Manuscript body word count: 3733 Abstract work count: 217 References: 25 Figures: 4 Tables: 1

Can Personal Exposures to Higher Nighttime and Early Morning Temperatures Increase Blood Pressure?

Robert D. Brook¹, Hwashin H. Shin², Robert L. Bard¹, Richard T. Burnett², Alan Vette³, Carry Croghan³, Ron Williams³

 ¹ Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, 48106, USA
² Environmental Health Science and Research Bureau, Health Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada
³U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, 27711, USA

Correspondences:

Robert D. Brook, MD 24 Frank Lloyd Wright Dr PO Box 322 Ann Arbor, MI. 48106 <u>robdbrok@umich.edu</u> (734) 998-5627 (fax) (734) 232-2292

Abstract

Environmental temperatures are inversely related to blood pressure. However, the effects of short-term temperature changes within a 24-hour period and measured with high accuracy at the personal-level have not been described. Fifty-one nonsmoking subjects living in the Detroit-area had up to 5 consecutive days of 24-hour personal-level environmental temperature (PET) monitoring along with daily cardiovascular measurements, including blood pressure (BP), performed mostly between 5-7 pm during summer and/or winter periods. The associations between hour-long mean PET levels over the previous 24 hours with the outcomes were assessed by linear mixed-models. Accounting for demographics, environmental factors, and monitoring compliance, systolic and diastolic BP were positively associated with several hour-long PET measurements ending from 10 to 15 hours beforehand. During this time, corresponding mostly to a period starting from between 1-3 am and ending between 7-9 am, an increase of 1° Celsius was associated with a 0.81-1.44 mm Hg and 0.59-0.83 mm Hg elevation in systolic and diastolic BP, respectively. Modestly warmer commonly encountered PET levels posed a clinically-meaningful effect (e.g. a 6.95 mmHg systolic pressure increase per inter-quartile range (4.8° Celsius) elevation at lag hour 10). Community-level outdoor ambient temperatures were not related to BP. We provide the first evidence that personal exposure to warmer nighttime and early morning environmental temperatures might lead to an increase in BP during the ensuing day.

Many environmental factors such as air pollution, noise, and altitude affect blood pressure (BP)^{1.2}. Numerous studies have also demonstrated an inverse relationship between BP and outside environmental temperature during concurrent or recent days²⁻⁶. Longer-term BP variations have been linked to seasonal changes, possibly due to colder temperatures during the winter^{4,6}. Importantly, the magnitude BP elevations have been shown to be of clinical relevance (i.e. 5-10 mm Hg)^{4,5} and could thus potentially contribute to an acute increase in risk for cardiovascular (CV) events⁷⁻⁹.

Most previous studies have only investigated the BP associations with relatively prolonged outside temperature changes (e.g. daily means) and by measurements performed at community sites²⁻⁶. In this fashion, only between-day outside temperature effects on BP could be detected and subjects were assumed to be equally exposed to the same prevailing levels. Even when additionally determined by a monitor at a fixed indoor location at the place of BP measurement⁴, this indoor temperature cannot adequately describe the numerous short-term fluctuations uniquely encountered by each individual throughout a day. These limitations have likely resulted in significant exposure misclassifications. Only imprecise estimates of the environmental temperature-BP association from both temporal and spatial resolution standpoints have thus been provided by studies to date. The effects of shorter-term variations (i.e. occurring over hours) within a 24 hour period during routine daily activity have not been reported. Moreover, the effects of alterations in the "true" environmental temperature, meaning the level actually encountered and unique to each individual, have not been described.

Given the complexities of this environment-health interaction (e.g. susceptibility, durations of exposure, timing of BP response), the relationship may be more complicated than a simple linear inverse association. In this context, we designed the CV sub-study of the Detroit Exposure and Aerosol Research Study (DEARS) to elucidate the effects of several environmental factors, including temperatures, on health

parameters, including arterial BP. Exposure parameters were characterized at both the community- and personal-levels (to enhance spatial resolution) and continuously throughout repeated 24 hour periods (to enhance temporal resolution). The main CV study methods and results focusing on air pollution-mediated BP elevations are published elsewhere¹⁰. In this post hoc sub-analysis, we performed a hypothesis-generating evaluation of the associations between BP and short-term variations in personal-level environmental temperature (PET) exposures occurring within a 24-hour period. We concomitantly explored if outdoor and/or PET affected vascular endothelial function, also potentially affected by weather¹¹, in a manner that could be a biological contributor (i.e. altered vasodilatory responses) to any observed BP alteration.

