcohol, in mice) to 3,600 mg/kg (i.e. 3phenoxybenzaldehyde, in rats) (INCHEM 1979). Nevertheless, emerging studies reported lowbackground exposure to PYR in humans (CDC 2005; Tulve et al. 2006; Julien et al. 2007; FDA 2013; Starr et al. 2008; Jardim and Caldas 2012). Therefore, relatively high LD<sub>50</sub> values and rapid biotransformation and clearence of PYR metabolites in mammals (Soderlund et al. 2002) preclude major clinical relevance of metabolites in health risk estimates for most, if not all, PYR. Yet, taking into consideration tralomethrin→DLM, and tralocythrin→CYPM cases of activation by metabolic transformation (Cole et al. 1982), the potential influence of the metabolic capacity to PYR-induced toxicity in rodents is assigned below a tentative (maximum) 1.5-fold factor, based on the ratio between tralomethrin and DLM oral LD<sub>50</sub>s (WHO 2005; EPA 1997).

# **Physiological Status** (circadian rhythms)

As mentioned above, molecular targets of pyrethroids include voltage-gated channels which are involved in maintaining organism stability of normal transmission of electrochemical signals and generation of action potentials in neurons. Pre-dosing activity levels and extraneous sensorimotor stimuli may therefore influence nervous system susceptibility and insecticide potency estimates in experimental animals (Ray 1997). In addition, rats and mice are nocturnal animals that present circadian rhythms of general activity, eating, drinking and internal temperature (Zucker 1971; Weinert and Waterhouse 1998; Gordon 2005). Piercy and coworkers (1976) (Unpublished WHO document) observed greater rat susceptibility in rats if a high-dose of PRM was administered after a 24-hr starvation period, compared to toxicity

produced in animals fed ad libitum (INCHEM 1990a). Intra-day variations in adverse responses were also observed in rats after exposures to other insecticides such as OP using thermoregulatory response as an endpoint (Kupferberg et al. 2000; Gordon 2005). In light of few data available for rodents on the interaction between physiological status and PYR-induced neurotoxicity, maintaining similar dosing and testing times across neurobehavioral studies of PYR seems to be the rule of thumb to avoid potential inconsistencies within and across labs.

# **Morbid Conditions (health status)**

It is presently unknown how co-morbid conditions in humans may influence the major biological determinants of PYR-induced neurotoxicity, such as target tissue uptake and the PYR-specific actions on neuronal excitability. All PYR studies in rodents involving nervous system function were conducted using illness-free animals (Soderlund et al. 2002; Wolansky and Harrill 2008). The specific-pathogen-free (i.e. "spf") certification and optimal housing protocols are mandatory requirements for animals selected for regulatory studies. This precludes learning of the potential interaction between toxicogenic pathways of chemical hazards and the most prevalent morbid conditions observed in human populations. Regarding the accumulated knowledge base for diabetes, epilepsy, obesity, chronic pain, elder-related neurodegeneration and cerebrovascular diseases, and other pathological alterations of human health, it is reasonable to postulate that co-morbid conditions might modify the toxicokinetics and toxicodynamics of pesticides in lab animals as well as vulnerability of humans (Janssen et al. 2012). Pyrethroids produce disrupt neuronal excitability as a primary MOA by targeting VGSC channels in the nervous system (Soderlund et al. 2002; Soderlund 2012). An example of this potential interaction between PYR-mediated toxicity and chronic pathologies may be

apparent by analyzing VGSC system integrity and diabetes-related neuropathic pain. Alterations in VGSC expression and function were proposed to produce clinically relevant changes in pain-related signaling pathways of nociception, including changes in pain thresholds observed in diabetic neuropathy patients (Hong and Wiley 2006; Cummings et al. 2007). In addition, an old PYR compound (i.e., a tetramethrin-like structure) was shown to alter the action of insulin-like growth factors (i.e., IGF-I and IGF-II) on calcium homeostasis in BALB/c 3T3 cells; most relevant, some concentration-dependent effects were observed at the low nM range (Kojima et al. 1988). There is limited epidemiological or animal data, if any, on susceptibility for PYR toxicity in diseased individuals. Lack of data makes it uncertain whether an additional vulnerability factor is present in individuals suffering the early or late stages of chronic diseases such as diabetes. This lack of systematic exploration of the morbidity factor in the regulatory pesticide toxicology arena is surprising, taking into account that there have been values available for more than three decades consistently indicating that people ill-free for their entire life constitute a minority of the general population worldwide (CDC 2010).

# **Testing Conditions** (Endpoint and Testing Device)

Threshold doses and potency estimates vary depending on the neurobehavioral endpoint. The FOB study of McDaniel and Moser (1993) tested PRM and CYPM effects on 14 endpoints examined under identical dosing conditions. For most endpoints, at least 60 mg/kg CYPM was needed to induce evident functional alterations. Whereas the lowest effective doses were lower (i.e., 20-40 mg/kg) in motor activity, sensorimotor performance (ASR), reactivity (touch and click response) and body temperature assays, showing apparent greater sensitivity of these endpoints for CYPM. Overall, an approximate 3-fold variation in the lowest levels of the

effective dose range was evident across assays. In addition, a number of equivalent FOB assays were used to characterize the influence of dose-volume (i.e., 1 vs. 5 ml/kg) on neurotoxicity induced by acute exposure to bifenthrin (Wolansky et al. 2007a). Some endpoints (e.g., internal temperature, tremorigenic activity, motor activity) exhibited evident dosage-related effects at low doses; moreover, syndrome severity and onset time were clearly influenced by dosevolume. However, when sensitivity to handling and tail pinch response were assayed, no evident effect trend was evident at bifenthrin doses below the threshold dose for lethality, and a dependency of functional performance on dose volume was unclear. Figure 6 summarizes dose-response data from the 9 assays included in this bifenthrin study (see panels A and B). It is apparent that a threshold-dose estimate for bifenthrin-induced neurotoxicity is dependent on assay endpoint, spanning 6-20 mg/kg from the most to the least sensitive testing protocol.

# (Figure 6 here)

For any test chemical, its observed neurobehavioral toxicity may be influenced by testing apparatus and testing room features (Tapp et al. 1968; Crofton et al. 1991). Thus it follows that potency estimates may also vary as a function of these factors. Apparent contradictory results were observed in two independent studies evaluating the effect of CYPM on motor activity: an increase in activity was observed using Motron, cage-like stations, whereas a reduction in activity was found using F8M mazes. Dissimilar results were mostly attributed to the spatial distribution of photocells in these motility meter systems (Reiter et al. 1981; Crofton and MacPhail 1996). In other studies, PRM and DLM produced dosage-related decreases in F8M activity assays (Crofton and Reiter 1984, 1988; Wolansky et al. 2006); however, the opposite outcome, a dose-related rise, was noted using an identical animal model,

the same test chemicals, and similar dosing conditions when general motor activity was monitored using a cage-based system by radiotelemetry (Wolansky et al. 2007b). The design of the testing system and the actual manner in which raw data are collected and interpreted may thus critically demonstrate inconsistent findings across PYR studies.

Classification of PYR in subgroups based on neurobehavioral findings is endpointspecific. As mentioned aboved, all PYR, tested as single compounds or mixtures, produced dose-related reduction in motor activity and other functional responses (MacPhail et al. 1981; Crofton and Reiter 1984, 1988; McDaniel and Moser 1993; Hornychova et al. 1995; Wolansky et al. 2006a, 2009; Wolansky and Harrill 2008). However, compound structure-dependent effects were found when other endpoints were evaluated. Full-effective doses of the Type-II PYR CYPM and DLM produced hypothermia, and Type-I-like PRM and bifenthrin produced hyperthermia (Soderlund et al. 2002; Wolansky et al. 2007a; Wolansky and Harrill 2008). Yet, at a low-effective dose range all 4 PYR produced moderate increases in body temperature, and this dose-related, biphasic pattern of above Type-II compounds was visible only when a second endpoint, i.e. thermoregulatory response was tested in the same lab using dosing conditions comparable to those used in motor activity assays (McDaniel and Moser 1993; Wolansky et al. 2006, 2007a, 2007b; Pato et al. 2011).

In addition, the available FOB studies of PRM, CYPM and bifenthrin suggest that a variety of relative potency estimates may be obtained for PYR by selecting different neurobehavioral assays. While a ratio of ED<sub>30</sub> for DLM and CYPM in F8M motor assays (i.e., ED30<sub>DLM</sub> / ED30<sub>CYPM</sub>) is approximately 0.1-0.24 (Crofton and Reiter 1984, 1988; McDaniel and Moser 1993; Crofton et al. 1995; Wolansky et al. 2006), this ratio declines to

approximately 0.05 if effects data from ASR assays are used for ED<sub>30</sub> estimation (Crofton and Reiter 1984, 1988). In addition, alternative use of Motron and F8M devices in independent studies of motor activity reflected device-specific findings for PYR-mediated neurotoxicity (Reiter et al. 1981; Crofton and MacPhail 1996). In conclusion, endpoint- and device-related sensitivity in estimating PYR potency may produce variations in pesticide potency across assays. A 3-fold endpoint-effect based on dose-effect trends observed in the FOB studies of PRM, CYPM and bifenthrin may thus be assumed (McDaniel and Moser 1993; Wolansky et al. 2007a).

