

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Title: Evaluating socioeconomic and racial differences in traffic-related metrics in the United States using a GIS approach

Nancy Tian^{1,*}, Jianping Xue², and Timothy M. Barzyk³

^{1,*}Corresponding Author: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, E205-2, Room D-561, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711
Tel: (919) 541-4059 Fax: (919) 541-4787 Email: Tian.Nancy@epa.gov

²U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, E205-2, Room D-562, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711
Tel: (919) 541-7962 Fax: (919) 541-4787 Email: Xue.Jianping@epa.gov

³U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, E205-2, Room E-563, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711
Tel: (919) 541-1520 Fax: (919) 541-4787 Email: Barzyk.Timothy@epa.gov

1 **Abstract**

2 Previous studies have reported that lower-income and minority populations are more
3 likely to live near major roads. **This study quantifies associations between socioeconomic**
4 **status (SES), racial/ethnic variables, and traffic-related exposure metrics for the United**
5 **States.** Using geographic information systems (GIS), traffic-related exposure metrics were
6 represented by road and traffic densities at the census tract level. Spearman’s correlation
7 coefficients estimated relationships between socio-demographic variables and traffic-related
8 exposure metrics, and ANOVA was performed to test for significant differences in socio-
9 demographic variables for census tracts with low and high traffic-related metrics.

10 For all census tracts in the United States, %Whites, %Blacks and %Hispanics (percent of
11 tract population) had correlation coefficients greater than 0.38 and 0.16 with road density and
12 traffic density respectively. Regions and states had correlation coefficients as high as 0.78.
13 Compared to tracts with low road and traffic densities (<25th percentile), **tracts with high**
14 **densities (>75th percentile) had values of %Blacks and %Hispanics that were more than**
15 **twice as high**, 20% greater poverty levels, and one third fewer White residents. Census tracts
16 that had mid-level values for road and traffic densities had the most affluent characteristics. a
17 **Results suggest that racial/ethnic and socioeconomic disparities exist on national level with**
18 **respect to lower-income and minority populations living near high traffic and road density**
19 **areas.**

20
21 **Key words:** road density, traffic density, traffic-related exposure, racial/ethnic and
22 socioeconomic disparities, geographic information systems (GIS)

1 **Introduction:**

2 Mobile source emissions are a significant contributor to air pollution levels across the
3 United States (U.S.). The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) estimates that for
4 2007 national emission levels, on-road and off-road vehicles produced 68% of the carbon
5 monoxide (CO), 34% of volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and 57% of nitrogen oxides (NO_x)
6 (USEPA, 2008). Exposures to traffic emissions have been associated with multiple adverse
7 health effects, including all-cause mortality (Gehring et al., 2006), cancer (Reynolds et al., 2004),
8 cardiovascular (Finkelstein et al., 2005) and cardiopulmonary mortality (Hoek et al., 2002),
9 adverse birth outcomes (Slama et al., 2007), and respiratory diseases (Burr et al., 2004) including
10 children's asthma (Brauer et al., 2007; Morgenstern et al., 2008). These studies have used
11 surrogates of traffic exposure such as proximity and traffic counts for epidemiological studies of
12 health effects associated with vehicle exhaust.

13 Proximity to major roads has commonly served as an indicator, or representation, of near-
14 road air pollutant concentrations and traffic-related exposures because of the relative consistency
15 of spatial concentration gradients (Beelen et al., 2008; Gauderman et al., 2007). The highest air
16 pollutant concentrations occur in the nearest 50 to 100m of a roadway, and elevated spatial
17 gradients extend up to 500m (Zhu et al., 2002). For example, a study conducted at a busy
18 expressway in Toronto reported that concentrations of NO₂, and NO_x, exhibited a distance decay
19 function and approached background concentrations within 400m, while O₃ had inverse pattern
20 with higher concentrations further away from the expressway (Beckerman et al., 2008). In North
21 American urban areas, 30% to 45% of the population lives or works in the exposure zone highly
22 affected by traffic emissions, within a distance of up to 300m to 500m of a highway or major
23 road (Health Effects Institute Panel on the Health Effects of Traffic-Related Air Pollution, 2010).

1 Multiple distances within the near-road exposure zone have been used to represent traffic
2 exposure in epidemiological studies. Respiratory symptoms in children have been associated
3 with distances up to 300m from major roads (Brunekreef et al., 1997), as well as 50m
4 (Morgenstern et al., 2008), 75m (McConnell et al., 2006), 100m (Baumann et al., 2011; Venn et
5 al., 2001) and 150m (Venn et al., 2001). Based on a cohort of adults 45-75 years old in Germany,
6 Hoffmann and colleagues (2006; 2007) conducted two studies on residential exposure to traffic
7 and found that the adjusted odds ratio (OR) for coronary heart disease (CHD) and coronary
8 artery calcification (CAC) was significantly elevated to 1.85 (95% CI: 1.21-2.84) for participants
9 living within 150m from a major road and 1.63 (95% CI: 1.14-2.33) for participants living within
10 50m compared to the ones living beyond 200m. Sensitivity analyses that evaluate various
11 distances from major roads as indicators of exposure in epidemiological studies have yet to be
12 fully examined.

