Overview on Alternative Asbestos Control Method Research

Asbestos SESAC Workshop

Adele Cardenas Malott, P.E. Roger Wilmoth

October 21, 2008

History of AACM Method

- City of Fort Worth XL Project 1997 expanded use of emergency provision of Asbestos NESHAP for substandard structures – Phased approach
- Cow Town Inn City of Fort Worth withdrew XL submittal – 2003

 EPA Region 6 and ORD continued dialogue for next steps – development of Alternative Asbestos Control Method Research

"Wet Method not AACM" The AACM is not the <u>"Wet Method or Fort</u> <u>Worth Method"</u>

Emergency Provision of Asbestos NESHAP


 Provides owners of structures in
 "imminent danger of collapse" use of
 water, all asbestos remains, during
 demolition with notice to State


AACM1

- Fort Chaffee, AR
- Identical buildings (one by AACM other by NESHAP)
- Positive Asbestos wall systems and VAT
- Soil



Reference area for visual estimation of relative component percentages









Asbestos Sample Filter Cassettes

> Particulate Sample Filter Cassette

> > Dust Collection Sampling Device

广泛



















AACM1 Dust Asbestos Loading, s/cm²




AACM1 Worker Breathing Zone Asbestos, s/cm³


Worker Asbestos Fiber/Structure Concentrations During Abatement of NESHAP Building at Fort Chaffee



Worker Exposure Levels During Abatement

AACM1 Worker Breathing Zone Asbestos, s/cm⁸





AACM1 Mean Soil Asbestos, million s/g

AACM vs NESHAP at AACM1 Research

Over six times faster
Cost half as much
Less asbestos in final soil
Lower worker breathing zone asbestos levels

AACM 2

- Fort Chaffee, AR
- Single building in danger of imminent collapse
- Transite siding
- Pavement












































Asbestos Fiber/Structure Concentrations During Demolition of Transite Building in Fort Smith




Non-detects are shown as near zero for illustration.



Non-detects are shown as near zero for illustration.


Pavement Asbestos Loadings at the Transite Building, s/cm²



Non-detects are shown as near zero for illustration.

AACM 3

- Fort Worth, Texas
- Single building
- Popcorn Ceiling, troweled on surfacing on walls
- Pavement and soil









Popcorn-Ceiling Building Location

Raton

000

Background Samplers Location

Microsoft Virtual Earth

T









































Asbestos Fiber/Structure Concentrations During Demolition of Popcorn Building in Fort Worth






Pavement Dust at Popcorn Building Demolition



Soil Asbestos Concentrations (TEM) During Demolition of Popcorn Building, s/g


Millions



Non-detects are shown as near zero for illustration.


Mean Soil Asbestos Concentrations (TEM) During Demolition of Popcorn Building, s/g


Millions



COMPARISON OF AACM1,2, and 3


Airborne Asbestos Concentrations (TEM) During Demolition of AACM1 NESHAP, AACM1, AACM2, and AACM3 Buildings





Non-detects are shown as near zero for illustration.

Mean Soil Asbestos Concentrations (TEM) During Demolition of AACM1 NESHAP, AACM1, and AACM3 Buildings



Lessons Learned

Location, location, location

 The AACM Research Demonstrated Site specific on results, State, local, contractors and cost analysis (landfill distance, abatement practices, etc.)

 Research places additional emphasis on oversight and implementation efforts – is this real world application?

EPA Responsibilities To Public

- EPA Research driven by questions raised on issues and concerns raised internally as well as externally to the Agency. (Champion Necessary)
- Under the Clean Air Act Asbestos NESHAP first air rule written in 1973, amended in 1990
- CAA requires Reg review every 5 years has it run it's course, what changes are necessary, etc.?

Peer Review Schedule

 Peer Review Process: FR Notice Published July 21, 2008
 Comment Period Ended September 1, 2008

Second FR Notice – Published August 27, 2008 -Peer Review Committee Convened/Public Meeting Held on September 11-12, 2008, Cincinnati Lab

Public Comments Located

 Docket ID number EPA-HQ-ORD-2008-0523

 Reports Located: <u>http://www.regulations.gov</u> and through <u>http://www.epa.gov/region6/6xa/asbestos</u> .htm

Next Steps

 Peer Review Final Report – inclusion of panel comments, public comments and summary report of 2-day meeting

EPA receipt of Final Peer Review Report

Next Steps- Continued

 EPA Finalizes AACM#2 and AACM#3 Research Reports - Release of Peer Review Report and Final EPA Reports – occur simultaneously –posted on web

 Agency will prepare for internal discussions on next steps once Science completed.

Next Steps - Continued

 What should EPA do next? Open Forum Discussions with outside parties: States, Tribes, Municipalities, Counties, Redevelopers, trainers, asbestos workers, unions, environmental groups, interested stakeholders, federal partners, etc.

 Should Asbestos NESHAP be reviewed? Should these discussions occur? If so, how should these discussions be convened? When? Where?

Questions??

For additional Information: Adele Cardenas Malott, P.E.- Region 6 (214) 665-7210 Cardenas.adele@epa.gov

Roger Wilmoth – ORD/Cincinnati, Ohio (513) 226-4488 <u>Wilmoth.roger@epa.gov</u>