## **Ambient temperature**

Ambient temperature is another experimental variable that determines the potency of PYR insecticides in *in vitro* assays (i.e., disruption of neuronal excitability and signal transmission in cell cultures), and in vivo in rodents (Narahashi 2000; Krieger 2010). A fall in sample temperature enhances the toxicity of PYR by increasing the decay time of tail currents of Na<sup>+</sup> through VGSC system in vitro (Ginsburg and Narahashi 1999; Narahashi 2000). Similarly, low ambient temperature within the testing lab enhances PYR potency in vivo: acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> values for cismethrin in rats decrease from >1,000 mg/kg at 30°C to 157 mg/kg at 4°C, i.e., a ≥6-fold room-temperature effect (White et al. 1976). In addition, PYR produced changes in body temperature (Soderlund et al. 2002; Wolansky et al. 2007b; Wolansky and Harrill 2008) that may last 24-48 hr (McDaniel and Moser 1993). Excessive metabolic activity associated with tremors was proposed as a tentative explanation for the transient hyperthermia observed in rats upon intoxication with the tremorigenic compounds PRM and cismethrin (Cremer and Seville 1982; McDaniel and Moser 1993). However, there is no certainty of how

the CS-syndrome landmark hypothermia (McDaniel and Moser 1993; Soderlund et al. 2002) is generated above middle-effective dose levels of most cyano-pyrethroids. According to the above mentioned data on PYR-induced alterations of thermoregulation in rats and interaction between adverse response and ambient temperature (White et al. 1976; Gordon 2005), neurobehavioral assessments of PYR-mediated toxicity need to control for ambient temperature consistency within and across studies. Lab studies are typically carried out at 22-25°C (Gordon 2005); however, human exposures in general population rarely occur in such a tight ambient temperature range. Based on the cismethrin study of White et al. (1976), ambient temperature might be expected to produce up to a 6-fold variation in PYR potency in lab rodents.

#### **Laboratory Controlled Dosing Scheme vs. Realistic Exposures**

Most published studies designed to establish absolute and relative potencies of pesticides in mammals have used single-chemical exposure schemes (Janssen et al. 2012). Real scenarios of human exposure to PYR (and to other pesticides) suggest that daily exposures to residues of multiple pesticides are more a rule than an exception in the general population (Chun and Kang 2003; Tulve et al. 2006; Lu et al. 2006; FDA 2013; CDC 2005; Riederer et al. 2008; Jardim Caldas 2012). Studies evaluating the combined neurobehavioral actions environmentally-relevant levels of pesticides with different MOA in mammals are apparently not available. There is some evidence on the combined effects of low dose mixtures after acute, single bolus dose exposure to a number of PYR in rodents. A dose-response study examined the simultaneous action of subthreshold levels of 11 pyrethroids administered by the oral route to young adult rats (Wolansky et al. 2009). The test mixture included cyano and non-cyano compounds previously classified as T-, CS or TS-syndrome inducing PYR (Soderlund et al.

2002; Wolansky and Harrill 2008). General motor activity was used as a neurobehavioral endpoint. Although the individual chemical constituents were present at subthreshold levels, the mixture was effective in producing dose-dependent declines in activity. Use of rigourous mathematical and statistical procedures to test a default hypothesis of additivity indicated that the cumulative effect of the 11 compounds was consistent with the joint action proposed by the dose-addition theory (Wolansky et al. 2009). While the test mixture was not based on observed proportions in the environment (i.e., equipotent doses of 11 chemicals were used), the subthreshold nature of the co-administered doses of the examined chemicals certainly carries environmental relevance. Additivity was also determined in a follow up study using a 5chemical mixture. The test compounds and mixing ratios in this second study were selected based on the proportions of PYR detected in the floor residues of 168 child care centers across the U.S., a nationally representative sample (Starr et al. 2012; Tornero-Velez et al. 2012 a; Marshall et al. 2013).

Although an efficient PYR metabolism in humans would be expected to completely eliminate trace amounts ingested with food daily, or by their residential use, a low but persistent burden of PYR seems to be the rule rather than the exception for many populations globally as evidenced by various studies of urine samples in humans (Schettgen et al. 2002; Heudorf et al. 2004; Riederer et al. 2008; Whyatt et al. 2002; Wielgomas et al. 2013; Wu et al. 2013). For this reason, recent mixture studies emphasize the relevance of experimentally assessing the joint action of multiple exposures to insecticides in dose levels individually considered subtoxic in order to fully characterize the risk of neurotoxicity posed by realistic exposures to PYR co-occurring in the environment and food. In addition, there are a few reports on the joint toxicity of environmentally relevant, binary mixtures of PYR and OP

insecticides in non-neurobehavioral endpoints such as markers of endocrine disruption in rats (Liu et al. 2006), which are beyond the focus of this review.

A series of studies with the non-cyano PYR allethrin suggests a complex interaction between the accumulated pattern of chemical pesticide exposures during early development, route of exposure and vulnerability to realistic PYR exposures at any age (Eriksson and Talts 2000; Tsuji et al. 2002). A low oral, repeated exposure to bioallethrin in 10 day-old mice at 0.7 mg/kg/day for 7 days was subeffective in producing any evident clinical sign of toxicity in developing or adult mice, but otherwise effective in facilitating enduring neurochemical and neurobehavioral alterations when treated pups were re-exposed to the same low bioallethrin dose as adults at 5-months of age (Eriksson and Talts 2000). In addition, another study using a full range of d-allethrin doses administered by inhalation including above mentioned 0.7 mg/kg dose, a similar period of early postnatal exposure, and an equivalent battery of endpoints of neurotoxicity in the adulthood, was unable to detect any long-term neurochemical or behavioral alterations (Tsuji et al. 2002). These and other studies examining the developmental neurotoxicology of PYR were reviewed by Shafer et al. (2005). The limited evidence available call for research efforts to ascertain whether persistent neurobehavioral effects of environmentally-relevant exposures to PYR are possible in individuals who experienced subclinical, nearly threshold exposures to pesticides during early life. Accordingly, the RfD is still flawed if risk assessment processes lack sufficient animal, environmental and epidemiological data on realistic exposure scenarios.

#### **Interplay of Determinants of Pyrethroid Neurotoxicity**

This review shows the multiplicity of experimental and organismic factors that may significantly influence the severity of the PYR syndromes, as manifested in lab rodents under controlled conditions. These determinants are classified in Table 1 according to the toxicological domain mostly responsible for the outcome variation and the actual maximal influence expected upon manipulation of each determinant.

There is a body of evidence establishes that test material composition, dosing solution preparation, and testing room features may account for major variations in PYR potency. The most relevant physicochemical and toxicokinetic determinants of PYR syndrome severity include structure, isomer composition (i.e., ratios of active and nonactive isomers), route of exposure, vehicle, and dosing volume. The digestion of food in the GIT of experimental animals would be assumed to be a potential modifier of PYR absorption and metabolism. There is certainly limited evidence that fasted animals may suffer slightly greater toxic responses from oral exposures. This seems to be consistent with a similar trend observed with decreasing vehicle volume (from 5 to 1 ml/Kg). The smaller dose volume (1 ml/kg) enables a greater rate of GIT absorption (Wolansky et al. 2007a).

## (Table 1 here)

Species is perhaps the principal explanatory factor, contributing up to a 4-fold effect on PYR potency. Time of the day when dosing and testing are conducted (i.e., circadian rhythms), and testing conditions such as endpoint and test device also affect dose-effect relationships and potency estimation. All factors result in up to 2- to 4-fold variation in PYR potency. The size of the animal (Narahashi 2000) and testing device design (Crofton and MacPhail 1996) need to be taken into consideration when interpreting PYR actions on rodents, especially when results

from different animal lots or sensitivity of alternative testing systems are compared. Lastly, testing room ambient temperature needs to be maintained within a small range, ideally the same used in the animal colony (i.e., typically 22-25°C), whether a comparative analysis within or across studies is required. Other aspects of the study design, including age, strain and gender, exert little or no impact on neurobehavioral measures of PYR-induced acute neurotoxicity when nearly-threshold or low-effective dose regimens are used.

The influence of experimental and organismic factors on PYR-induced toxicity in mammals confirms the importance of study design on toxicological assays. Above mentioned determinants of PYR toxicity are not exclusively relevant for this insecticide class. The toxicological literature is replete with examples of chemicals with a plethora of factors affecting, to a lesser or greater degree, insecticide toxicity in small rodents. Many of the determinants of PYR-mediated toxicity alluded to in this review were also found to be relevant for the toxicogenic pathways of OP (Karalliedde et al. 2003). Chemical structure is also a major determinant of acute toxicity for OC and OP, demonstrating an approximate 500-fold variability in rat oral LD<sub>50</sub>, a similar span of potency across compounds as observed for PYR (Patnaik 2007; WHO 2005). In addition, many OC, PYR and OP were previously found to display enantiomer-specific activity responsible for at least 10-fold variation in LC<sub>50</sub> estimates in invertebrate models used in ecotoxicology (Liu et al. 2005). The neurotoxicity evoked by OC in rats was reported to depend upon dose volume (McDaniel and Moser 1997), in a magnitude comparable to that estimated for bifenthrin (Wolansky et al. 2007a). Overall, these findings reveal a strong relationship between study design and the estimated insecticide potencies.