13 The disproportionate distribution of air pollution sources and exposures in areas with
14 lower-income and minority populations supports concerns of environmental injustice
15 (Finkelstein et al., 2003; Jerrett et al., 2004). When compared to reference areas, disadvantaged
16 neighborhoods with lower-income residents and people of color often bear disproportionate
17 burdens from elevated pollutant concentrations, greater exposure to traffic emissions and
18 increased incidences of adverse health endpoints. **Previous studies reported that schools near
19 major roads tend to have higher percentages of minority students, and more students
20 enrolled in a meal program and residing in poor areas, when compared to reference
21 schools (Apelberg et al., 2005; Wu and Batterman, 2006).**Two studies in Southern California
22 used emission inventories to assess lifetime cancer risks associated with air pollutant sources and

1 found that transportation was most associated with cancer risks, especially among minority
2 groups (Morello-Frosch et al., 2001; Pastor et al., 2005).

3 Geographic scale is an important consideration for environmental equity studies,
4 particularly in analyzing near-road exposure. The selection of appropriate scale has been so
5 challenging to capture the spatial gradients of traffic-related air pollutants due to the insufficient
6 information available at finer scale such as 500m away from major roads. A few studies found
7 that high-poverty census block groups in California with greater concentrations of African
8 Americans and Hispanic children, were 2-3 times more likely to have higher traffic density
9 measures based on vehicle miles traveled (VMT) per square mile (Gunier et al., 2003; Houston
10 et al., 2004). Other studies evaluated associations between air pollution exposure, socio-
11 demographic characteristics and cancer risks at the census tract level (Apelberg et al., 2005). In
12 addition, census tract areas have been identified as an optimal scale to assess relationships
13 between SES and health disparities because census tracts with population size of about 4,000 are
14 designed to have homogeneous population characteristics and socioeconomic status (Tian et al.,
15 2010).

16 Research to-date remains limited in examining demographic information in the context of
17 traffic-related air pollution to better understand possible environmental justice concerns, and has
18 primarily focused on air pollution from stationary sources instead. Based on our review of the
19 literature, no studies have yet evaluated traffic-related exposure and the demographics of people
20 living near roads for a large geographic area at census tract resolution, such as for the entire
21 United States. The purpose of this study is to evaluate associations between socio-demographic

1 characteristics and traffic-related exposure metrics (road and traffic densities) at the national,
2 regional and state level.

3 **Materials and Methods:**

4 **Due to the lack of air monitoring stations near roadways, other parameters were**
5 **developed to characterize potential exposure to traffic emissions (Gunier et al., 2003). Two**
6 **traffic indicators at the census tract level were used in this study: road density and traffic**
7 **density (described below), because of their demonstrated correlations with measured**
8 **mobile source pollutants (Gunier et al., 2003; Reynolds et al., 2002).**

9 **Road density is calculated as the ratio of road area to census tract area, which**
10 **includes a buffer zone adjacent to the road, and is reported as a percent. In a childhood**
11 **cancer study, Reynolds et al. (2002) utilized a similar road density metric based on the total**
12 **length of a road within a block group as a proxy for exposure to traffic emissions. Road**
13 **density in this study is highly correlated with the road density metric that is based on the**
14 **total length of major roads (Spearman correlation coefficient: 0.93). Air pollutants related**
15 **to traffic generally disperse and reach to regional background level within 300~500m away**
16 **from roadways. Thus, the road density metric in this study takes into consideration the**
17 **zone influenced by mobile-source emissions. Major road network datasets were obtained**
18 **from ESRI (www.esri.com) and represent interstate, U.S. and state highways, and other**
19 **major thoroughfares, which are classified based on feature classification codes. The basic**
20 **assumption is that all major roads have the same impacted exposure zone regardless of the width**
21 **of the major roads. We conducted sensitivity analyses of road densities that used buffer distances**
22 **of 100m, 150m, 300m, and 500m.**

1 Traffic density was estimated using the length of road segments and vehicle traffic
2 counts. National traffic counts were obtained from the high performance monitoring system
3 (HPMS) maintained by the Bureau of Transportation Statistics, which reports the average daily
4 traffic counts for a given road segment and is compiled periodically from state-collected data.
5 For each road segment, vehicle miles travelled (VMT) was calculated as the product of the road
6 segment length and its average annual daily traffic (AADT). We estimated traffic density by
7 summing VMT for all road segments within a census tract and dividing by the area of the census
8 tract. **Traffic density has units of VMT per square mile per day shown in equation (1).** Two
9 different road networks have been used to estimate road density and traffic density because only
10 35% portion of the major road network from ESRI has traffic count information recorded by
11 HPMS.