Methods

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the University of Michigan and the Human Subjects Approving Official of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Nearly 140 non-smoking >18 year old subjects living in non-smoking households among 6 neighborhoods in Wayne County, Michigan were enrolled into the main DEARS, as described previously¹⁰. There were no exclusion criteria including for race, sex, medications, or health status. Field sampling occurred during two several week periods per year (winter and summer sessions) over three years (six total sessions) and completed during the spring of 2007. All subjects were invited without restrictions to also participate in the CV sub-study during sessions 2-6. Volunteers underwent an additional visit in their home at which time written informed consent was obtained, a health interview was performed, and the average of the 2nd and 3rd dominant upper arm BP measurement was determined (Omron 780 monitor) after seated for five minutes. The 24-hour PET measurements were only measured and

available for sessions 4-6 (i.e. winter in 2006 and 2007 and summer in 2006). The results of this paper are therefore related to data collected during these sessions only.

Exposure Assessments

Community-level outdoor temperature was monitored daily at a nearby State of Michigan air quality site (Allen Park) which was 2.5-18 miles away from the 6 neighborhoods in DEARS. One member of each household underwent continuous personal exposure monitoring using the Personal Environmental Monitor (PEM) (PEM, SKC, Inc) on Tuesday through Saturday as previously described¹⁰. PEM sampling was initiated at a consistent time (9 am \pm 2.5 hr) for a continuous 24 hour period. Subjects were instructed to wear the vest at all times except for periods of bathing and the changing of clothes, at which times the monitor was directed to be kept as close as possible to the subject. At night, the PEM was instructed to be kept at their bedside. During sessions 4-6, the PEM also had instruments to collect continuous measurements of PET. Data were collected and the results presented related to this present analysis only during these sessions. The vest also contained sensors that monitored how compliant participants were with wearing it during the non-exclusion scenarios. Previous observations from the main DEARS led us to pre-specify that results from subjects meeting a conservative compliance rate of 60% would be analyzed¹⁰. Each participant's exposure to secondhand smoke (SHS) was also measured by personal filter samples optically analyzed by a mass-based estimate. The results from subjects with a prespecified rate < 1.5 µg/m³ of mean daily SHS exposure were included in this analysis to avoid its potential confounding effect on CV outcomes¹².

Cardiovascular Endpoints

CV study visits were performed at the participant's home for up to five consecutive evenings, Tuesday through Saturday, at a time mostly between 5 and 7 pm. A small portion of CV outcomes (26%) were measured on any given day either from 4-5 pm, or between 7-10 pm for the convenience of the subjects' schedules. These visits took place on concurrent days while subjects wore the vest monitors. There CV outcomes evaluated were: systolic and diastolic BP, heart rate, and brachial flowmediated dilatation (FMD). Participants were instructed to maintain their daily routine, including taking all medications, but to fast for at least 4 hours prior to the scheduled visits and to avoid unusual physical activity. During each visit, subjects rested supine on a portable patient bed for 10 minutes prior to automated BP and heart rate measurement (Omron 780 monitor) that was obtained in triplicate with a 1 minute lapse between measures. The average of the 2nd and 3rd BP and heart rate recordings was used for analyses. Next, vascular testing for FMD was performed using a portable Terason 2000 ultrasound system as described previously in the main DEARS CV study¹⁰. Details of study analyses and reproducibility are described elsewhere and accord with guidelines¹³⁻ 15

Statistical Methods

Integrated hour-long PET values during the preceding 24 hour period, calculated for each individual starting immediately before the time of their CV outcome measurements, were determined from the vest instruments (i.e. 24 individual hour-long periods from lag 0-23 hours) with lag 0 representing the time between 0-60 minutes beforehand. Figure 1 illustrates a specific example of a measurement day. The associations for each hour-long PET were made for the outcome variables. All of these CV outcomes were observed for five consecutive days for each season (winter versus summer) within a subject.