The myriad of factors that may greatly influence the observed patterns of neurotoxicity raises a question of the animal model, test material, and dosing and testing conditions that need to be selected in order to be as realistic and protective as possible in health risk assessments of PYR or other insecticides. For many insecticides in use, there is no apparent information on how several of the above mentioned experimental and organismic factors influence toxicity in mammals. Moreover, there is no information on how interaction of two or more determinants of toxicity may produce unexpected attenuation or exacerbation of PYR-induced toxicity. Indeed, it was mentioned above that inconsistent results obtained in a lab that tested different formulations of PRM but did not use the same vehicle to prepare dosing solutions in each case (Williamson et al. 1989). Should one expect intakes of a 10 µg PYR dose diluted in an oily food (e.g., at 0.01 mg/ml concentration) or in a mostly aqueous, more concentrated beverage (e.g., 0.1 mg pyrethroid/ml drink) to be equitoxic in mammals including humans? There is lack of empirical data to respond to this type of question, and current interpolation and extrapolation procedures do not consider these potential scenarios of exposure in terms of their impact on estimates of health risk. An experienced reader may expect that a great portion of the uncertainty in above example is already recognized, acknowledged and considered when TK studies are conducted and used in risk assessment processes. Yet, an advanced TK knowledge base is not always generated during risk characterization at industry R&D departments and government labs. Thus, the answer to this question is unknown at present. A major utility of identifying and characterizing the determinants of PYR-mediated neurotoxicity in rodents becomes apparent: lab animal data are used in decision-making processes to protect humans from the unwanted impact of low-level, daily exposures to pesticide residues present in foods and pest control products used indoors and outdoors.

It may be impractical to generate information on all determinants of toxicity for all old and new insecticide compounds. Therefore, a simple format for analyzing the adequacy of the available information needs to be designed for use in the early steps of a decision making process on health risks. While many determinants of neurotoxicity are not exclusive for a particular insecticide class, the potential maximal influence of each experimental factor in potency estimates seems to be of the same order of magnitude across insecticides (as suggested for the reported chemical structure, isomer composition, vehicle, purity and dose volume effects on ED<sub>50</sub>s and LD<sub>50</sub>s); the PYR case apparently illustrates a situation applicable to other insecticide classes. Below, an exploratory system applicable as a decision rule for animal data sufficiency is proposed for PYR.

#### Establishing sufficiency in animal test data

It has been noted that the manifestation of PYR-induced toxicity in experimental *in vivo* assays and PYR potency estimations in animals greatly depends on lab-controled conditions used to examine these insecticides. Research efforts aimed to estimate the cumulative risk of neurotoxicity posed to humans after exposure to environmentally-relevant levels of PYR (Tulve et al. 2006; Wolansky et al. 2006, 2009; Mirfazaelian et al. 2006; Starr et al. 2008; Scollon et al. 2009, 2011; Jardim and Caldas 2012) thus requires a knowledge of the exposure-scheme- and testing-protocol- dependence of PYR potency (Crofton et al. 1995; Wolansky et al. 2007a; 2009). Hence, it is opportune to identify how much data are sufficient before using experimental information in well-informed health risk decisions.

For any pesticide class, a number of determinants of toxicity are expected to coparticipate in defining the ultimate clinical consequences of actual exposures in both lab

animals and humans. Table 1 provides a summary of the most relevant organismic and experimental factors influencing PYR-induced toxicity and relative (theoretical) impact of each factor on estimated risks, as established based on the available information that was compiled in this review. An indication if each individual factor is considered in current risk assessment protocols and risk uncertainty estimations for individual and combined PYR compounds is also provided. Since all relevant test materials, and dosing- and testing-related factors may individually produce moderate-to-strong variations in PYR-mediated toxicity in rats and mice (i.e., 3- to 500-fold changes in potency measures; see Table 1), interplay between the modifying impacts of these factors may theoretically yield large variations in risk measures. For instance, the interplay between dose-volume and vehicle may result in up to 600-fold variation in toxic potency in animals (i.e., computing the product of the individual maximal impacts of these toxicokinetic factors; see Table 1). Similarly, potentiated or attenuated syndromes may result from the combination of particular dosing and testing conditions. Various factors included in Table 1 are regularly examined by research labs in industry and government as currently mandated by local and national authorities during procedures for hazard characterization and health risk assessment. Animal species, animal age, and route of exposure are duly noted in virtually all toxicological assessments, with good reason, as they might contribute an estimated global uncertainty to risk estimates of approximately 10<sup>3</sup> (4x28x10). However, these factors need to be considered in the context of isomer composition, formulation/purity, and vehicle which contribute an estimated global uncertainty of approximately  $10^5$  (25x50x 200). Although a global impact of  $10^5$  is unlikely to be realized it serves as a caution in study design where such factors may combine multiplicatively. Moreover, although recent research efforts in industry and government (Wolansky et al. 2006,

2007, 2009; Starr et al. 2012; Weiner et al. 2009; Breckenridge et al. 2009) demonstrate exceptional rigor in study design, the acute PYR toxicity case may still serve as a proof of concept on how to scrutinize sufficiency of data from animal assays in regulatory processes.

Figure 7 synthesizes all the information on toxicity determinants mentioned in this review for PYR. For any chemical class, a graphical representation like this would be helpful to determine animal data sufficiency for risk assessment, if it allows a sensible and rapid evaluation of three questions, as follows: 1) have all relevant organismic and experimental factors been evaluated using low, realistic exposure levels? 2) have all major determinants of toxicity been appropriately examined? For instance, if ratios of enantiomers are critical for toxicity, has the maximal isomer effect been estimated for a few prototypical members of each toxicological class? (Note: for PYRs, it would include at least one Type-I, one Type-II, and one Type-I/II compound); and 3) are any major determinants of toxicity assigned a factor effect score of relevance for realistic exposures in humans? The graphical presentation in Figure 7 enables testing most of these questions after a quick visual analysis of the determinant factors, ordered from greater to lower impact on toxicity.

#### (Figure 7 here)

This illustration makes clear that most of the uncertainty related to the animal model and experimental design used for PoD derivation has been already informed in the PYR case. This might be not the case for other pesticide chemical classes. In a quasi-optimal situation similar to the one described here for PYR, environmental data would be considered to incorporate to PoD computing only the variability related to the most relevant determinants of toxicity, i.e.,

not the determinants exerting greater impact but those producing any variation in health risk in realistic situations of exposure.

In summary, this review compiled animal data relevant to the neurobehavioral characterization of PYR. It is our expectation that confirming that comprehensive lab data have been collected, and accounting for the aforementioned experimental and biological factors will reduce uncertainty in risk assessments pertinent to subclinical or mild neurotoxicity. Further this approach will provide the necessary thinking to consider risks associated with other endpoints. Indeed, the knowledge base on other health risks such as endocrine disruption or immune response deficiency may contain less available data (Van Balen et al. 2012), and it is also unknown how Figure 7 would appear if chronic PYR exposure studies were considered instead of the acute exposure effects data that are predominantly available at present. Yet, it is clear that a similar comprehensive consideration of the determinants may help to reach greater accuracy in risk estimates for those endpoints (Janssen et al. 2012).

All research sectors (i.e., industry, government, academia) contributing to generate exposure, toxicokinetic, mechanistic and effects information may achieve their ultimate goals in optimizing chemical safety faster and more accurately by reaching a consensus on the minimal toxicological information that needs to be generated before establishing estimates of absolute and relative potencies for individual compounds representing a toxicological class. This, in turn, would ensure building up environmentally realistic, risk assessment projects using more sensible, predictive and protective designs. Accordingly, the generation of an advanced neurobehavioral toxicity knowledge base and the recent efforts considering the acute

risks PYR insecticides demonstates a successful experience that may guide and inform the decision-making processes of other pesticide classes.

# **Conflict of Interest Statement**

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

### References

- Abernathy, C.O., and J.E. Casida. 1973. Pyrethroid insecticides: Esterase cleavage in relation to selective toxicity. Science 179: 1235-1236.
- Abou Donia, M.B., K.R. Wilmarth, K.FJensen, F.W. Oehme, and T.L. Kurt. 1996. Neurotoxicity resulting from coexposure to pyridostigmine bromide, DEET, and permethrin: Implications of gulf war chemical exposures. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health 48: 35-56.
- Abou Donia, M.B., L.B. Goldstein, A. Dechovskaia, S. Bullman, K.H. Jones, E.A. Herrick, A.A. Abdel-Rahman, and W.A Khan. 2001. Effects of daily dermal application of DEET and permethrin, alone and in combination, on sensorimotor performance, blood-brain barrier, and blood-testis barrier in rats. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health A 62: 523-541.
- Abu-Qare, A.W., and M.B. Abou-Donia. 2003. Combined exposure to DEET (N,N-diethyl-mtoluamide) and permethrin: Pharmacokinetics and toxicological effect. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health B 6: 41-53.
- Anand, S.S., K-B. Kim, S. Padilla, S. Muralidhara, H.J. Kim, J.W. Fisher, and J.V. Bruckner. 2006. Ontogeny of hepatic and plasma metabolism of deltamethrin in vitro: Role in agedependent acute neurotoxicity. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 34: 389-397.
- Audegond, L., D. Catez, P. Foulhoux, R. Fournex, C. Le Rumeur, M. L'Hotellier, and J.P. Stepniewski. 1989. Potentialisation de la toxicité de la deltaméthrine par les insecticidas organophosphorés [Potentiation of deltamethrin toxicity by organophosphorus insecticides]. J. Toxicol. Clin. Exp. 9: 163-176.
- Barlow, S.M., J.B. Greig, J.W. Bridges, A. Carere, A.J. Carpy, C.L. Galli, J. Kleiner, I. Knudsen, H.B. Koëter, L.S. Levy, C. Madsen, S. Mayer, J.F. Narbonne, F. Pfannkuch, M.G. Prodanchuk, M.R. Smith, and P. Steinberg. 2002. Hazard identification by methods of animal-based toxicology. Food Chem. Toxicol. 40:145-191.
- Borgert, C.J., T.F. Quill, L.S. McCarty, and A.M. Mason. 2004. Can mode of action predict mixture toxicity for risk assessment? Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 201: 85-96.
- Bouvier, J.C., T. Boivin, D. Beslay, and B. Sauphanor. 2002. Age-dependent response to insecticides and enzymatic variation in susceptible and resistant codling moth larvae. Arch. Insect. Biochem. Physiol. 51: 55-66.