$$12 \quad \text{Traffic density} = \sum(\text{Length} * \text{AADT}) / \text{Area} \quad (1)$$

13 Census tracts with zero values for road and traffic densities were considered separately
14 from the other census tracts as a different exposure category because of their lack of major roads.
15 Based on a quartile distribution of road and traffic densities, the non-zero census tracts were
16 further categorized into four groups for reporting purposes. Census tracts with the lowest quartile
17 (<25th percentile) were considered the reference group of low exposure; census tracts in the
18 second quartile (<25th - 49th) were considered low-medium exposure; the third quartile (50th -
19 75th) were medium-high exposure; and the highest quartile (>75th) was defined as the high
20 exposure group.

21 Socioeconomic and demographic variables at the census tract level were obtained from
22 the 2000 Census. The three racial/ethnic variables, percent Whites (% Whites), percent Blacks

1 (%Blacks) and percent Hispanics (%Hispanics), were calculated as a ratio of the corresponding
2 racial/ethnic population and the total census tract population. The three SES indicators included
3 the percent of households under the poverty line, the percent population greater than 25 years old
4 with less than a high school education, and the median household income. We evaluated
5 relationships between the two traffic metrics and socio-demographic variables using Spearman
6 correlation coefficients calculated with SAS 9.2 statistical software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC,
7 USA). ANOVA was performed to test for significant differences in socio-demographic variables
8 for census tracts with low and high traffic-related metrics.

9 **Results:**

10 **Road density values ranged from 0 (very rural areas) to 100% (very metropolitan**
11 **areas).** The national average road density increased from 25% with a 100m buffer, to 34% for a
12 150m buffer, to 53% for a 300m buffer, and to 66% for a 500m buffer. The national average
13 traffic density was 33,444 VMT per day per square mile. For the United States, national average
14 socio-demographic variables based on all census tracts were 74% Whites, 14% Blacks, 12%
15 Hispanics, 13% households below poverty level, 21% of people older than 25 with less than high
16 school education, and an average median household income of \$43,957.

17 Spearman correlation coefficients describing associations of road and traffic densities
18 with racial/ethnic and SES variables for the United States are reported in Table 1. Correlation
19 coefficients based on road density showed negligible differences for buffer distances between
20 100m and 500m. Thus, we selected the commonly used 300m buffer as the distance for the road
21 density metric for further analyses. Racial/ethnic and SES variables were significantly correlated
22 with road and traffic densities with p -value less than 0.001. Based on the 300m buffer,

1 correlation coefficients of road density and traffic density with %Whites were -0.44 and -0.17,
2 for %Blacks were 0.39 and 0.16, and for %Hispanics were 0.37 and 0.16 respectively. Negative
3 coefficients indicate that tracts with higher %Whites had lower road and traffic densities.
4 Compared to racial/ethnic variables, SES had relatively lower correlation coefficients for road
5 and traffic density. The strongest correlation coefficients based on the SES indicators occurred
6 for %Poverty. Median household income had a negative correlation coefficient of -0.07 for road
7 density, and %Less than high school education had an insignificant correlation coefficient of
8 0.002 with $p > 0.05$. Overall, SES indicators were more related to road density than traffic
9 density, and racial/ethnic variables had stronger associations than SES with the two traffic
10 metrics.

11 The correlation coefficients between the traffic metrics, SES and racial/ethnic variables
12 were spatially dependent, but remained significant ($p < 0.05$) for different regions of the United
13 States (Table 2). According to the 2000 Census, the Northeast region has 13,180 census tracts,
14 the Midwest has 16,451, the West has 13,681, and the South has 21,839. The Northeast region
15 had correlation coefficients of -0.63 for %Whites, 0.53 for %Blacks and 0.60 for %Hispanics
16 with road density. However, the South region had the lowest correlation coefficients of -0.33~
17 0.31 for all racial/ethnic variables, suggesting perhaps those racial/ethnic groups are more
18 spatially distributed in this region. Negative signs for the coefficients indicate that tracts with
19 higher median household income and higher %Whites represent potentially lower traffic-related
20 metrics. Among all the SES indicators, the Northeast region had the highest correlation
21 coefficients between %Poverty and the traffic-related metrics. Compared to road density, the
22 correlation between traffic density, SES and race was relatively weaker and in some cases was
23 not significant. Among all the regions, the West had the highest correlation coefficients for

1 traffic density with %Whites (-0.38), %Blacks (0.29) and %Hispanic (0.23). With regard to SES,
2 road density had higher correlation coefficients than traffic density. For example, the West
3 region had significant and slightly weaker relationships between traffic density and %Poverty
4 (0.11), %Less than high school (0.13), and a low but negative correlation with Median household
5 income (-0.05). The South and Midwest regions had coefficients for traffic density and SES
6 indicators as low as 0.01 and *p*-values greater than 0.05, further demonstrating the spatial
7 dependence.

