

APPENDIX H

Lifetable Analysis and Weighted Linear Regression based on Results from Charbotel et al. (2006)

CONTENTS—Appendix H: Lifetable Analysis and Weighted Linear Regression based on Results from Charbotel et al. (2006)

APPENDIX H: LIFETABLE ANALYSIS AND WEIGHTED LINEAR REGRESSION	
BASED ON RESULTS FROM CHARBOTE ET AL. (2006)	H-1
H.1. LIFETABLE ANALYSIS	H-1
H.2. EQUATIONS USED FOR WEIGHTED LINEAR REGRESSION OF RESULTS FROM CHARBOTE ET AL. (2006)	H-1
H.3. REFERENCES	H-4

This document is a draft for review purposes only and does not constitute Agency policy.

1 **APPENDIX H: LIFETABLE ANALYSIS AND WEIGHTED LINEAR REGRESSION**
2 **BASED ON RESULTS FROM CHARBOTEL ET AL. (2006)**

5 **H.1. LIFETABLE ANALYSIS**

6 A spreadsheet illustrating the extra-risk calculation for the derivation of the lower 95%
7 bound on the effective concentration associated with a 1% extra risk (LEC₀₁) for renal cell
8 carcinoma (RCC) incidence is presented in Table H-1.

10 **H.2. EQUATIONS USED FOR WEIGHTED LINEAR REGRESSION OF RESULTS**
11 **FROM CHARBOTEL ET AL. (2006) (source: Rothman [1986], p. 343-344)**

12 Linear model: RR = 1 + bX

14 where RR = risk ratio, X = exposure, and b = slope

16 b can be estimated from the following equation:

$$\hat{b} = \frac{\sum_{j=2}^n w_j x_j R\hat{R}_j - \sum_{j=2}^n w_j x_j}{\sum_{j=2}^n w_j x_j^2} \quad (\text{Eq. H-1})$$

19 where j specifies the exposure category level and the reference category (j = 1) is ignored.

21 The standard error of the slope can be estimated as follows:

$$SE(\hat{b}) \approx \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sum_{j=2}^n w_j x_j^2}} \quad (\text{Eq. H-2})$$

24 The weights, w_j, are estimated from the confidence intervals (as the inverse of the variance):

$$Var(R\hat{R}_j) \approx R\hat{R}_j^2 Var[\ln(R\hat{R}_j)] \approx R\hat{R}_j^2 \times \left[\frac{\ln(\overline{RR}_j) - \ln(\underline{RR}_j)}{2 \times 1.96} \right]^2 \quad (\text{Eq. H-3})$$

28 where \overline{RR}_j is the 95% upper bound on the RR_j estimate (for the jth exposure category) and \underline{RR}_j is
29 the 95% lower bound on the RR_j estimate.

Table H-1. Extra-risk calculation^a for environmental exposure to 1.82 ppm TCE (the LEC₀₁ for RCC incidence)^b using a linear exposure-response model based on the categorical cumulative exposure results of Charbotel et al. (2006), as described in Section 5.2.2.1.2.

- Column A: interval index number (i).
- Column B: 5-year age interval (except <1 and 1–4) up to age 85.
- Column C: all-cause mortality rate for interval i ($\times 10^5/\text{year}$) (2004 data from NCHS [2007]).
- Column D: RCC incidence rate for interval i ($\times 10^5/\text{year}$) (2001–2005 SEER data [<http://seer.cancer.gov>]).
- Column E: all-cause hazard rate for interval i (h^*_i) [= all-cause mortality rate \times number of years in age interval]^a.
- Column F: probability of surviving interval i without being diagnosed with RCC (q_i) [= $\exp(-h^*_i)$].
- Column G: probability of surviving up to interval i without having been diagnosed with RCC (S_i) [$S_1 = 1$; $S_i = S_{i-1} \times q_{i-1}$, for $i > 1$].
- Column H: RCC incidence hazard rate for interval i (h_i) [= RCC incidence rate \times number of years in interval].
- Column I: conditional probability of being diagnosed with RCC in interval i [= $(h_i/h^*_i) \times S_i \times (1-q_i)$], i.e., conditional upon surviving up to interval i without having been diagnosed with RCC [Ro, the background lifetime probability of being diagnosed with RCC = the sum of the conditional probabilities across the intervals].
- Column J: exposure duration (in years) at mid-interval (xtime).
- Column K: cumulative exposure mid-interval (xdose) [= exposure level (i.e., 1.82 ppm) \times 365/240 \times 20/10 \times xtime] (365/240 \times 20/10 converts continuous environmental exposures to corresponding occupational exposures).
- Column L: RCC incidence hazard rate in exposed people for interval i (hx_i) [= $h_i \times (1 + \beta \times \text{xdoe})$, where $\beta = 0.001205 + (1.645 \times 0.0008195) = 0.002554$] [0.001205 per ppm \times year is the regression coefficient obtained from the weighted linear regression of the categorical results (see Section 5.2.2.1.2). To estimate the LEC₀₁, i.e., the 95% lower bound on the continuous exposure giving an extra risk of 1%, the 95% upper bound on the regression coefficient is used, i.e., MLE + 1.645 \times SE].
- Column M: all-cause hazard rate in exposed people for interval i (h^*x_i) [= $h^*_i + (hx_i - h_i)$].
- Column N: probability of surviving interval i without being diagnosed with RCC for exposed people (qx_i) [= $\exp(-h^*x_i)$].
- Column O: probability of surviving up to interval i without having been diagnosed with RCC for exposed people (Sx_i) [$Sx_1 = 1$; $Sx_i = Sx_{i-1} \times qx_{i-1}$, for $i > 1$].
- Column P: conditional probability of being diagnosed with RCC in interval i for exposed people [= $(hx_i/h^*x_i) \times Sx_i \times (1-qx_i)$] (Rx, the lifetime probability of being diagnosed with RCC for exposed people = the sum of the conditional probabilities across the intervals).

^a Using the methodology of BEIR IV (1988).

^b The estimated 95% lower bound on the continuous exposure level of TCE that gives a 1% extra lifetime risk of RCC.

^c For the cancer incidence calculation, the all-cause hazard rate for interval i should technically be the rate of either dying of any cause or being diagnosed with the specific cancer during the interval, i.e., (the all-cause mortality rate for the interval + the cancer-specific incidence rate for the interval—the cancer-specific mortality rate for the interval [so that a cancer case isn't counted twice, i.e., upon diagnosis and upon death]) \times number of years in interval. This adjustment was ignored here because the RCC incidence rates are small compared with the all-cause mortality rates.

MLE = maximum likelihood estimate, SE = standard error.

1 **H.3. REFERENCES**

- 2 BEIR ([Committee on the] Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation). (1988) Health risks of radon and other
3 internally deposited alpha emitters. BEIR IV. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- 4 Charbotel B, Fevotte J, Hours M, Martin J-L, Bergeret A. (2006) Case-control study on renal cell cancer and
5 occupational exposure to trichloroethylene. Part II: epidemiological aspects. Ann Occup Hyg 50: 777-787.
- 6 NCHS (National Center for Health Statistics). (2007) National Vital Statistics Reports, vol. 55, no. 19; August 21,
7 2007, Table 3. National Center for Health Statistics, Hyattsville, MD.
- 8 Rothman KJ. (1986) *Modern Epidemiology*. Little, Brown and Company, Boston.