- Breckenridge, C.B., L. Holden, N. Sturgess, M.L. Weiner, L. Sheets, D. Sargent, D.M. Soderlund, J.S. Choi, S. Symington, J.M. Clark, S. Burr, and D.E. Ray. 2009. Evidence for a separate mechanism of toxicity for Type-I and Type-II pyrethroid insecticides. Neurotoxicology 30 (Suppl. 1): S17-S31.
- Brodie, M.E., and W.N. Aldridge. 1982. Elevated cerebellar cyclic GMP levels during the deltamethrin-induced motor syndrome. Neurobehav. Toxicol. Teratol. 4: 109-113.
- Burr, S.A., and D.E. Ray. 2004. Structure-activity and interaction effects of 14 different pyrethroids on voltage-gated chloride ion channels. Toxicol. Sci. 77: 341-346.
- Cantalamessa, F. 1993. Acute toxicity of two pyrethroids, permethrin and cypermethrin in neonatal and adult rats. Arch. Toxicol. 67: 510-513.
- California Department of Pesticide Regulation, CDPR. 2007. Pesticide Use Stats. Government of California (http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/pur/purmain.htm; last accessed June 2007).
- Cao, Z., Shafer, T.J., Crofton, K.M., Gennings, C., and Murray, T.F. 2011. Additivity of pyrethroid actions on sodium influx in cerebrocortical neurons in primary culture. Environ. Health Persp. 119: 1239-1246.
- Casida, J.E., K. Ueda, L.C. Gaughan, L.T. Jao, and D.M. Soderlund. 1976. Structurebiodegradability relationships in pyrethroid insecticides. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 3: 491-500.
- Casida, J.E., and G.B. Quistad. 1998. Golden age of insecticide research: past, present, or future? Annu. Rev. Entomol. 43: 1-16.
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention, CDC. 2003. Toxicological profile for pyrethrins and pyrethroids. Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry [ATSDR], US Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta. (http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp.asp?id=787&tid=153).
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention, CDC. 2005. 3<sup>rd</sup> National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals. National Center for Environmental Health. US Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta (http://www.cdc.gov/exposurereport/3rd/pdf/thirdreport.pdf).
- Center for Disease Control and Prevention, CDC. 2010. The healthy people 2010 database. US Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta (http://wonder.cdc.gov/data2010/).

- Cal-EPA, California Environmental Protection Agency. 1996. Tralomethrin. Risk Characterization Document (Volume 1). Medical Toxicology Branch, Department of Pesticide Regulation, January 4<sup>th</sup> 1996 (http://www.cdpr.ca.gov/docs/risk/rcd/tralomet.pdf).
- Chanh, P.H., C. Navarro-Delmasurre, A.P.H. Chanh, S.L. Cheav, F. Ziade, and F. Samaha. 1984a. Pharmacological effects of deltamethrin on the central nervous system. *Arzneim. Forsch. (Drug Res.)* 34: 175-181.
- Chanh, P.H., C. Navarro-Delmasurre, A.P.H. Chanh, P. Clavel, G. Van Haverbeke, and S.L. Cheav. 1984b. Toxicological studies of deltamethrin. *Int. J. Tissue React.* 6: 127-133.
- Chun, O.K., and H.G. Kang. 2003. Estimation of risks of pesticide exposure, by food intake, to Koreans. Food Chem. Toxicol. 41: 1063-1076.
- Chang, D. T., M.-R. Goldsmith, R. Tornero-Velez, Y.-M. Tan, C.M. Grulke, E.M. Ulrich, A. B. Lindstrom, M.A. Pasquinelli, J.R. Rabinowitz, and C.C. Dary. 2012. In silico strategies for modeling stereoselective metabolism of pyrethroids. In: J. B. Knaak and C. Timchalk, eds., Parameters for Pesticide QSAR and PBPK/PD Models for Human Risk Assessment, ACS Symposium Series 1099, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, pp. 245-269.
- Coats, J.R. 1990. Mechanisms of toxic action and structure-activity relationships for organochlorine and synthetic pyrethroid insecticides. Environ. Health Persp. 87: 255-262.
- Cole, L.M., L.O. Ruzo, E. J. Wood, and J.E. Casida. 1982. Pyrethroid metabolism: comparative fate in rats of tralomethrin, tralocythrin, deltamethrin and (1R,  $\alpha$ -S)-cis-cypermethrin. J. Agric. Food Chem. 30: 631–636.
- Cremer, J.E. and M.P. Seville. 1982. Comparative effects of two pyrethroids, deltamethrin and cismethrin, on plasma catecholamines and on blood glucose and lactate. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 66: 124-133.
- Crofton, K.M., and L.W. Reiter. 1984. Effects of two pyrethroids on motor activity and the Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 75: 318-328. acoustic startle response in the rat.
- Crofton, K.M., and L.W. Reiter. 1987. Pyrethroid insecticides and the gamma-aminobutyric acid A receptor complex: Motor activity and the acoustic startle response in the rat. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 243: 946-954.
- Crofton, K.M., and L.W. Reiter. 1988. The effects of type I and II pyrethroids on motor activity and the acoustic startle response in the rat. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 10: 624-634.

- Crofton, K.M., J.L. Howard, V.C. Moser, M.W. Gill, L.W. Reiter, H.A. Tilson, and R.C. MacPhail. 1991. Inter-laboratory comparisons of motor activity experiments: implications for neurotoxicological risk assessments. Neurotoxicol. Teratol. 13: 599-609.
- Crofton, K.M., L.S. Kehn, and M.E. Gilbert. 1995. Vehicle and route dependent effects of a pyrethroid insecticide, deltamethrin, on motor function in the rat. Neurotoxicol.Teratol. 17: 489-495.
- Crofton, K.M., and R.C. MacPhail. 1996. Reliability of motor assessments. In: K. P. Ossenkopp, M. Kavaliers, P. R. Sanberg, eds. Measuring Movement and Locomotion: From Invertebrates to Humans. R.G. Landes Company. pp. 227-252.
- Crow, J.A., A. Borazjanmi, P.M. Potter, and M.K. Ross. 2007. Hydrolisis of pyrethroids by human and rat tissues: Examination of intestinal, liver and serum carboxylesterases. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 222: 1-12.
- Crump, K. 2002. Critical issues in benchmark calculations from continuous data. Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 32: 133-153.
- Cummings, T.R., P.L. Sheets, and S.G. Waxman. 2007. The role of sodium channels in nociception: Implications for mechanism of pain. Pain 131: 243-257.
- David, D. 1982. Action of decamethrin, of its commercial solution, of the excipient and of xylene on the quail biotic potential. Effect on the embryonic system. Arch. Anat. Microsc. Morphol. Exp. 71: 159-174.
- Department of Pesticide Control Service [Government of Ireland], DPCS. 2001. Pesticide Residues in Food (http://www.agriculture.gov.ie/publicat/pesticides/PESTICID.PDF).
- Dybing, E. and E.J. Søderlund. 1999. Situations with enhanced chemical risks due to Reg. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 30: S27-S30. toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic factors.
- Ecobichon, D.J. and R. Joy. 1993. Pesticides and Neurological Diseases, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. CRC press LLC.
- Egeghy, P.P., E.A. Cohen Hubal, N.S. Tulve, L.J. Melnyk, M.K. Morgan, R.C. Fortmann, and L.S. Sheldon. 2011. Review of pesticide urinary biomarker measurements from selected US EPA children's observational exposure studies. Int.J. Environ. Res. Public Health 8: 1727-54.
- Elliott, M., and N.F. Janes. 1978. Synthetic pyrethroids: A new class of insecticide.

