

TECHNICAL MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM

Title/Draft No. Tandem extraction/liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry protocol for the analysis of acrylamide and surfactant-related compounds in complex aqueous environmental samples		Author(s) Patrick D. DeArmond*, Amanda L. DiGoregorio* and Tammy L. Jones-Lepp* * US EPA/ORD/NERL/ESD, P.O. Box 93478, Las Vegas, NV 89193														
Date Review Requested 07/09/13	Date Review Required 07/15/13	Project Officer/Organization/Address Patrick D. DeArmond US EPA/ORD/NERL/ESD, P.O. Box 93478, Las Vegas, NV 89193														
Type of Publication/Audience: Poster presentation		Reviewer/Organization/Address Jade Morgan US EPA/ORD/NERL/ESD, P.O. Box 93478, Las Vegas, NV 89193														
Review coordinator (e.g., PO, TIM, Supervisor) Jan Contreras																
<p>You are asked to review and comment on the attached manuscript. Feel free to make notations on the manuscript as well as in comments section below, particularly regarding your recommendations for revisions. If you are unable to review the manuscript by the required date, please return it now. Your suggestions for alternate or additional reviewers will be welcomed.</p>																
SUMMARY RATING Please rate the manuscript as follows: <table style="margin-left: 100px; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Satisfactory</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Unsatisfactory</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> Content and scope <u> X </u> </td> <td style="text-align: center;"> <u> </u> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> Organization and presentation <u> X </u> </td> <td style="text-align: center;"> <u> </u> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> Quality of data and validity of analytical techniques <u> X </u> </td> <td style="text-align: center;"> <u> </u> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> Soundness of conclusions <u> X </u> </td> <td style="text-align: center;"> <u> </u> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> Editorial quality <u> X </u> </td> <td style="text-align: center;"> <u> </u> </td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> Other (specify) <u> </u> </td> <td style="text-align: center;"> <u> </u> </td> </tr> </table>		Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Content and scope <u> X </u>	<u> </u>	Organization and presentation <u> X </u>	<u> </u>	Quality of data and validity of analytical techniques <u> X </u>	<u> </u>	Soundness of conclusions <u> X </u>	<u> </u>	Editorial quality <u> X </u>	<u> </u>	Other (specify) <u> </u>	<u> </u>	RECOMMENDATIONS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (1) Acceptable as is <u> </u> (2) Acceptable after minor revision <u> </u> (3) Acceptable after major revision <u> </u> (4) Not acceptable If you have checked either 3 or 4, please specifically state reason(s) in the comments space below. <hr/> Please indicate whether the manuscript G does G does not have policy implications
Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory															
Content and scope <u> X </u>	<u> </u>															
Organization and presentation <u> X </u>	<u> </u>															
Quality of data and validity of analytical techniques <u> X </u>	<u> </u>															
Soundness of conclusions <u> X </u>	<u> </u>															
Editorial quality <u> X </u>	<u> </u>															
Other (specify) <u> </u>	<u> </u>															
Comments: <i>(Use extra sheets if needed.)</i> NOTES:																
(1) Reviewers should clearly indicate the pages or sections of the manuscript that have been reviewed. (2) The signed review form should be returned to the Review Coordinator even if there are no comments.		<div style="text-align: right;"> Reviewer's signature </div> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 10px;"> <u>7-15-13</u> Date </div>														