The subjects were considered to be selected at random from a population per the design and methods of the main DEARS cohort¹⁰. Considering the possibility that withinsubject errors were auto-correlated, a linear mixed model was employed since it was more appropriate when data were collected over time on the same subjects. We thus assumed that the association between each of the CV outcomes evaluated and PET was linear with an intercept varying at random over individuals, but the slope representing the linear association was assumed to be the same for all subjects. Several predictors of the response were included in the base model as fixed effects: age, gender, race, body mass index (BMI), same-day community-level outdoor temperature and a time-specific indicator. The relationship between these predictors and responses was assumed to be common to all subjects. In equation (1),

$$Y_{ii} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PET_{ii}(k) + \beta_2 age_i + \beta_3 gender_i + \beta_4 race_i + \beta_5 BMI_i + \beta_6 Temp_i + \beta_7 Time_{ii} + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ii}$$

where Y_{ij} is the response, a CV outcome for subject *i* at study day *j*, $PET_{ij}(k)$ the *k*-hour lag personal-level ET prior to the health outcome measurement time, and *Temp* the same-day 24-hour mean community-level (outdoor) ET. Note that in the model (1) the *k*hour lag personal-level ET, $PET_{ij}(k)$, was included one at a time for *k*=0,1,...23, while the outdoor ET, *Temp*, was included all the time with each $PET_{ij}(k)$. Using previous day mean community-level outdoor temperature did not alter the results. The model included a binary indicator variable, *Time*, assigning 1 if the time-of-day of the *k*-hour lag is between 5 am and 9 am, thus controlling for the possible effect of this time-of-day itself on the measured BP outcome. This time window was chosen because it reflects a common period of a BP surge upon awakening. Other time window periods were also evaluated without an effect upon the results. All estimates in this study were obtained by the base model.

This model (1) includes fixed effects associated with the subject-level covariates (β 's), random effects associated with the intercept for each subject (α_i) and residuals associated with each observation (ε_{ij}). The fixed effects describe the associations of the predictors to the CV outcomes for an entire population; while random effects are specific to subjects within that population^{16,17}.

The random effects by subject were assumed to be independently distributed across subjects with a normal distribution $\alpha_i \sim N(0, \delta^2)$. The within-subjects errors ε_{ij} were assumed to be distributed $\varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 R_i)$, where R_i was the correlation matrix for the residuals. It was also assumed α_i and ε_{ij} were independent of each other.

The first-order autoregressive structure, denoted by AR(1), was explored for the covariance R_i in the analysis, which implies observations closer to each other in time exhibit higher correlation than observations farther apart in time¹⁷. The likelihood ratio test showed the AR(1) correlation structure did not improve the fit. Other available covariates including season (i.e. winter versus summer), neighbourhood indictor, and the subject's study day (e.g. first versus second day of monitoring during the 5 day period) were not included in the final model as they did not predict responses individually or alter the significance of any results. The analysis was preformed by function "Ime (linear mixed-effects model)" in R (version 2.8.1). Statistical significance was defined as p<0.05.

Results

Fifty one subjects participated (10 subjects during 2 consecutive sessions (winter and summer), for a total of 61 subject-observation periods). As subjects could contribute up to 5 days of data per session, there were a total of 268 available observation-days.

Seventy-six (28%) observations were not included due to subjects not meeting the vest compliance rule. Among the 44 subjects (192 observations) meeting vest compliance (vest group), 35 participants (103 observations) also met the SHS rule (vest-low SHS group). We used this vest-low SHS cohort for the main results in order to assure no confounding effect of SHS (Table 1). However, the PET-BP associations from the vest group (larger cohort not accounting for SHS) were similar in magnitude and statistical significance. CV outcomes, beginning with BP measurement (mean time: 6:14 pm), were performed starting at 5, 6, and 7 pm in 22%, 26%, and 26% of subjects, respectively. The other 26% of subjects had measurements from 4-5 pm (10%) or 8-10 pm (16%).

PET values were generally higher and with less variation than community levels (Table 1), likely because subjects spent on average only 3.5% of each day outside per self-report. However, there was still a broad range (19.5-33.7 Celsius) in PET hourly values. On average, the levels were generally stable except for lower temperatures starting at the period that ended 9-12 hours before CV outcomes during the summer (Figure 2). This corresponded to the expected colder outside temperature penetrating indoor during summer nighttimes and early mornings. Similar variations were not observed during the winter. This pattern likely reflected the higher availability of home heating units (100%) compared with fewer households with air conditioning (29% central air: 77% some combination of central air and/or individual window units).