- Chem. Soc. Rev. (London) 7: 470-505.
- EPA, US Environmental Protection Agency. 1993. Reference dose (RfD): Description and use in health risk assessments. Integrated risk information system, IRIS. Background document 1A, March 15<sup>th</sup>, 1993 (http://www.epa.gov/iris/rfd.htm).
- EPA, US Environmental Protection Agency. 1997. Deltamethrin and tralomethrin Residue tolerances. Federal Register 62 (228): 62993-63001, November 26<sup>th</sup> 1997. Available at: https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/1997/11/26/97-31103/deltamethrin-andtralomethrin-pesticide-tolerances.
- Eriksson, P. and U. Talts. 2000. Neonatal exposure to neurotoxic pesticides increases adult susceptibility: A review of current findings. Neurotoxicology 21: 31-47.
- EMEA. 1998. Cypermethrin. European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products. Summary report. EMEA/MRL/403/98-FINAL.
- EMEA. 2003. α-cypermethrin EMEA/MRL/879/03-FINAL. European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products. Summary report, June 2003.
- European Centre for Ecotoxicology and Toxicology of Chemicals, ECETOC. 2009. Framework for the integration of human and animal data in chemical risk assessment. Technical Report 4, Brussels, Jan-2009 (http://www.ecetoc.org/uploads/Publications/documents/TR%20104.pdf).
- European Food Safety Authority, EFSA. 2007. Scientific colloquium summary report: Cumulative risk assessment of pesticides to human health: the way forward. Available at: http://www.efsa.europa.eu/EFSA/ScientificOpinionPublicationReport/EFSAScientificCollo quiumReports/efsa locale-1178620753812 RiskAssessmentPesticidesHumanHealth.htm.
- Finizio, A., M. Vighi, and D. Sandroni. 1997. Determination of n-octanol/water partition coefficients (Kow) of pesticides - Critical review and comparison of methods. Chemosphere 34: 131-161.
- Food and Drug Administration, FDA. 2013. Pesticide Residue Monitoring Program FY 2004-2006, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, US Department of Health and Human Services (USDHHS)
  - (http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodbornelllnessContaminants/Pesticides/ucm125183.htm).

- FQPA, Food Quality Protection Act [U.S. Congress]. Public Law 104-170. U.S. Government Printing Office, August 3<sup>rd</sup> 1996, Washington DC.
- Freedonia. Pesticides 2006, Freedonia Industry Study, vol. 1523. The Freedonia Market Research Group, Inc., Cleveland, Ohio.
- Freeman, N.C., P. Hore, K. Black, M. Jimenez, L. Sheldon, N. Tulve, and P.J. Lioy. 2005. Contributions of children's activities to pesticide hand loadings following residential pesticide application. J. Expo. Anal. Environ. Epidemiol. 15: 81-88.
- Gammon, D.W. 1985. Correlations between in vivo and in vitro mechanisms of pyrethroid insecticide action. Fundam. Appl. Toxicol. 5: 9-23.
- Gaughan, L.C., T. Unai, and J.E. Casida. 1977. Permethrin metabolism in rats. J. Agric. Food Chem. 25: 9-17.
- Gaughan, L.C., J.L. Engel, and J.E. Casida. 1980. Pesticide interactions: Effects of organophosphorus pesticides on the metabolism, toxicity and persistence of selected pyrethroid insecticides. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 14: 81-85.
- Gershkovich, P., and A. Hoffman A. 2007. Effect of a high-fat meal on absorption and disposition of lipophilic compounds: the importance of degree of association with triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 32: 24-32.
- Ginsburg, K., and T. Narahashi. 1999. Time course and temperature dependence of allethrin modulation of sodium channels in rat dorsal root ganglion cells. *Brain Res.* 847: 38-49.
- Glickman, A.H., and J.E. Casida. 1982. Species and structural variations affecting pyrethroid -Neurobehav. Toxicol. Teratol. 4: 793-799. neurotoxicity.
- Glomot, R. 1982. Toxicity of deltamethrin to higher vertebrates. In: Deltamethrin (monograph), Roussel-Uclaf Research Centre, France. pp. 109-136.
- Godin, S.J., E.J. Scollon, M.F. Hughes, P.M. Potter, M.J. DeVito, and M.K. Ross. 2006. Species differences in the in vitro metabolism of deltamethrin and esfenvalerate: Differential oxidative and hydrolytic metabolism by humans and rats. *Drug Metab. Dispos*. 34: 1764-1771.
- Godin, S.J., J.A. Crow, E.J. Scollon, M.F. Hughes, M.J. DeVito, and M.K. Ross. 2007. Identification of rat and human cytochrome P450 isoforms and a rat serum esterase that

- metabolize the pyrethroid insecticides deltamethrin and esfenvalerate. Drug Metab. Dispos. 35: 1664-1671.
- Gordon, C.J. 2005. Temperature and Toxicology: An Integrative, Comparative, and Environmental Approach. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Gray, A.J., T.A. Connors, H. Hoellinger, and H-N. Nguyen. 1980. The relationship between the pharmacokinetics of intravenous cismethrin and biomesthrin and their mammalian toxicity. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 13: 281-293.
- Grossman, J. 1995. What's hiding under the sink? Dangers of household pesticides. Environ. Health Persp. 103: 550-554.
- Gunning, K., K. Pippitt, B. Kiraly, and M. Sayler. 2012. Pediculosis and scabies: Treatment Am. Family Physician 86: 535-41. update.
- Hart, S.N., Y. Cui, C.D. Klaassen, and Zhong, Z-b. 2009. Three patterns of cytochrome P450 gene expression during liver maturation in mice. Drug Metab. Dispos. 37: 116-121.
- He, F. 2000. Neurotoxic effects of insecticides: Current and future research: A review. Neurotoxicology 21: 829–835.
- Health Canada. 2009. 1) The regulation of pesticides in Canada. Available in: http://www.hcsc.gc.ca/cps-spc/alt formats/pdf/pubs/pest/ fact-fiche/regulation-Pesticidesreglementation-eng.pdf; 2) Health assessment: Toxicology assessment. Available in: http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/cps-spc/pubs/pest/ training-formation/toxico/index-eng.php.
- Hernández, A.F., T. Parrón, A.M. Tsatsakis, M. Requena, R. Alarcón, and O. López-Guarnido. 2013. Toxic effects of pesticide mixtures at a molecular level: Their relevance to human health. *Toxicology* 307:136-145.
- Herr, D.W., J-S. Hong, P. Chen, H. Tilson, and G.J. Harry. 1986. Pharmacological modification of DDT-induced tremor and hyperthermia in rats: Distributional factors. Pyschopharmacology 89: 278-283.
- Heudorf, U., J. Angerer, and H. Drexler. 2004. Current internal exposure to pesticides in children and adolescents in Germany: Urinary levels of metabolites of pyrethroid and organophosphorus insecticides. Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health 77: 67-72.

- Hildebrand, M.E., J.E. McRory, T.P. Snutch, and A. Stea. 2004. Mammalian voltage-gated calcium channels are potently blocked by the pyrethroid insecticide allethrin. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 308: 805-813.
- Hilton, J., B.H. Woollen, R.C. Scott, T.R. Auton, K.L. Trebilcock, and M.F.V. Wilks. 1994. Vehicle effects on in vitro percutaneous absorption through rat and human skin. Pharmaceut Res. 11: 1396-1400.
- Hodgson, E., and R.L. Rose. 2008. Metabolic interactions of agrochemicals in humans. Pestic. Manage. Sci. 64: 617-621.
- Hong, L., and J.W. Wiley. 2006. Altered expression and function of sodium channels in DRG neurons and myelinated A-fibers in early diabetic neuopathy in the rat. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 339: 652-660.
- Hornychová, M., Frantik, J. Kubat, and J. Formanek. 1995. Neurotoxicity profile of supermethrin, a new pyrethroid insecticide. *Cent. Eur. J. Public Health* 3: 210-218.
- International Program on Chemical Safety, INCHEM. 1979. Pesticides Residues in Food: Permethrin. World Health Organization (WHO) - Food and Agriculture Organizations of the United Nations (FAO). Available at: http://www.inchem.org/documents/jmpr/jmpmono/v079pr34.htm.
- International Program on Chemical Safety, INCHEM. 1989. Environmental Health Criteria #87. Allethrins. World Health Organization (WHO) - Food and Agriculture Organizations of the United Nations (FAO). Available at: http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc87.htm.
- International Program on Chemical Safety, INCHEM. 1990a. Environmental Health Criteria #94. Permethrin. Available at: <a href="http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc94.htm">http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc94.htm</a>.
- International Program on Chemical Safety, INCHEM. 1990b. Environmental Health Criteria #97. Deltamethrin. Available at: http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc97.htm.
- International Program on Chemical Safety, INCHEM. 1990c. Data Sheet on Pesticides #58. Cypermethrin. Available at: <a href="http://www.inchem.org/documents/pds/pds/pest58">http://www.inchem.org/documents/pds/pds/pest58</a> e.htm.
- International Program on Chemical Safety, INCHEM. 1997a. Pesticide Residues in Food. Malathion. Available at: <a href="http://www.inchem.org/documents/jmpr/jmpmono/v097pr12.htm">http://www.inchem.org/documents/jmpr/jmpmono/v097pr12.htm</a>.

