©EPA The Analysis for Coastal Operational Resiliency (AnCOR) Wide Area Demonstration

T. Boe, W. Calfee, P. Lemieux, L. Oudejans, M. Pirhalla, S. Serre, E. Silvestri

December 2023



Acknowledgments and Partnerships

- US Department of Homeland Security, S&T
 - Interagency Agreement # 70RSAT18KPM000084
- US Coast Guard
- US EPA, Region 3, OLEM, ORD
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
 - Division of High Consequence Pathogens and Pathology, Division of Preparedness and Emerging Infections, and the Division of Scientific Resources
- Laboratory Response Network (LRN)
 - Bureau of Public Health Laboratories (Jacksonville, FL), NY State Department of Health, Wadsworth Center (Albany, NY), State Hygienic Laboratory at the University of Iowa (Coralville, IA), Virginia Division of Consolidated Laboratory Services (Richmond, VA)



Science and Technology



Outline

- Introduction
- Building the Foundation with Bench-Scale Science
- Evaluating Capabilities in a Field-Scale Test
- Transition of Knowledge and Development of Response Tools
- Questions



Wide Area Biological Agent Incident (Problem)

- How do we sample?
- How do we decontaminate?
- How do we manage waste?
- How do we respond safely and efficiently?
- When can we reoccupy?
- USCG Rapid Return to Service of assets

LANL QUIC calculation

AnCOR Program Purpose

- Develop and demonstrate capabilities and strategic guidelines to prepare the U.S. for a wide-area release of a biological agent, including mitigating impacts to USCG facilities and assets.
- Experimental findings from the bench- and pilotscale studies have been utilized at the field scale to address biological agent preparedness.
- Taking bench scale science to the field and developing solutions for a wide area biological agent incident.



AnCOR Timeline



Bench-Scale Tasks under six major research areas including:

- 1. Sampling and Analysis
- 2. Fate and Transport
- 3. Decontamination
- 4. Data Management
- 5. Waste Management
- 6. Demonstrations/Field-Scale Projects

Wide-Area Demo - Objectives

- Putting all the pieces together
 - Decontamination
 - Sampling and Analysis
 - PPE Donning and Doffing
 - Personnel Decon
 - Waste Management
 - Data Collection and Management
 - Understanding Cost and Effort



Wide-Area Demo – Test Venue

- Site Requirements:
 - Open Area, >1 acre
 - Able to release surrogate
 - Variety of Surface types
 - Large Building
- Fort AP Hill (Ft Walker), Asymmetric Warfare Training Center
- Inoculation with non-Pathogenic Bacillus spores (*Bacillus globigii*)
 - Targeted 1×10⁷ CFU ft⁻²



Recon – Mapping & Modeling







Sample Plan Design



Data Acquisition Forms



Submeter O	SPS

Protective Covering Hardware Deployment & Management

iPad mini
Pole Mount & iPad Case
QR Code
p
GPS Tablet Setup and Charging Bin Station
Data
🚆 🗧 Management
Station

Sampling and Data Management Support Groups assisted **3-person** ampling teams with entry reparation.

GPS Pole

Realtime Monitoring of Sample Collection





Sampling Objectives

- Demonstrate the ability to scale-up traditional biological sampling methods in an outdoor setting
- Test newly developed and/or non-traditional sampling methods in an outdoor setting

Three rounds of sampling:

- <u>Background</u>: Determine the presence of background concentrations of Bg spores prior to inoculation
- <u>**Pre-Decon:</u>** Characterize the magnitude of *Bg* contamination following inoculation</u>
- <u>**Post-Decon:</u>** Determine if application of the decontamination chemicals effectively reduced contamination compared to pre-decon levels</u>



(new/experimental)

Grab: Gravel

37-mm filter cassette

(microvacuum)

Grab, 37-mm Filter Cassette, and Sponge Stick Samples

Background Sampling

- 35 field samples and 6 field blanks
- 9 media blanks
- Samples were collected in a subset of the WAD study area

879 total samples collected

Pre- and Post-Decon Sampling

- 200 field samples and 20 field blanks <u>each</u>
- 11 media blanks *each*
- Pre- and Post-Decon Samples were paired to compare results before and after decontamination
- A subset of the samples were collected outside the contamination zone