Season (winter versus summer), community-level outdoor temperature during the same or preceding day, and PET averaged over the entire preceding 24 hour period were not associated with changes in any outcome. Hourly PET values were also not significantly associated with heart rate or FMD (data not shown). On the other hand, several hour-long PET values with measurements ending from 10-15 hours prior to obtaining the CV outcomes were significantly positively associated with systolic (Figure 3) and diastolic (Figure 4) BP levels (measured on the ensuing day mostly between 5-7

pm). An increase in PET of 1° Celsius during this corresponding time (starting mostly between 1-3 am and ending between 7-9 am) was associated with a 0.81-1.44 mm Hg and 0.59-0.83 mm Hg elevation in systolic and diastolic BP, respectively, in the fully adjusted multivariate mixed model. One inter-quartile range (IQR) increase in PET (4.8° Celsius) had a significant effect on BP during many of these hourly periods (e.g. a 6.95 mmHg systolic BP elevation for lag hour 10). The associations during this time window occurred without a threshold temperature value (i.e. below or above which the positive significant association disappeared) and regardless of the absolute PET value, meaning for example increases from 25 to 29.8° Celsius caused similar BP elevations as increases from 30-34.8° Celsius.

These results accounted for daily average community-level outside temperature, and were not affected by adding any other covariate to the model (e.g. season) or by the self-reported time spent outdoors. The observed effect sizes were similar in magnitude when analyzed separately by winter and summer periods. Personal fine particulate matter levels during the same time period did not alter the PET-BP association. Whilst technically no covariate was found to be a risk effect modifier (i.e. non-significant interaction terms), the BP responses tended to be larger for older, more hypertensive, and overweight subjects. For example, during lag hour 11 the effect of 1° Celsius on systolic BP was greater (not significant due to lack of power) for those above compared to below the median values for age (44 years: 2.5 versus 0.44 mm Hg), systolic BP (124 mmHg: 2.2 versus 0.81 mm Hg), and body mass index (29.9 mg/Kg²: 1.8 versus 0.6 mm Hg). Forty-one subjects (80%) were not on any BP medications, while two (4%), six (12%), one (2%), and one subjects (2%) were on 1, 2, 4, and 5 medications, respectively. Overall, accounting for usage of any BP medication slightly enhanced the PET-BP associations (data not shown). Importantly, there were no significant differences in mean PET levels (24 hour average; lag hour 10-15; or from midnight to 6 am)

between subjects defined as hypertensive (basal systolic BP>140 mm Hg) or normotensive. This suggests that our observed PET-BP association is not explained by hypertensive patients seeking to increase their PET exposure (i.e. turning up indoor temperatures at night).

The evaluation of many different time intervals or durations or moving average time periods of exposures (e.g. 2 – 8 hour epochs) did not provide additional insights. Finally, detailed exploration of the individual-level data revealed that there were no specific individual subjects, temperature values, or data points that heavily influenced or were responsible for the statistical significance of the results.

Discussion

Numerous studies have demonstrated an inverse relationship between outside community-level outdoor temperature and BP²⁻⁶. Indoor temperature measured by stationary monitors placed within households has been shown to be even more strongly (inversely) related to BP, perhaps because people spend a large portion of time inside⁴. Hence, accurate estimation of the "true" environmental temperature actually encountered is critical in order to optimally assess the temperature-BP relationship. This is the first study to evaluate the effect on BP of short-term temperature exposures measured hourly and also at the personal-level throughout a day during routine activities. Our hypothesis-generating exploration found a novel positive association between higher night-time and early morning PET exposures with an elevation in BP during the ensuing day. This response occurred without a threshold temperature, during winter and summer, and was not altered by outside weather. In clinical perspective, commonly encountered modestly warmer PET (IQR=4.83° Celsius) could raise systolic BP by 6.95 mmHg. There was a trend for even larger elevations to occur in subjects that were older, heavier, and/or with higher basal BP levels. We hypothesize that

exposure to relatively warmer morning and nighttime PET values could adversely affect ensuing-day BP control. Given the importance of this issue, follow-up studies are warranted to corroborate this novel observation.

Biological Mechanisms

Cold-induced elevations in BP have been ascribed to changes in sympathetic nervous system activity, endothelial function, thermoregulatory defenses, adrenal gland activity, and fluid shifts^{2,5,18,19}. Additional pertinent changes accompanying longer-term reductions during winter could include lower vitamin D, decreased physical activity, and weight gain. One potential biological mechanism we explored was temperature change-induced endothelial dysfunction¹¹. As FMD was not related to either outdoor or PET, changes in endothelial-dependent vasodilatation do not likely explain our findings.

At least one previous study has also reported a positive association whereby mean daily community-level outdoor temperature was related to higher nocturnal BP among elderly patients treated for hypertension³. However, the authors suggested that lower daytime BP values during warmer weather (as they observed) may have lessened anti-hypertensive usage in these individuals and thus weakened 24-hour BP control (exemplified by higher nighttime pressures). This cannot be the basis for our findings. While we explored more acute BP effects of briefer PET periods compared to previous studies, even rapid brief experimental cooling of skin or body temperature has been shown to typically lead to thermoregulatory vasoconstriction (and increased BP) within minutes^{18,19}. In this regard, the most recently encountered hour-long PET ending from 0-9 hours beforehand were unrelated to BP in our study. Hence, the distinctive temporal features of our study design alone cannot explain our results.

There appears to be some unique aspect and physiological response related to relatively warmer PET encountered >9 hours. We find it intriguing that this time window

corresponds to a period during the early morning and nighttime in all subjects. The mechanism(s) responsible must remain speculative. However, we hypothesize that perhaps there is an adverse effect of higher PET values during this time on sleep quality (or stages of sleep related to BP control), particularly during the early morning when the effects were slightly larger. There is a complex interaction between environmental temperature exposure, skin/core body temperature and sleep^{20,21}. Nonetheless, it has been demonstrated that higher nocturnal temperature exposures can impair sleep quality, particularly in elderly people²⁰. In this context, it is well-established that reduced sleep duration and/or quality, even in the absence of overt sleep-related breathing disorders, are capable of elevating daytime BP²²⁻²⁴. Recent findings from a sleep-disorders breathing study in 7 U.S. urban areas bolster our hypothesis. Indeed, higher ambient temperatures were predictive of impaired sleep quality as evidenced by worsening of the respiratory disturbance index²⁵. Future studies are required to clarify if this is the physiological mechanism involved.

Strengths and Limitations

It is unclear why we did not observe the expected inverse association between daily community-level outdoor temperature and BP. It may be explained by our sample size being smaller than previous studies³⁻⁶ or because subjects spent the majority of time indoor. Nonetheless, our use of PET measurements likely greatly reduced exposure misclassification compared to earlier studies. In addition, the positive PET-BP associations occurred at much shorter time windows of exposure than previous studies. There is no reason why a 24-hour mean should be the environmental temperature most strongly associated with BP from a biological standpoint, hence the rational for our study and a potential explanation for our findings. This is also the first study to explore the effect on CV outcomes of both outdoor community and PET values with the latter

measured hourly (with greater temporal resolution than ever before) and during routine daily activity. The CV outcomes were additionally determined in the households of subjects, obviating extraneous activities/exposures that might have otherwise affected the CV outcomes (e.g. stressor in traffic) encountered during the commute to a research laboratory. We were also able to evaluated for and account for (as needed) the effects of personal and environmental covariates (e.g. outdoor temperature, season, time of day, personal-level particulate pollution, SHS). We believe it is unlikely that the findings represent a spurious association confounded by unmeasured factors. Moreover, detailed evaluation of individual-level data does not demonstrate outlying subjects, responses, or temperatures responsible for the overall positive association.

We did not have an hourly activity log and could not account for activities during the pertinent time window (~7-9 am and 1-3 am). Whilst conceivable that the positive PET-BP associations were confounded by a relationship to temporally-correlated changes in activities that raised BP 10 hours later, we believe this to be extremely unlikely. First, we controlled for the time period per se (5-9 am) associated with early morning activity (i.e. the BP surge). Controlling for this time period or the actual time-of-day itself had no significant effect on the reported PET-BP associations. It is important to clarify that we did not demonstrate BP during this early morning period itself to be elevated (e.g. this is <u>not</u> a morning Period was associated with a higher BP 10-15 hours later. Second, the time window associated with elevated BP was consistent for most sub-sets of subjects and during a period typically associated with similar day-to-day activity (i.e. sleep). Last, physical activities do not generally cause elevations in BP that persist 10 hours later, if anything, BP is typically lower hours after increased activity.

This study was a post hoc exploratory analysis. While we cannot exclude the possibility of type I errors given the multiple comparisons, the consistency of the BP

elevations that occurred during the consecutive hourly periods in the early morning and nighttime periods (i.e. a coherent and plausible time window) during both the winter and summer, which also included several additional borderline non-significant associations, lends veracity to our interpretation of the findings. Regardless, this analysis was performed as a hypothesis-generating study; hence, statistical significance is not a critical factor in this context without predetermined primary outcomes according to study power/design. As such, we recognize that future studies are needed to corroborate these initial results and better characterize the nature of the BP response (e.g. onset, duration). Unfortunately, ambulatory BP monitoring was not part of the DEARS, which should be included in any future studies.

We do not have data regarding PET at actual skin level (i.e. underneath clothing) as the thermometer was worn on a vest outside of clothing or kept by bedside at nighttime. It is possible that we may have observed differing responses if actual skin-level temperature were evaluated. However, this limitation does not likely alter the veracity of our reported findings. For example, the significant PET-BP association occurred during both seasons (i.e. regardless of differences in clothing), and was affected by temperatures determined indoors 96.5% of the time when clothing differences in response to relatively smaller environmental temperature changes will likely be comparatively smaller than during time spent outdoors. The effect of skin-level temperature on BP may be complicated by the added relationship of body core temperature altering skin blood flow and thereby temperature (due to many factors such as activity or thermogenesis). Future studies would be required to determine if there are differences in skin-level versus PET measured outside clothing as in our study on the association with BP.

Finally, PET was linearly positively associated with BP without a threshold during both seasons. This might potentially be explained by the limited range in PET compared

to outdoor levels. It remains possible that the inclusion of more extreme values (particularly lower PET) could alter the shape of the PET-BP relationship and produce a U-shape curve whereby further lowering of nighttime and early morning PET causes an elevation in BP.

Conclusions

Our exploratory analysis illustrates that brief personal exposures to modestly warmer and commonly encountered early morning and nighttime temperatures could pose a clinically-meaningful effect by raising BP during the ensuing day. This novel relationship could have important implications for the management of high BP and warrants more definitive follow-up studies.

Disclosure:

The US EPA through its Office of Research and Development partially funded and conducted the research under contract 68-D-00-012 (RTI International), EP-D-04-068 (Battelle Columbus Laboratory), 68-D-00-206 and EP-05-D-065 (Alion Science and Technology). It has not been subjected to Agency review and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use. This study was also supported by the Electric Power Research Institute (Contract EP-P15887/C7915) and from a National Institutes of Health General Clinical Research Center Grant: M01-RR000042.

FACTOR	Number of observations	MEAN	SD	Minimum	Q1*	Median	Q3*	Maximum
Age (vears)	31	45.1	14.0	22	33	45	54	73
Sex	31	-					-	
Female	26	84%						
Male	5	16%						
Race	31							
African	13	42%						
American								
Caucasian	17	55%						
American	1	3%						
Indian								
Body mass	31	30.1	5.9	21.8	25.3	29.9	34.7	48.2
index (Kg/m ²)								
SBP (mm Hg)	101	123.0	16.4	91	110	124	136	167
DBP (mm Hg)	101	73.4	10.4	53	65	73	81	101
HR (beats/min)	101	73.8	10.2	51	67	74	79	100
BAD (mm)	99	4.0	0.9	2.5	3.4	3.9	4.6	6.2
FMD (%)	94	4.0	5.2	-6.0	0.4	2.8	6.9	18.3
NMD (%)	48	15.4	7.1	1.5	10.1	15.5	19.5	31.9
Average of 24	103	26.5	3.0	19.5	24.4	27.4	28.6	33.7
hourly Personal								
ET (celsius)								
Daily average	103	17.6	11.9	-12.9	5.0	23.3	24.8	30.8
of Community								
ET (celsius)								

Table 1 Subject Characteristics (n=31). Vest and low SHS compliant group

SD, Standard deviation; SBP, systolic blood pressure (BP); DBP, diastolic BP; HR, heart rate; BAD, brachial artery diameter; FMD, flow-mediated dilatation; NMD, nitroglycerin-mediated dilatation. ET, environmental temperature

*Q1,Quartile1 (25th percentile); Q3, Quartile 3 (75th percentile)

References

- 1. Brook RD, Rajagopalan S. Particulate matter, air pollution, and blood pressure. *J Am Soc Hypertens* 2009; 3: 332-50.
- **2.** Hanna JM. Climate, altitude, and blood pressure. *Human Biology* 1999; 71: 4: 553-82.
- Modesti PA, Morabito M, Bertolozzi I, Massetti L, Panci G, Lumachi C, Giglio A, Bilo G, Caldara G, Lonati L, Orlandini S, Maracchi G, Mancia G, Gensinin GF, Parati G. Weather-related change in 24-hour blood pressure profile. Effects of age and implications for hypertension management. *Hypertension* 2006; 47: 1-7.
- **4.** Barnett AG, Sans S, Salomaa V, Kuulasmaa K, Dobson AJ. The effect of temperature on systolic blood pressure. *Blood Press Monit* 2007; 12: 195-203.
- Alpérovitch A, Lacombe J-M, Hanon O, Dartigues J-F, Ritchie K, Ducimetière P, Tzourio C. Relationship between blood pressure and outdoor temperature in a large sample of elderly individuals. The Three-City Study. *Arch Intern Med* 2009; 169: 75-80.
- Madsen C, Nafstsad P. Associations between environmental exposure and blood pressure among participants in the Oslo Health Study (HUBRO). *Eur J Epidemiol* 2006; 21: 485-91.
- Wolf K, Schneider A, Breitner S, von Klot S, Meisinger C, Cyrys J, Hymer H, Wichmann HE, Peters A. Air temperature and the occurrence of myocardial infarction in Augsburg, Germany. *Circulation* 2009; 120: 735-42.
- Dilaveris P, Synetos A, Giannopoulos G, Gialafos E, Pantazis A, Stefanadis C. Climate impacts on myocardial infarction deaths in the Athens Territory: the CLIMATE study. *Heart* 2006; 92: 1747-51
- Gerber Y, Jacobsen SJ, Killian JM, Weston SA, Roger VL. Seasonality and daily weather conditions in relation to myocardial infarction and sudden cardiac death in Olmsted County, Minnesota, 1979 to 2002. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2006; 48: 287-92.
- Brook RD, Bard RL, Burnett RT, Shin HH, Vette A, Croghan C, Phillips M, Rodes C, Thornburg J, Williams R. Differences in Blood Pressure and Vascular Responses Associated with Ambient Fine Particulate Matter Exposures

Measured at the Personal versus Community Level. Occup Environ Med 2010: (in press).

- 11. Widlansky ME, Vita JA, Keyes MJ, Larson MG, Hamburg NM, Mitchell LD, Osypiuk EW, Vasan RS, Benjamin EJ. Relation of season and temperature to endothelium-dependent flow-mediated vasodilation in subjects withough clinical evidence of cardiovascular disease (from the Framingham Heart Study). *Am J Cardiol* 2007; 100: 518-23.
- 12. Rodes, CE., Lawless, PA., Thornburg, JW, Williams, RW., Croghan, CW. 2010 DEARS particulate matter relationships for personal, indoor, outdoor, and central site settings for a general population. *Atmospheric Environment* doi: 10.1016/jatmosenv.2010.02.002
- 13. Brook RD, Urch B, Dvonch JT, Bard RL, Speck M, Keeler G, Morishia M, Marsik FJ, Kamal AS, Kaciroti N, Harkema J, Corey P, Silverman F, Gold DR, Wellenius G, Mittleman MA, Rajagopalan S, Brook J. Insights into the Mechanisms and Mediators of the Effects of Air Pollution Exposure on Blood Pressure and Vascular Function in Healthy Humans. *Hypertension* 2009; 54: 659-67.
- 14. Brook RD, Grau M, Kehrer C, Rajagopalan S Intra-subject Variability of Radial Artery Flow Mediated Dilatation: Implications for Use in Prospective Clinical Trials. Am J Cardiol 2005; 96: 1345-8.
- **15.** Harris RA, Nishiyama SK, Wray W, Richardson RS. Ultrasound assessment of flow-mediated dilation. *Hypertension* 2010; 55: 1075-85.
- **16.** West BT, Welch KB, Galecki AT. 2007. Linear Mixed Models, Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Shoukri MM, Chaudhary MA. 2007. Analysis of Correlated Data with SAS and R (3rd edition), Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Greif R, Laciny S, Rajek A, Doufas AG, Sessler DI. Blood pressure response to thermoregulatory vasoconstriction during isoflurane and desflurane anesthesia. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2003; 47: 847-52.
- Hess KL, Wilson TE, Sauder CL, Gao Z, Ray CH, Monahan KD. Aging affects the cardiovascular responses to cold stress in humans. *J Appl Physiol* 2009; 107: 1076-82.
- **20.** Okamoto-Mizuno K, Tsuzuki K, Mizuno K. Effects of mild heat exposure on sleep stages and body temperature in older men. *Int J Biometerol* 2004; 49: 32-36.

- **21.** Raymann RJEM, Swaab DF, Van Someren EJW. Skin deep: enhanced sleep depth by cutaneous temperature manipulation. *Brain* 2008; 131: 500-13.
- **22.** Friedman O, Bradley TD, Ruttanaumpawan P, Logan AG. Independent association of drug-resistant hypertension to reduced sleep duration and efficiency. *Am J Hypertens* 2010; 23: 174-9.
- 23. Knutson KL, Van Cauter E, Rathouz PJ, Yan LL, Hulley SB, Liu K, Lauderdale DS. Association between sleep and blood pressure in midlife. The CARDIA sleep study. *Arch Intern Med* 2009; 169: 1055-61.
- 24. Gangwisch JE, Heymsfield SB, Boden-Albala B, Buijs RM, Kreier F, Pickering TG, Rundle AG, Zammit GK, Malaspina D. Short sleep duration as a risk factor for hypertension. *Hypertension* 2006; 47:1-7.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Example of a measurement day

An example of the time of CV outcome measurements and lag hours (h) for PET monitoring in relation to the real time of day for an individual. For subjects who had the CV measurements (i.e. BP) performed at 5 pm, lag hour 10 (h10) corresponds to a period between 6 and 7 am, while lag hour 15 (h15) corresponds to a period between 1 and 2 am during the same day.

Figure 2. Twenty-four hour PET values

Graph of the PET levels for the 24-hour period preceding the CV outcome measurements. Lines and boxes represent the median and inter-quartile ranges, respectively. Graphed confidence intervals are set at 95% with individual outliers presented as data points. h=hour

Figure 3. Associations between hourly PET values and systolic BP

The associations between systolic BP and each hourly (h) PET value measured during the preceding 24 hours. For example, lag hour 0 = period from 0 to 60 minutes before CV outcomes were measured. Lag hour 10 = period from 10 to 11 hours beforehand. Since BP was measured in most subjects at a time between 5-7 pm, this means lag hour 10 corresponds to a one-hour-time period beginning from 6-8 am and ending from 7-9 am. Lag hour 15 corresponds to a one-hour-time period beginning from 1-3 am and ending from 2-4 am. Points indicate linear PET-BP associations (coefficient β_1 , change in BP per 1° Celsius) and vertical line segments are the 95% confidence intervals for each hourly time point from the full (multivariate) linear mixed model (1). Statistically significant time points are bolded in square shape for p<0.01 and in diamond shape for p<0.05.

Figure 4. Associations between hourly PET values and diastolic BP

The associations between diastolic BP and each hourly (h) PET value measured during the preceding 24 hours. Points indicate linear PET-BP associations (coefficient β_1 , change in BP per 1° Celsius) and vertical line segments are the 95% confidence intervals for each hourly time point from the full (multivariate) linear mixed model (1).

Statistically significant time points are bolded in square shape for p<0.01 and in diamond shape for p<0.05.













hours prior to measurement of CV health outcomes for summer season





Hour lag prior to measurement of SBP





Hour lag prior to measurement of DBP