- International Program on Chemical Safety, INCHEM. 1997b. WHO Food Aditives Series #39. Cyfluthrin. Available at: <a href="http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v39je08.htm">http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v39je08.htm</a>.
- Izadi, H., J.E. Grundy, and R. Bose. 2012. Evaluation of the benchmark dose for point of departure determination for a variety of chemical classes in applied regulatory settings. Risk Anal. 32: 830-835.
- Janssen, S., J. Sass, T. Schettler, and G. Solomon. 2012. Strengthening toxic chemical risk assessments to protect human health. Natural Resources Defense Council, February 2012, New York.
- Jardim, A.N.O., and E.D.Caldas. 2012. Brazilian monitoring programs for pesticide residues in food - Results from 2001 to 2010. Food Control 25: 607-616.
- Judson, R.S., R.J. Kavlock, R.W. Setzer, E.A. Hubal, M.T. Martin, T.B. Knudsen, K.A. Houck, R.S. Thomas, B.A. Wetmore, and D.J. Dix. 2011. Estimating toxicity-related biological pathway altering doses for high-throughput chemical risk assessment. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 24: 451-462.
- Johnstone, A., M.J. Wolansky, K.M. Crofton, and T.J. Shafer. 2010. Actions of pyrethroid insecticides on cortical networks: comparison of relative potencies determined using MEAs with relative potencies determined using motor activity. 7<sup>th</sup> Intl. Meeting on Substrate-Integrated Microelectrodes. Reutlingen (Germany), June 29<sup>th</sup> –July 2<sup>nd</sup> 2010.
- Julien, R., G.Adamkiewicz, J.I. Levy, D., Bennett, M. Nishioka, and J.D. Spengler. 2007. Pesticide loadings of select organophosphate and pyrethroid pesticides in urban public J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol. 18: 167-174. housing.
- Karalliedde, L.D., P. Edwards, and T.C. Marrs. 2003. Variables influencing the toxic response to organophosphates in humans. Food Chem Toxicol. 41: 1-13.
- Katsuda, Y. 1999. Development of and future prospects for pyrethroid chemistry. Pestic. Sci. 55: 775-782.
- Kennedy, M. 2008. Hormonal regulation of hepatic drug-metabolizing enzyme activity during adolescence. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 84: 662-73.
- Kim, K.B., S.S. Anand, S. Muralidhara, H.J. Kim, and J.V. Bruckner. 2007. Formulationdependent toxicokinetics explains differences in the GI absorption, bioavailability and acute neurotoxicity of deltamethrin in rats. Toxicology 234: 194-202.

- Kim, K.B., S.S. Anand, H.J. Kim, C.A. White, J.W. Fisher, R. Tornero-Velez, and J.V. Bruckner. 2010. Age, dose, and time-dependency of plasma and tissue distribution of deltamethrin in immature rats. Toxicol. Sci. 115: 354-368.
- Kirman, C.R., L.M. Sweeney, R. Corley, and M.L. Gargas. 2005. Using physiologically-based pharmacokinetic modeling to address nonlinear kinetics and changes in rodent physiology and metabolism due to aging and adaptation in deriving reference values for propylene glycol methyl ether and propylene glycol methyl ether acetate. Risk Anal. 25: 271-284.Knaak, J.B., C.C. Dary, X. Zhang, R.W. Gerlach, R. Tornero-Velez, D.T. Chang, R. Goldsmith, and J.N. Blancato. 2012. Parameters for pyrethroid insecticide QSAR and PBPK/PD models for human risk assessment. Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 219: 1-114.
- Kojima, H., K. Matsunaga, E. Kurokawa, I. Ogata, and I. Nishimoto. 1988. Calcium influx: An intracelular message of the mitogenic action of insulin-like growth factor-I. J. Biol. Chem. 263: 16561-16567.
- Krewski, D., D. Acosta Jr, M. Andersen, H. Anderson, J.C. Bailar 3rd, K. Boekelheide, R. Brent, G. Charnley, V.G. Cheung, S. Green Jr, K.T. Kelsey, N.I. Kerkvliet, A.A. Li, L. McCray, O. Meyer, R.D. Patterson, W. Pennie, R.A. Scala, G.M. Solomon, M. Stephens, J. Yager, and L. Zeise. 2010. Toxicity testing in the 21st century: A vision and a strategy. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health B 13: 51-138.
- Krieger, R. 2010. Hayes' Handbook of Pesticide Toxicology. Vol.1, Neurophysiological Effects of Insecticides. R.I.Krieger, ed., 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Elsevier, Amsterdam. pp. 800-817
- Kupferberg, J.M., K.F. Soliman, F.K. Stino, and M.G. Kolta. 2000. Effect of time of day on chlorpyrifos-induced alterations in body temperature. Life Sci. 67: 2001-2009.
- Kurihara, N., J. Miyamoto, G.D. Paulson, B. Zeeh, M.W. Skidmore, R.M. Hollingworth, and H.A. Kuiper. 1997. IUPAC Reports on Pesticides (37), Chirality in synthetic agrochemicals: Bioactivity and safety consideration (Technical Report). Pure Appl. Chem. 69: 2007-2025.
- Laskowski, D.A. 2002. Physical and chemical properties of pyrethroids. Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 174: 49-170.
- Lawrence, L., and J.E. Casida. 1982. Pyrethroid toxicology: Mouse intra-cerebral structuretoxicity relationships. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 18: 9-14.

- Leist, M., B.A. Lidbury, C. Yang, P.J. Hayden, J.M. Kelm, S. Ringeissen, A. Detroyer, J.R. Meunier, J.F. Rathman, G.R. Jackson, Jr., G. Stolper, and N. Hasiwa. 2012. Novel technologies and an overall strategy to allow hazard assessment and risk prediction of chemicals, cosmetics, and drugs with animal-free methods. ALTEX 29: 373-388.
- Lepeshkin, I.V., I.I. Mokov, S.V. Murashko, and IuS. Kagan. 1992. [The effect of solvents and emulsifiers on the toxicity of synthetic pyrethroids]. Lik Sprava (Likars'ka sprava / Ministerstvo okhorony zdorov'ia Ukraïny) 10: 67-69.
- Liu, W., J.Gan, D.Schlenk, and W.A. Jury. 2005. Enantioselectivity in environmental safety of current chiral insecticides. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102: 701-706.
- Liu, P., X.Song, W.Yuan, W.Wen, X.Wu, J. Li, and X. Chen. 2006. Effects of cypermethrin and methyl parathion mixtures on hormone levels and immune functions in Wistar rats. Arch. Toxicol. 80: 449-457.
- Lu, C., D.B. Barr, M. Pearson, S. Bartell, and R. Bravo. 2006. A longitudinal approach to assessing urban and suburban children's exposure to pyrethroid pesticides. Environ. Health Persp. 114:1419-1423.
- Lubick, N. 2010. Environment endosulfan's exit: U.S. EPA pesticide review leads to a ban. Science 328: 1466.
- Lydy, M., J. Belden, C. Wheelock, B. Hammock, and D. Denton. 2004. Challenges in regulating pesticide mixtures. *Ecol. Soc.* 9: 1. Available at: www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol9/iss6/art1.
- MacPhail, R.C., W.A. Gordon, and M.A. Johnston. 1981. Behavioral effects of decamethrin. Fed. Proc. 40: 678.
- Marino, D.J. 2012. Age-specific absolute and relative organ weight distributions for Fischer J. Toxicol. Environ. Health A 75: 1484-1516. 344 rats.
- McDaniel, K.L., and V.C. Moser. 1997. The influence of dosing volume on the toxicity of p,p'-DDT. Neurotoxicology 18: 1071-1077.
- McDaniel, K.L., and V.C. Moser. 1993. Utility of a neurobehavioral screening battery for differentiating the effects of two pyrethroids, permethrin and cypermethrin. Neurotoxicology 15: 71-83.

- McGregor, D.B. 1999. Permethrin. Joint Meeting, FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment, and WHO Core Assessment Group, Rome. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), and World Health Organization (WHO).
- Meacham, C.A., P.D.Brodfuehrer, J.A.Watkins, and T.J. Shafer. 2008. Developmentallyregulated sodium channel subunits are differentially sensitive to alpha-cyano containing pyrethroids. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 231: 273-281.
- Metker, L., R.A. Angerhofer, C. Pope, and K.C. Swentzel. 1977. Toxicological evaluation of 3-(Phenoxyphenyl) methyl (±)-cis, trans-3-(2,2-dichloroethenyl)-2,2-dimethylciclopropanecarboxylate (Permethrin). Sudy #51-0831-78. Department of the Army, US Environmental Hygiene Agency, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, December 1<sup>st</sup> 1977.
- Mileson, B.E., J.E. Chambers, W. L. Chen, W. Dettbarn, M. Ehrich, A.T. Eldefrawi, David W. Gaylor, K. Hamernik, E. Hodgson, A.G. Karczmar, S. Padilla, C.N. Pope, R.J. Richardson, D.R. Saunders, L.P. Sheets, L.G. Sultatos, and K.B. Wallace. 1998. Common mechanism of toxicity: A case study of organophosphorus pesticides. Toxicol. Sci. 41: 8-20.
- Mirfazaelian, A., K.B. Kim, S.S. Anand, H.J. Kim, R. Tornero-Velez, J.V. Bruckner, and J.W. Fisher. 2006. Development of a physiologically based pharmacokinetic model for deltamethrin in the adult male Sprague-Dawley rat. Toxicol. Sci. 93: 432-442.
- Miyamoto, J. 1976. Degradation, metabolism and toxicity of synthetic pyrethroids. Environ. Health Persp. 14: 15-28.
- Moran, K.D. 2005. Sources of pyrethroids in urban run-off. UP3 Project. State of the Estuary Conference, TDC Environmental LLC, California, October 4-6, 2005.
- Motomura, H., and T. Narahashi. 2001. Interaction of tetramethrin and deltamethrin at the single sodium channel in rat hippocampal neurons. *Neurotoxicology* 22: 329-339.
- Narahashi, T., K.S. Ginsburg, K. Nagata, J.H. Song, and H. Tatebayashi. 1998. Ion channels as targets for insecticides. Neurotoxicology 19: 581-590.
- Narahashi, T. 2000. Neuroreceptors and ion channels as the basis for drug action: Past, present, and future. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 294: 1-26.

- National Pesticide Information Retrieval System, NPIRS. 2011. Hi-Yield Borer, Termite & Fire Ant Killer (containing 38% permethrin), registration number 7401-466, from Voluntary Purchasing Group, Inc. (available at: http://ppis.ceris.purdue.edu/htbin/prodset.com).
- Naumann, K. 1998. Research into fluorinated pyrethroid alcohols: An episode in the history of pyrethroid discovery. Pestic. Sci. 52: 3-20.
- Nishimura, M., N. Obana, O. Yagasaki, and I. Yanagiya. 1984. Involvement of adrenergic and serotoninergic nervous mechanisms in allethrin-induced tremors in mice. J. Toxicol. Sci. 9:131-142.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, OECD. 2012. 1) Agricultural pesticides and biocides. Agricultural chemical pesticide registration, Cedex, France (http://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/agriculturalpesticidesandbiocides/agriculturalchemica lpesticideregistration.htm). 2) Guidelines for testing chemicals, Section 4, Health effects (http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/oecd-guidelines-for-the-testing-of-chemicalssection-4-health-effects 20745788).
- Ogata, N., S.M. Vogel, and T. Narahashi. 1988. Lindane but not deltamethrin blocks a component of GABA-activated chloride channels. FASEB J. 2: 2895-2900.
- Ortiz, D., L. Yáñez, H. Gómez, J.A. Martínez-Salazar, and F. Díaz-Barriga. 1995. Acute toxicological effects in rats treated with a mixture of commercially formulated products containing methyl parathion and permethrin. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 32: 154-158.
- Patlewicz, G.Y., and D.R. Lander. 2013. A step change towards risk assessment in the Front. Biosci. (Elite Ed) 5: 418-434. 21st century.
- Patnaik, P. 2007. A comprehensive guide to the hazardous properties of toxic substances. Pesticides: Chapter 46, Organochlorines, Chapter 47, Organophosphates, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, Wiley & Sons, New Jersey.
- Pato, A.M., C.S. Sosa Holt, and M.J. Wolansky. 2011. Time, dose, and structure dependent actions of pyrethroid insecticides on rat thermoregulation. Toxicologist 120: PS1346.
- Peele, D.B., and K.M. Crofton. 1987. Pyrethroid effects on schedule-controlled behavior: Time and dosage relationships. Neurotoxicol. Teratol. 9: 387-394.

- Pope, C. N. 1999. Organophosphate pesticides: Do they all have the same mechanism of toxicity? J. Toxicol. Environ. Health B 2: 161-181.
- Power, L. E., and D.L. Sudakin. 2007. Pyrethrin and pyrethroid exposures in United States: A longitudinal analysis of incidents reported to poison centers. J. Med. Toxicol. 3: 94-100.
- Pronk, M.E.J., G.J.A. Speijers, M.F.A. Wouters, and L. Ritter. 1996. Cypermethrin and alphacypermethrin. Food Additive Series #38. Toxicology Advisory Centre, National Institute of Public Health and Environmental Protection, International Programme on Chemical Safety Poisons Information, Bilthoven, Netherlands, and Canadian Network of Toxicology Centres, University of Guelph, Ontario, Canada. (www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v38je07.htm).
- Ratnasooriya, W.D., S.S. Ratnayake, and Y.N. Jayatunga. 2002. Effects of pyrethroid insecticide ICON ( $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin) on reproductive competence of male rats. Asian J. Androl. 4: 35-41.
- Ray, D.E. 1997. Function in neurotoxicity: Index of effect and also determinant of Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol. 24: 857-860. vulnerability.
- Ray, D.E., and P.J. Forshaw. 2000. Pyrethroid insecticides: Poisoning syndromes, synergies, J. Toxicol. Clin. Toxicol. 38: 95-101. and therapy.
- Reiter, L.W., R.C. MacPhail, P.H. Ruppert, and D.A. Eckerman. 1981. Animal models of toxicity: some comparative data on the sensitivity of behavioral tests. 11th Conference on Environmental Toxicology, November 18<sup>th</sup>-20<sup>th</sup> 1980. Report AFAMRL-TR-80-125, pp. 11-23.
- Rickard, J., and M.E. Brodie. 1985. Correlation of blood and brain levels of the neurotoxic pyrethroid deltamethrin with the onset of symptoms in rats. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 23: 143-156.
- Riederer, A.M., S.M. Bartell, D.B. Barr, and P.B. Ryan. 2008. Diet and nondiet predictors of urinary 3-phenoxybenzoic acid in NHANES 1999-2002. Environ. Health Persp. 116: 1015-22.
- Roberts, T.R., and D.H. Hutson. 1998. Insecticides and funguicides: Pyrethroids. In: Metabolic Pathways of Agrochemicals, T.R. Roberts, ed. Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge (Great Britain), Part 2, pp. 579-726.

- Rogan, W.J., and A. Chen. 2005. Health risks and benefits of bis-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,1,1trichloroethane (DDT). Lancet 366: 763-773.
- Ross, M.K., A. Borazjani, C.C. Edwards, and P.M. Potter. 2006. Hydrolytic metabolism of pyrethroids by human and other mammalian carboxylesterases. Biochem. Pharmacol. 71: 657-669.
- Ross, J.H., W.G. Reifenrath, and J.H. Driver. 2011. Estimation of the percutaneous absorption of permethrin in humans using the parallelogram method. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health A 74: 351-363.
- Rotroff, D.M., B.A. Wetmore, D.J. Dix, S.S. Ferguson, H.J. Clewell, K.A. Houck, E.L. Lecluyse, M.E. Andersen, R.S. Judson, C.M. Smith, M.A. Sochaski, R.J. Kavlock, F. Boellmann, M.T. Martin, D.M. Reif, J.F. Wambaugh, and R.S. Thomas. 2010. Incorporating human dosimetry and exposure into high-throughput in vitro toxicity screening. Toxicol. Sci. 117: 348-358.
- Ruzo, L.O., T. Unai, and J.E. Casida. 1978. Decamethrin metabolism in rats. J. Agric. Food Chem. 26: 918-925.
- Ruzo, L.O., J.L. Engel, and J.E. Casida. 1979. Decamethrin metabolites from oxidative, hydrolytic, and conjugative reactions in mice. J. Agric. Food Chem. 27: 725-731.
- Schettgen, T., Heudorf, U., Drexler, H., and J. Angerer. 2002. Pyrethroid exposure of the general population- Is this due to diet? Toxicol. Lett. 134: 141-145.
- Scollon, E.J., J.M. Starr, S.J. Godin, M.J. DeVito, and M.F. Hughes. 2009. In vitro metabolism of pyrethroid pesticides by rat and human hepatic microsomes and cytochrome P450 isoforms. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 37: 221-228.
- Scollon, E.J., J.M. Starr, K.M. Crofton, M.J. Wolansky, M.J. DeVito, and M.F. Hughes. 2011. Correlation of tissue concentrations of the pyrethroid bifenthrin with neurotoxicity in the *Toxicology* 290: 1-6. rat.
- Sexton, K., A.D. Ryan, J.L. Adgate, D.B. Barr, and L.L. Needham. 2011. Biomarker measurements of concurrent exposure to multiple environmental chemicals and chemical classes in children. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health A 74: 927-942.

- Shafer, T.J., D.A. Meyer, and K.M. Crofton. 2005. Developmental neurotoxicity of pyrethroid insecticides: Critical review and future research needs. Environ. Health Persp. 113: 123-136.
- Sheets, L.P. 2000. A consideration of age-dependent differences in susceptibility to organophosphorus and pyrethroid insecticides. Neurotoxicology. 21: 57-63.
- Sheets, L., J. Doherty, M. Law, L. Reiter, and K. Crofton. 1994. Age-dependent differences in the susceptibility of rats to deltamethrin. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 126: 186-190.
- Skandrani, D., Y. Gaubin, G. Vincent, B. Beau, J. Murat, J. Soleilhavoup, and F. Croute. 2006. Relationship between toxicity of selected insecticides and expression of stress proteins (HSP, GRP) in cultured human cells: Effects of commercial formulations versus pure active molecules. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1760: 95-103.
- Soderlund, D.M. 2012. Molecular mechanisms of pyrethroid neurotoxicity: Recent advances. Arch. Toxicol. 86: 165-182.
- Soderlund, D.M., J.M. Clark, L.P. Sheets, L.S. Mullin, V.J. Picirillo, D. Sargent, J.T. Stevens, and M.L. Weiner. 2002. Mechanisms of pyrethroid neurotoxicity: Implications for *Toxicology* 171: 3-59. cumulative risk assessment.
- Solecki, R., L. Davies, V. Dellarco, I. Dewhurst, M. Van Raaij, and A. Tritscher. 2005. Guidance on setting of acute reference dose (ARfD) for pesticiees. Food Chem. Toxicol. 43: 1569-1593.
- Song, J., and T. Narahashi. 1996. Modulation of sodium channels of rat cerebellar Purkinje neurons by the pyrethroid tetramethrin. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 277: 445-453.
- Spencer, J., and M. O'Malley. 2006. Pyrethroid illnesses in California, 1996-2002. Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 186: 57-72.
- Starr, J., S. Graham, D. Stout 2<sup>nd</sup>, K. Andrews, and M. Nishioka. 2008. Pyrethroid pesticides and their metabolites in vacuum cleaner dust collected from homes and day-care centers. Environ. Res. 108: 271-279.
- Starr, J., E.J. Scollon, M.F. Hughes, D.G. Ross, S.E. Graham, K.M. Crofton, M.J. Wolansky, M.J. DeVito, and R. Tornero-Velez. 2012. Environmentally relevant mixtures in cumulative assessments: An acute study of toxicokinetics and effects on motor activity in rats exposed to a mixture of pyrethroids. Toxicol. Sci. 130: 309-318.

- Sudakin, D.L. 2006. Pyrethroid insecticides: Advances and challenges in biomonitoring. *Clin. Toxicol. (Phila.)* 44: 31-37.
- Tapp, J.T., R.S. Zimmerman, and P.S. D'Encarnação. 1968. Inter-correlational analysis of some common measures of rat activity. Psychol. Rep. 23: 1047-1050.
- Tornero-Velez, R., A. Mirfazaelian, K.B. Kim, S.S. Anand, H.J. Kim, W.T. Haines, J.V. Bruckner, and J.W. Fisher. 2010. Evaluation of deltamethrin kinetics and dosimetry in the maturing rat using a PBPK model. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 244: 208-217.
- Tornero-Velez, R., P. Egeghy, and E. A. Cohen-Hubal. 2012a. Biogeographical analysis of chemical co-occurrence data to identify priorities for mixtures research. Risk Anal. 32: 224-236.
- Tornero-Velez, R., J. Davis, E.J. Scollon, J.M. Starr, R.W. Setzer, M.R. Goldsmith, D.T. Chang, J. Xue, V. Zartarian, M.J., DeVito, and M.F. Hughes. 2012b. A pharmacokinetic model of cis- and trans-permethrin disposition in rats and humans with aggregate exposure application. Toxicol. Sci. 130: 33-47.
- Tran, V., N. Hoffman, A. Mofunanaya, S.C. Pryor, O. Ojugbele, A. McLaughlin, L. Gibson, J.A. Bonventre, K. Flynn, and B.S. Weeks. 2006. Bifenthrin inhibits neurite outgrowth in differentiating PC12 cells. Med. Sci. Monit. 12: BR57-BR62.
- Tsuji, R., K. Kobayashi, M. Ikeda, T. Yamada, T.Seki, Y. Okuno, I. Nakatsuka, Y. Tsuruo, and S. Kishioka. 2002. Lack of changes in brain muscarinic receptor and motor activity of mice after neonatal inhalation exposure to d-allethrin. J. Appl. Toxicol. 22: 423-429.
- Tulve, N.S., P.A. Jones, M.G. Nishioka, R.C. Fortmann, C.W. Croghan, J.Y. Zhou, A. Fraser, C. Cave, and W. Friedman. 2006. Pesticide measurements from the First National Environmental Health Survey of child care centers using a multi-residue GC/MS analysis Environ. Sci. Technol. 40: 6269-6274. method.
- Ueda, K., L.C. Gaughan, and J.E. Casida. 1975. Metabolism of (+)-trans- and (+)-cis-J. Agric. Food Chem. 23: 106-115. resmethrin in rats.
- US Census Bureau 2008. Population estimates by Age. U.S. Department of Commerce (http://factfinder.census.gov/home/saff/main.html? lang=en).

- USEPA. 2002. Guidance on cumulative risk assessment of pesticide chemicals that have a common mechanism of toxicity. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), Washington, DC.
- USEPA. 2011. Pyrethrins/Pyrethroid Cumulative Risk Assessment. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention, Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP). October 4<sup>th</sup>, 2011, Washington, DC.
- USEPA. 2012a. Common Mechanism Groups: Cumulative Exposure and Risk Assessment. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC. Numerous documents for Organophosphates, N-methyl-Carbamates, Pyrethroids, and other pesticide classes available at: http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/cumulative/common mech groups.htm.
- USEPA. 2012b. Azynphos-methyl phase-out. US Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC. (http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/reregistration/azm/phaseout fs.htm).
- USEPA, 2013. Overview of Risk Assessment in the Pesticide Program. Human Health Risk Assessment, and Assessment of Cumulative Toxicity. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC. (http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/about/overview risk assess.htm).
- U.S. NRC. 1984. Toxicity testing: Strategies to determine needs and priorities. Steering Committee on Identification of Toxic and Potentially Toxic Chemicals for Consideration by the National Toxicology Program. National Research Council, National Academy Press, Washington DC. (http://www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record\_id=317#toc).
- U.S. NRC. 2007. Toxicity Testing in the 21st Century: A Vision and a Strategy. Committee on Toxicity Testing and Assessment of Environmental Agents, U.S. National Research Council, National Academy Press, Washington DC. (http://www.nap.edu/lib/greybox/loader frame.html?s=0&record id=11970).
- Valentine, W.M. 1990. Pyrethrin and pyrethroid insecticides. Vet. Clin. North Amer. Sm. Anim. Pract. 20: 375-385.
- Van Balen, E., M.J. Wolansky, and T. Kosatsky. 2012. Increasing use of pyrethroid insecticides in Canadian households: Should we be concerned? Can. J. Public Health 103: e404-e407.

- Verschoyle, R.D., and J.M. Barnes. 1972. Toxicity of natural and synthetic pyrethrins to rats. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 2: 308-311.
- Verschoyle, R.D., and W.N. Aldridge. 1980. Structure-activity relationships of some pyrethroids in rats. Arch. Toxicol. 45: 325-329.
- Vijverberg, H.P.M., and J. Van den Bercken. 1990. Neurotoxicological effects and the mode of action of pyrethroid insecticides. Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 21: 105-126.
- Weiner, M.L., M. Nemec, L.Sheets, D. Sargent, and C. Breckenridge. 2009. Comparative functional observational battery study of twelve commercial pyrethroid insecticides in male rats following acute oral exposure. Neurotoxicology 30 (Suppl. 1): S1-S16.
- Weinert, D., and J. Waterhouse. 1998. Diurnally changing effects of locomotor activity in body temperature on laboratory mice. Physiol. Behav. 63: 837-843.
- White, I.N.H., R.D. Verschoyle, M.H. Moradian, and J.M. Barnes. 1976. The relationship between brain levels of cismethrin and bioresmethrin in female rats and neurotoxic effects. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 6: 491-500.
- Whyatt, R.M., D.E. Camann, P.L. Kinney, A. Reyes, J. Ramirez, J. Dietrich, D. Diaz, D. Holmes, and F.P. Perera. 2002. Residential pesticide use during pregnancy among a cohort Environ. Health Persp. 110: 507-514. of urban minority women.
- Wielgomas, B., W. Nahorski, and W. Czarnowski. 2013. Urinary concentrations of pyrethroids metabolites in the convenience sample of an urban population of Northern Poland. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health 216: 295-300.
- World Health Organization, WHO. 2005. The WHO recommended classification of pesticides by hazard. Guidelines to Classification 2004. International Programme on Chemical Safety. WHO/PCS/01.5, WHO, Geneve (http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/pesticides hazard/en/).
- Williamson, E.G., S.F. Long, M.J. Kallman, and M.C. Wilson. 1989. A comparative analysis of the acute toxicity of technical-grade pyrethroid insecticides and their commercial formulations. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 8: 27-34.
- Wolansky, M.J., C. Gennings, and K.M. Crofton. 2006. Relative potencies for acute effects of Toxicol. Sci. 89: 271-277. pyrethroids on motor function in rats.

- Wolansky, M.J., C. Gennings, M.J. DeVito, and K.M. Crofton. 2009. Evidence for dose additive effects of pyrethroids on motor activity in rats. Environ. Health Persp. 117: 1563-1570.
- Wolansky, M.J., K.L. McDaniel, V.C. Moser, and K.M. Crofton. 2007a. Influence of dosing volume on the neurotoxicity of bifenthrin. Neurotoxicol. Teratol. 29: 377-384.
- Wolansky, M.J., C.M. Mack, P.B. Becker, C.J. Gordon, and K.M. Crofton. 2007b. Effects of acute pyrethroid exposure on thermoregulation in rats. Toxicologist 91: P423.
- Wolansky, M. J., and J.A. Harrill, J. A. 2008. Neurobehavioral toxicology of pyrethroid insecticides in adult animals: A critical review. Neurotoxicol. Teratol. 30: 55-78.
- Wood, A. 2013. Alan Wood's Compendium of Pesticide Common Names: Classified Lists of Pesticides (http://www.alanwood.net/pesticides/class\_pesticides.html).
- Woudneh, M.B., and D.R. Oros. 2006. Quantitative determination of pyrethroids, pyrethrins, and piperonyl butoxide in surface water by high-resolution gas chromatography/highresolution mass spectrometry. J. Agric. Food Chem. 54: 6957-6962.
- Wu, C., C. Feng, X. Qi, G. Wang, M. Zheng, X. Chang, and Z. Zhou. 2013. Urinary metabolite levels of pyrethroid insecticides in infants living in an agricultural area of the Province of Chemosphere 90: 2705-2713. Jiangsu in China.
- Yang, Z.H., M. Mishimura, K. Nishimura, S. Kuroda, and T. Fujita. 1987. Quantitative structure-activity studies of pyrethroids. Ch.12: Physicochemical substituent effects of meta-phenoxybenzyl disubstituted acetates on insecticidal activity. Pestic. Biochem. Physiol. 29: 217-232.
- Yang, P.Y., J.L. Lin, A.H. Hall, T.C. Tsao, and M.S. Chem. 2002. Acute ingestion poisoning with insecticide formulations containing permethrin, xylene, and surfactant: A review of 48 J. Toxicol. Clin. Toxicol. 40: 107-113. cases.
- Zucker, I. 1971. Light-dark rhythms in rat eating and drinking behavior. *Physiol. Behav.* 6: 115-126.