8 The 10 states with the highest correlation coefficients between traffic-related metrics,
9 SES indicators and race/ethnicity are shown in Table 3 with their respective values. These state-
10 level correlation coefficients were more than twice as high as the ones for the regions.
11 Specifically, correlation coefficients were substantially greater for associations between traffic
12 density, the SES indicators and racial/ethnic variables. Moreover, coefficients for all the
13 racial/ethnic variables and SES indicators had different strengths with the two traffic-related
14 metrics based on the state, primarily owing to spatial distributions of race/ethnicity, SES
15 indicators, and traffic-related measures among the states. For example, Maine had the highest
16 correlation coefficient between %Blacks and the road density metric (0.78), but overall, Maine
17 did not have the highest values for all SES indicators with the traffic density metric. Instead,
18 Rhode Island ranked as the state with the highest overall correlation coefficients for road density
19 with -0.74 for %Whites, 0.71 for %Blacks, 0.74 for %Hispanics, 0.70 for %Poverty, and -0.74
20 for household median income. Figure 1 illustrates the spatial distributions of road and traffic
21 density, race/ethnicity, and the SES indicators for Rhode Island. The Providence metropolitan
22 areas had the higher %Blacks, %Hispanics, and %Poverty, %Less than high school with the
23 highest road density, compared to the surrounding rural areas with lower %Whites, higher

1 median household income and higher traffic density. The top 10 states had relatively lower but
2 still strong correlation coefficients for traffic density compared to road density. For traffic
3 density, Iowa had the highest correlation coefficient of -0.48 for %Whites, Montana had the
4 highest of 0.58 for %Blacks and New Hampshire had the highest for %Hispanics of 0.46. Rhode
5 Island still had the highest coefficients overall for traffic density, with 0.41 for %Poverty, 0.38
6 for %Less than high school, and -0.46 for median household income (Table 3 and Figure 1).

7 All census tracts in the United States were further categorized into five groups including
8 zero value and quartile distributions of non-zero values based on road and traffic densities. Table
9 4a shows the number of census tracts and the average values of the SES indicators and
10 racial/ethnic variables among each group of traffic-related metrics including Zero, Low, Low-
11 Medium, Medium-High, and High. Out of 65,334 census tracts, 175 (0.3%) had zero-values for
12 road density and 14,271(21.8%) census tracts had zero-values for traffic density. On average,
13 tracts with high road density (>75th percentile) had up to 3.4 times greater values for %Blacks
14 and 3.3 times greater for %Hispanics compared to the low road density tracts (<25th percentile)
15 (Table 4a). In contrast, average values for the SES indicators of %Poverty and %Less than high
16 school had ratios of 1.5 and 1.2 respectively between the high and low road density categories.
17 High road density tracts had one third less White residents (Ratio: 0.67) and slightly lower
18 median household income than low road density tracts (Ratio: 0.95). The difference between
19 high and low road density census tracts were significant at *p*-value of 0.05, tested by ANOVA.
20 Surprisingly, both low-medium and medium-high groups had lowest poverty (%Poverty and
21 %Less than high school education) and highest affluence (median household income) than the
22 low and high road density tracts. Overall, the medium categories suggest greater affluence and
23 education compared to the low and high road density groups of census tracts.

1 For traffic density, the average values of SES indicators and race/ethnicity were less than
2 those for road density for all quartile categories (Table 4b). There were 14,271 census tracts
3 (21.7%) with zero traffic density because not all major roads had recorded traffic count
4 information from high performance monitoring system. The zero traffic density group had
5 similar values to those for the high density group, with an average 66.5% Whites, 18.2% Blacks,
6 13.8% Hispanics, 13.3% below poverty, 20.8% less than high school education, and a \$45,922
7 median household income. The high traffic density group had 2.7 times greater %Blacks and 2.6
8 times greater %Hispanics than the low traffic density group. As traffic density increased,
9 %Whites decreased and %Blacks and %Hispanics increased correspondingly. High traffic
10 density tracts had 1.2 times greater %Poverty, 1.1 times greater %Less than high school
11 education. Median household income was slightly higher in high traffic density tracts. Ratios less
12 than 1 indicate that higher %Whites were more likely to live in low traffic density areas.
13 ANOVA tests revealed significant differences for census tracts with high and low traffic density.
14 Although %Blacks and %Hispanics increased and %Whites decreased from the low to high
15 groups, low-medium and medium-high traffic density groups had the lowest poverty and highest
16 SES similar to road density.

17 **Discussion and Conclusions:**

18 By analyzing all census tracts in the United States, this research found that the two traffic
19 metrics, road density and traffic density, were significantly correlated with race/ethnicity and
20 SES indicators. We further found that the correlations spatially varied based on geographic
21 regions and individual states, and were significant with correlation coefficients as high as 0.78.
22 Minority populations and lower-income groups were more likely to live in census tracts with
23 high road and traffic densities in contrast to Whites and affluent populations, suggesting a greater

1 potential for exposure to traffic emissions. The two exposure surrogates of road and traffic
2 density metrics could be utilized to evaluate health effects of road transportation-related air
3 pollution exposure in epidemiological studies which need to consider SES and racial/ethnic
4 confounders as well. **The study found that Black and Hispanic families with lower SES were**
5 **more likely to live in census tracts with greater road and traffic densities compared to non-**
6 **minority and higher-income populations. These results are consistent with prior studies**
7 **that minority and lower-income neighborhoods are more likely to be associated with higher**
8 **traffic exposures and greater health risks (Gunier et al., 2003; Houston et al., 2004);**
9 **however, in contrast to the local-scale findings, results presented here are at the national**
10 **scale.**

11 **Census tracts with higher traffic-metric values had higher poverty levels compared**
12 **to census tracts with lower values for traffic-metrics, whereas tracts within the mid-range**
13 **of the traffic-metrics had the highest SES, which suggests that affluent individuals might**
14 **have convenient access to transportation, but can afford areas that are less-impacted in**
15 **terms of air quality and road noise exposure (Bayer et al. 2009; Carey and Semmens 2003).**
16 Bae and colleagues (2007) found that in the 1990s, single-family home developments in the
17 freeway air-pollution shed (FAPS) of Seattle, Washington were five times larger with lower
18 housing values compared to the 1980s. Correspondingly in the FAPS, the population of Blacks
19 was two-three times higher, and the number of residents below poverty level was elevated 1.2-
20 1.4 times compared to the corresponding urban growth area. Minority and lower-income groups
21 had lower rent and housing costs at the cost of greater traffic-related exposure.

1 **Sensitivity tests on the various buffer distances concluded that the correlation**
2 **coefficients between road density, the SES indicators, and race/ethnicity did not vary much**
3 **with buffer distance at the aggregate level. Empirical studies have shown that air pollutant**
4 **concentrations decrease with distance and reach regional background levels within 300-**
5 **500m from roadways (Zhu et al., 2002). Although buffer distances and proximity have been**
6 **used as alternatives to exposure monitoring (Hoek et al., 2002; McConnell et al., 2006), few**
7 **studies investigated what buffer distances should be used to categorize traffic exposure. For**
8 **example, Ross et al. (2007) found that traffic within 300 and 500m buffer distances near**
9 **PM_{2.5} air monitors explained 33-47% of the variance in land use regression models. The**
10 **sensitivity tests of buffer distance in this study supports that a 300m buffer is suitable to**
11 **capture potential near-road exposures when using a buffer-based approach.**

12 **Results reveal a stronger association with the SES indicators, race/ethnicity and**
13 **road density than with traffic density at the census tract level. First, the difference between**
14 **correlation coefficients for the two traffic metrics signify that road density may capture**
15 **more aspects of social structure than traffic density. For example, the Southern California**
16 **Children's Health Study (CHS) (Eckel et al., 2011) found that length-of-road within the**
17 **50m - 200m buffer to the residence of the asthmatic children was the only significant**
18 **indicator of the fractional concentration of nitric oxide in exhaled air, which is associated**
19 **with traffic-related exposures. The length-of-road metric was similar to road density**
20 **presented here, but the CHS did not find a correlation between length-of-road and other**
21 **TRP metrics such as traffic density. The discrepancy for associations of socio-demographic**
22 **variables between road density and traffic density may be explained in part by using two**
23 **different road networks to calculate the road and traffic density metrics. The ESRI major**

1 road layer that estimated road density contains interstates, state highways, major streets
2 and other major thoroughfares and was well aligned with digital orthophotographs.
3 However, annual average daily traffic (AADT) from HPMS includes only freeways,
4 highways, principal arterials and minor collector roads, and no other road types. For the
5 United States, the total length of the road network from HPMS (for traffic counts) was only
6 35% of the total length of the major roads from ESRI (used to calculate road density). This
7 disagreement between road network data indicates that the use of HPMS could
8 underestimate exposure to traffic emissions and reduce the corresponding associations with
9 socio-demographic variables. Thus, future research warrants attention as to how road type
10 impacts the estimate of traffic-related metrics.

11 The study has the following limitations. First, traffic density based on AADT did not
12 take into account truck fraction, which is unavailable at the census tracts level. This could
13 raise bias in the SES and racial differences for the traffic density metric because a census
14 tract with more heavy duty vehicles could increase air pollution exposure compared to a
15 lighter duty fleet. Second, there are a number of other factors that influence exposure to
16 traffic but are beyond the scope of this study. These factors include meteorology (wind
17 speed/direction, turbulent parameters, etc), topography, and the influence of the built
18 environment (urban street canyons). However, the two area-based traffic metrics (road
19 density and traffic density) have been empirically correlated with ambient monitoring data
20 (Reynolds et al., 2002), which suggests that they are suitable surrogates for exposure as
21 well.

1 Interactions between multiple social factors and increased exposure to pollution have
2 placed lower-income and minority groups at potentially higher risk of adverse health effects,
3 with traffic emissions as a contributing factor (Jerrett et al., 2001). Epidemiological research has
4 focused in particular on susceptible life stages such as children and older adults. Previous studies
5 reported that children who live near high-traffic major roads demonstrate increased incidences of
6 respiratory symptoms, especially related to children's asthma (Chang et al., 2009; Delfino et al.,
7 2009). Studies on elderly subjects suggest that traffic particles had an adverse effect on heart rate
8 variability and may alter autonomic balance, thus increasing cardiac risk (Adar et al., 2007;
9 Schwartz et al., 2005). However, relationships between health risks and traffic exposure are not
10 always apparent. For example, a study in California found no evidence of a significant
11 association between traffic exposure and childhood cancer using road and traffic density metrics
12 (Reynolds et al., 2004). More research is needed to quantify the relationships between exposure
13 to mobile source emissions (type and quantity) and related health endpoints, to disentangle the
14 health effects from air pollution exposure and SES, to understand the causality of traffic-related
15 pollution on cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, and to more fully understand SES and
16 race/ethnicity modifications to exposure and disease development. In 2011, U.S.EPA revised the
17 NO₂ and CO National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and required the collocation of
18 one CO monitor with a near-road NO₂ monitor in urban areas with population greater than one
19 million (USEPA, 2011). The new monitoring network will provide data for comparison to the
20 NAAQS and indicators such as those identified in this study. This network will also help
21 characterize traffic-related exposure for people who live and work close to major roads.

22 This study has implications for traffic-related exposure assessments that target
23 communities with higher percentage of lower-income and minority populations and focus on

1 exposure reduction actions, especially in neighborhoods with high proportions of susceptible
2 sub-populations such as children and the elderly. An example of exposure reduction actions in
3 California includes California Senate Bill 352, which prohibits siting a school within 500ft
4 (168m) of a freeway or other busy traffic corridor (California Department Education, 2004).
5 Urban planning zoning remedies may be efficient exposure reduction initiatives by stipulating
6 land-use regulations for new housing developments. Alternative transportation strategies such as
7 promoting public transportation also hold great promise to improve air quality and reduce health
8 risks from vehicle exhaust.

9 **In summary, the evidence from this large national study suggests that minority**
10 **groups and people with lower SES tend to live in census tracts with higher road and traffic**
11 **density metrics, which may be more impacted by traffic-related emission sources. Further**
12 **studies are warranted to evaluate the area-based traffic-related metrics with the air**
13 **pollutant monitoring. More refined exposure metrics are needed to assess the effects of**
14 **near roadway air pollution on the human health outcomes.**

15

1 **Acknowledgements:**

2 We are greatly thankful for all the technical reviewers of the manuscript, especially
3 Andrew Geller, and Karen Wesson of the Environmental Protection Agency.

4

5 **Disclaimer:**

6 This article has been subject to review and approved for publication by the Office of
7 Research and Development, the United States Environmental Protection Agency. Mention of
8 trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

9

10

11

1 **References:**

- 2 Adar S.D., Gold D.R., Coull B.A., Schwartz J., Stone P.H., and Suh H. Focused exposures to
3 airborne traffic particles and heart rate variability in the elderly. *Epidemiology* 2007: 18(1): 95.
- 4 Apelberg B.J., Buckley T.J., and White R.H. Socioeconomic and racial disparities in cancer risk
5 from air toxics in Maryland. *Environ Health Persp* 2005: 113(6): 693.
- 6 Bae C., Sandlin G., Bassok A., and Kim S. The exposure of disadvantaged populations in
7 freeway air-pollution sheds: a case study of the Seattle and Portland regions. *Environment and*
8 *Planning B: Planning and Design* 2007: 34(1): 154-170.
- 9 Bayer P., Keohane N., Timmins C. Migration and hedonic valuation: the case of air quality.
10 *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 2009: 58(1): 1-14.
- 11 Baumann L.M.B.L.M., Robinson C.L., Combe J.M., Gomez A., Romero K., Gilman R.H., *et al.*
12 Effects of distance from a heavily transited avenue on asthma and atopy in a periurban
13 shantytown in Lima, Peru. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2011: 127(4): 875-882.
- 14 Beckerman B., Jerrett M., Brook J.R., Verma D.K., Arain M.A., and Finkelstein M.M.
15 Correlation of nitrogen dioxide with other traffic pollutants near a major expressway. *Atmos*
16 *Environ* 2008: 42(2): 275-290.
- 17 Beelen R., Hoek G., Van Den Brandt P.A., Goldbohm R.A., Fischer P., Schouten L.J., *et al.*
18 Long-term effects of traffic-related air pollution on mortality in a Dutch cohort (NLCS-AIR
19 study). *Environ Health Persp* 2008: 116(2): 196.
- 20 Brauer M., Hoek G., Smit H., De Jongste J., Gerritsen J., Postma D.S., *et al.* Air pollution and
21 development of asthma, allergy and infections in a birth cohort. *European Respiratory Journal*
22 2007: 29(5): 879.
- 23 Brunekreef B., Janssen N.A.H., de Hartog J., Harssema H., Knape M., and van Vliet P. Air
24 pollution from truck traffic and lung function in children living near motorways. *Epidemiology*
25 1997: 298-303.
- 26 Burr M., Karani G., Davies B., Holmes B., and Williams K. Effects on respiratory health of a
27 reduction in air pollution from vehicle exhaust emissions. *Occup Environ Med* 2004: 61(3): 212.
- 28 California Department of Education. Senate Bill 352 New Determinations and Findings Required
29 for California Department of Education Site Approval Related to Air Emissions.
30 <http://www.cashnet.org/resource-center/resourcefiles/344.pdf>, 2004. Accessed August 2011.
- 31 Carey J. and Semmens J. Impact of highways on property values: case study of superstation
32 freeway corridor. *Journal of the Transportation Research Board* 2003: 1839: 128-135.
- 33 Chang J., Delfino R., Gillen D., Tjoa T., Nickerson B., and Cooper D. Repeated respiratory
34 hospital encounters among children with asthma and residential proximity to traffic. *Occup*
35 *Environ Med* 2009: 66(2): 90.

- 1 Delfino R.J., Chang J., Wu J., Ren C., Tjoa T., Nickerson B., *et al.* Repeated hospital encounters
2 for asthma in children and exposure to traffic-related air pollution near the home. *Annals of*
3 *Allergy, Asthma & Immunology* 2009: 102(2): 138-144.
- 4 Eckel S.P., Berhane K., Salam M.T., Rappaport E.B., Linn W.S., Bastain T.M., *et al.* Residential
5 Traffic-Related Pollution Exposures and Exhaled Nitric Oxide in the Children's Health Study.
6 *Environ Health Perspect* 2011.
- 7 Finkelstein M.M., Jerrett M., DeLuca P., Finkelstein N., Verma D.K., Chapman K., *et al.*
8 Relation between income, air pollution and mortality: a cohort study. *Canadian Medical*
9 *Association Journal* 2003: 169(5): 397.
- 10 Finkelstein M.M., Jerrett M., and Sears M.R. Environmental inequality and circulatory disease
11 mortality gradients. *J Epidemiol Commun H* 2005: 59(6): 481.
- 12 Gauderman W.J., Vora H., McConnell R., Berhane K., Gilliland F., Thomas D., *et al.* Effect of
13 exposure to traffic on lung development from 10 to 18 years of age: a cohort study. *The lancet*
14 2007: 369(9561): 571-577.
- 15 Gehring U., Heinrich J., Krämer U., Grote V., Hochadel M., Sugiri D., *et al.* Long-term exposure
16 to ambient air pollution and cardiopulmonary mortality in women. *Epidemiology* 2006: 17(5):
17 545.
- 18 Gunier R.B., Hertz A., Von Behren J., and Reynolds P. Traffic density in California:
19 socioeconomic and ethnic differences among potentially exposed children. *Journal of Exposure*
20 *Science and Environmental Epidemiology* 2003: 13(3): 240-246.
- 21 Health Effects Institute Panel on the Health Effects of Traffic-Related Air Pollution. Traffic-
22 Related Air Pollution: A Critical Review of the Literature on Emissions, Exposure, and Health
23 Effects. . Health Effects Institute, Boston, MA, 1974.
- 24 Hoek G., Brunekreef B., Goldbohm S., Fischer P., and van den Brandt P.A. Association between
25 mortality and indicators of traffic-related air pollution in the Netherlands: a cohort study. *The*
26 *lancet* 2002: 360(9341): 1203-1209.
- 27 Hoffmann B., Moebus S., Stang A., Beck E.M., Dragano N., Möhlenkamp S., *et al.* Residence
28 close to high traffic and prevalence of coronary heart disease. *European Heart Journal* 2006:
29 27(22): 2696.
- 30 Hoffmann B., Moebus S., Möhlenkamp S., Stang A., Lehmann N., Dragano N., *et al.* Residential
31 exposure to traffic is associated with coronary atherosclerosis. *Circulation* 2007: 116(5): 489-
32 496.
- 33 Houston D., Wu J., Ong P., and Winer A. Structural disparities of urban traffic in Southern
34 California: implications for vehicle-related air pollution exposure in minority and high-poverty
35 neighborhoods. *Journal of Urban Affairs* 2004: 26(5): 565-592.

- 1 Jerrett M., Burnett R.T., Kanaroglou P., Eyles J., Finkelstein N., Giovis C., *et al.* A GIS-
2 environmental justice analysis of particulate air pollution in Hamilton, Canada. *Environment and*
3 *Planning A* 2001: 33(6): 955-974.
- 4 Jerrett M., Burnett R., Brook J., Kanaroglou P., Giovis C., Finkelstein N., *et al.* Do
5 socioeconomic characteristics modify the short term association between air pollution and
6 mortality? Evidence from a zonal time series in Hamilton, Canada. *J Epidemiol Commun H*
7 2004: 58(1): 31.
- 8 McConnell R., Berhane K., Yao L., Jerrett M., Lurmann F., Gilliland F., *et al.* Traffic,
9 susceptibility, and childhood asthma. *Environ Health Persp* 2006: 114(5): 766.
- 10 Morello-Frosch R., Pastor M., and Sadd J. Environmental justice and Southern California's
11 "Riskscape". *Urban Affairs Review* 2001: 36(4): 551.
- 12 Morgenstern V., Zutavern A., Cyrus J., Brockow I., Koletzko S., Kramer U., *et al.* Atopic
13 diseases, allergic sensitization, and exposure to traffic-related air pollution in children. *American*
14 *journal of respiratory and critical care medicine* 2008: 177(12): 1331.
- 15 Pastor J.M., Morello Frosch R., and Sadd J.L. The air is always cleaner on the other side: Race,
16 space, and ambient air toxics exposures in California. *Journal of Urban Affairs* 2005: 27(2): 127-
17 148.
- 18 Reynolds P., Von Behren J., Gunier R.B., Goldberg D.E., Hertz A and Smith D. Traffic patterns
19 and childhood cancer incidence rates in California, United States. *Cancer Causes and Control*
20 2002: 13: 665-673.
- 21 Reynolds P., Von Behren J., Gunier R.B., Goldberg D.E., and Hertz A. Residential exposure to
22 traffic in California and childhood cancer. *Epidemiology* 2004: 15(1): 6.
- 23 Ross Z., Jerrett M., Ito K., Tempalski B., and Thurston G.D. A land use regression for predicting
24 fine particulate matter concentrations in the New York City region. *Atmospheric Environment*
25 2007: 41(11): 2255-2269.
- 26 Schwartz J., Litonjua A., Suh H., Verrier M., Zanobetti A., Syring M., *et al.* Traffic related
27 pollution and heart rate variability in a panel of elderly subjects. *Thorax* 2005: 60(6): 455.
- 28 Slama R., Morgenstern V., Cyrus J., Zutavern A., Herbarth O., Wichmann H.E., *et al.* Traffic-
29 related atmospheric pollutants levels during pregnancy and offspring's term birth weight: a study
30 relying on a land-use regression exposure model. *Environ Health Persp* 2007: 115(9): 1283.
- 31 Tian N., Goovaerts P., Zhan F.B., and Wilson J.G. Identification of racial disparities in breast
32 cancer mortality: does scale matter? *International journal of health geographics* 2010: 9(1): 35.
- 33 USEPA. National emissions inventory (NEI) air pollutant emissions trends data. 2008. Accessed
34 July 2011.

- 1 USEPA. Fact sheet National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Carbon Monoxide-final rule.
2 <http://www.epa.gov/airquality/carbonmonoxide/pdfs/COFactSheetAugust12v4.pdf>, 2011.
3 Accessed December 14, 2011 2011.
- 4 Venn A.J., Lewis S.A., Cooper M., Hubbard R., and Britton J. Living near a main road and the
5 risk of wheezing illness in children. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine*
6 2001: 164(12): 2177.
- 7 Wu Y.C., and Batterman S.A. Proximity of schools in Detroit, Michigan to automobile and truck
8 traffic. *Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology* 2006: 16(5): 457-470.
- 9 Zhu Y., Hinds W.C., Kim S., and Sioutas C. Concentration and size distribution of ultrafine
10 particles near a major highway. *Journal of the air & waste management association* 2002: 52(9):
11 1032-1042.