Dry Filter Unit (DFU) Samples

- **10 DFUs (yellow)** were positioned around the perimeter of the study area each day the site was operational
 - 10 days for a total of 100 DFU samples collected over background, inoculation, pre-, and post-decon sampling, decontamination and waste days
- 3 DFUs (blue) were used around the waste sampling area at end of study (3 samples)
- 20 field and 13 media blanks were collected



Grab Sample Onsite Processing

• Grab samples (grass, gravel, ground litter, leaves, and waste grab samples) were processed on-site prior to laboratory shipment



Only the decanted solution was shipped to the laboratory for analysis



17

Sample Analysis and CFU Calculation

- Majority of the samples were cultured using spread plates and microfunnel filter plates
- Number of colonies indicative of *Bg* were counted on plates and results provided in number of colony forming units (CFU)
- Samples analyzed at:
 - EPA RTP Lab
 - LRN
 - CDC



Decontamination

• Objectives:

- Conduct environmental decontamination in an urban setting, and at a real-world scale
- Evaluate the efficacy and feasibility, in a variety of settings
- Understand cost and time required
- Understand pinch points and limitations





Decontaminants



- Calcium Hypochlorite (HTH)
- 30 lbs per 100 gallons (25,000 ppm)
- Applied to hardened surfaces
- Readily-available as pool shock
- Long shelf-life
- Solubility in water = time
- Mixing challenge with tank saddles on 500 gal-tank

- Peroxyacetic Acid (PAA)
- Applied to vegetation (grass & trees)
- Commercially-available as agricultural pesticide
- 15% Peroxyacetic Acid, 22% Hydrogen Peroxide
- Mixes easily with water

Decontamination – Horizontal Surfaces

- COTS Sprayer
- 500-gallon tank
- Chemical Resistant Pump







Decontamination – Vertical Surfaces

- COTS Sprayer
- 300-gallon tank
- Chemical Resistant Pump
- 40' boom lift for building



Decontamination – Summary of Operations

- HTH applied 685 lbs (2,250 gallons)
- PAA applied 60 gallons of 15% PAA at 30:1 dilution (1,800 gallons)
- Overall ~34 gallons decon applied per 1,000 ft² (4,050 gallons over 120,000 ft²)
- 12 hours decon operations, 61 person-hours
- All decon units ~0.5 person-hours per 1,000 ft²



Rationale for On-site Waste Treatment

- Wide-area biological response likely to generate large quantities of solid and aqueous waste
- Category A infectious agent-containing waste requires very stringent packaging and shipping requirements
- If waste can be treated on-site and designated as conventional solid waste, it can be transported to treatment/disposal facilities using same protocols as municipal solid waste







Waste Management

- Placed waste bags into 20 yd³ roll-off
- Encapsulated roll-off and waste under a tarp
- Fumigated roll-off using chlorine dioxide
- Post decon samples were then collected
- Gas sample lines in 2 bags and one in roll-off container
- Temperature and relative humidity monitored with real-time monitors
- ClO₂ monitored via titration



Waste Sample Results Summary

Waste Material	Acquisition Method	Post-Fumigation Detections
Carpet	Nalgene Bottle, Stomacher Bag	1/3
High Density Polystyrene	Stomacher Bag	0/3
PPE - Nitrile Gloves	Conical Tubes	0/3
PPE - Tyvek	Nalgene Bottle, Stomacher Bag	0/3
Other Rusty Nail	Conical Tubes	0/2
Other Dry jacket	Nalgene Bottle, Stomacher Bag	0/3
Other Life jacket	Nalgene Bottle, Stomacher Bag	0/3
Other Swim fin	Sponge Stick	0/2
Rope	Nalgene Bottle, Stomacher Bag	0/6
Vinyl Boat Seat Material	Nalgene Bottle, Stomacher Bag	0/3
Wood	37mm Vacuum	1/3
Biological Indicator*		0/6

*: Biological indicator pre-decon: 4/4

Pre-Decon Sample Results

- 92% (184/200) had detectable spores
- Highest recoveries from glass, gravel, ground litter, leaves, and metal (10⁶ CFU/ft²)
- Only 1 non-detect from microvac

Category	# