

C60 Fullerene: Surface Energy and Interfacial Interactions in Aqueous Systems

Xin Ma, Bethany Wigington and Dermont C. Bouchard, USEPA/ORD National Exposure Research Laboratory, Athens GA, USA

Abstract

The underlying mechanisms of fullerene-fullerene. fullerene-water, and fullerene-soil surface interactions in aqueous systems are not well understood. To advance our understanding of these interfacial interactions, the surface properties of C₆₀ and guartz surfaces were investigated. From application of the van Oss-Chaudhury-Good model and the Young-Dupre equation, the Lifshitzvan der Waals, acid-base, and the total surface energies of C₆₀ powder and quartz surfaces were calculated from contact angle measurements using the sessile drop technique. C₆₀ powder measurements indicate low to medium energy surfaces of 41.7 mJ/m² with a dominant Lifshitzvan der Waals component. In aqueous systems, hydrophobic attraction due to the high cohesion of water is the driving force for C_{60} aggregation. The high free energy of hydration (Δ GpwTotal = -90.5 mJ/m²) indicates the high affinity of C₆₀ particles for water. Hamaker constants of 4.02 x10⁻²¹ J (A_{pwp}) and 2.59x10⁻²¹ J (A_{pws}) were derived for C₆₀-C₆₀ and C₆₀-quartz interactions in aqueous systems. The results of this study indicate that surface energy is an important physical parameter that should be considered as a basic characterization property of fullerene nanomaterials.

Experimental

- 10 mg of ground $C_{\rm 60}$ powder was pressed into a 4 mm diameter pellet.
- Contact angles measurements were performed using a contact angle goniometer.
- Six probe liquids were used in contact angle measurements: double deionized H₂O (DDI) resistivity > 18 MΩ/cm), glycerin, 1-bromonaphthalene (97%), formamide (deionized, 99.5%), diiodomethane (99%) and 1,1,2,2-tetrabromoethane (Laboratory grade).
- The pendant drop was dispensed just above the pellet surface and touch-off drops.
- The high speed with imaging period of 0.02 seconds accurately captured the contact of liquid with the pellet surfaces .



Figure 1. The pellet preparation using a 5-ton hydralic press





 $R_{ms} = 52.2 \pm 30 \text{ nm}$ $R_{ms} = 43.6 \pm 5.6 \text{ nm}$ $R_{ms} = 48.2 \pm 22 \text{ nm}$ $R_{ms} = 41.5 \pm 17.9 \text{ nm}$

Figure 2. The effect of pellet compactness on contact angle measurement. (a) Water contact angles on $C_{\rm 80}$ pellets made under different press force. (b) Representative AFM images of pellet roughness (root mean square roughness, $R_{\rm rms}$) under different press force.



Figure 3. Examples of contact angle-time profiles. a). Constant contact angle profile; b). Decreasing contact angle profile.

| | | | - | - | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|------|------------|-------|------|------|-----------------|------------|
| | Test liquid | | Dispersive | Polar | Acid | Base | Contact | Angle (8) |
| | | TOT | y‡.w | 745 | r | r | C ₆₀ | quartz |
| 1 | water* | 72.8 | 21.8 | 51.0 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 60.6 ± 2.6 | 8.8 ± 2.4 |
| 2 | diiodomethane" | 50.8 | 50.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.6 ± 2.9 | 39.4 ± 2.0 |
| 3 | formamide" | 58.0 | 39.0 | 19.0 | 2.3 | 39.6 | 45.0 ± 2.1 | 31.4 ± 9.3 |
| 4 | glycerol* | 64.0 | 34.0 | 30.0 | 3.9 | 57.4 | 79.2 ±3.7 | 54.3 ± 3.0 |
| 5 | 1-bromonaphthalene* | 44.4 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.3 ±2.1 | 21.1 ±2.8 |
| 6 | 1,1,2,2-tetrabromoethaneb | 49.7 | 49.7 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 37.8 ± 3.1 | 41.1 ± 1.3 |

| b) | | | | | | |
|----|---------|------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | Dispersiv e | Polar | Acid | Base |
| | | γ ^{τοτ} | ۲ ^{LW} | γ ^{ab} | ٣ | ٣ |
| | 1, 2, 3 | 46.2 | 44.4 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 19.2 |
| | 1, 2, 4 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| | 1, 3, 5 | 41.0 | 38.9 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 0.4 |
| | 1, 3, 6 | 41.1 | 38.9 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 0.4 |
| | 1, 4, 5 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 |
| | 1, 4, 6 | 32.6 | 32.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.4 |
| | average | 41.7± 5.9 | $\textbf{40.6} \pm \textbf{5.8}$ | $\textbf{1.0} \pm \textbf{1.2}$ | $\textbf{1.0} \pm \textbf{1.7}$ | $\textbf{5.9} \pm \textbf{8.0}$ |

| ə | | | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|------|-----------------------|-----------------|------|-------|
| | | | Dispersi ve | Polar | Acid | Base |
| | | TOT | ≁ ^w | Y ⁴⁰ | r | * |
| | 1, 2, 3 | 45.0 | 39.9 | 5.1 | 0.1 | 66.9 |
| | 1, 2, 4 | 48.8 | 48.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 51.5 |
| | 1, 3, 5 | 45.2 | 45.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.6 |
| | 1, 3, 6 | 57.1 | 17.5 | 39.6 | 6.2 | 63.8 |
| | 1, 4, 5 | 50.5 | 50.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.2 |
| | 1, 4, 6 | 38.7 | 14.3 | 24.4 | 1.6 | 91.3 |
| 8 | verage | 47.6 | 36.0 | 11.5 | 1.3 | 67.5 |
| Clean | glass * | 51.7 | 33.7 | 18.0 | 1.3 | 62.2 |
| SiO2 Scie | (Fisher ntific) * | 50.7 | 39.2 | 11.5 | 0.8 | 41.4 |
| Quartz | plate ^b | 57.2 | 36.1 | 21.12 | 9.4 | 11.86 |
| | | | | | | |

Table. (a) Surface Tension Components and Parameters of Test Liquids Used in Contact Angle Measurement (in mJ/m²) and Contract Angles with 95% Confidence Limit (in deg) measured on C₆₀ pellets and quartz; (b) Surface Tension Components and Parameters of C₆₀ (in mJ/m²) with 95% Confidence Limit, Derived from (a); (c) Surface Tension Components and Parameters of Quartz (in mJ/m²), Derived from (a).

$$\begin{split} A_{pp} &= 24 \pi y_{p}^{LW} I_{o}^{2} \\ A_{ppp} &= \left(\sqrt{A_{pp}} - \sqrt{A_{vv}} \right)^{2} \quad A_{C60-w-C60} &= 4.02 \times 10^{-21} J \\ A_{ppp} &= \left(\sqrt{A_{pp}} - \sqrt{A_{vv}} \right)^{2} \quad A_{C60-w-quartc} &= 2.59 \times 10^{-21} J \end{split}$$

Figure 4. Hamarker constants of C_{60} - C_{60} interactions in water and C_{60} interactions with quartz surfaces in water.



Figure 5. C₆₀-C₆₀ agregation in water



Figure 6. Hydration of C₆₀.

Conclusions

- This study demonstrates that contact angle measurements by the sessile drop technique can be used to derive important surface properties of nanoparticle powders.
- Using this technique, C₆₀ powder surfaces are shown to have low-medium surface energy (41.7 mJ/m²) and the electromagnetic Lifshitz-van der Waals component is the major component of the surface energy of C₆₀.
- Total surface energy derived by VOCG model is close to the critical surface tension.
- The Hamaker constants of C_{60} –C_{60} interaction and C_{60^-} quartz interaction in water were also derived.
- The strong cohesion of water induced the hydrophobic attraction, which is the driving force for C₆₀ aggregation.
- Interaction energies indicate that C₆₀ powder will not bind to monopolar quartz surfaces in water.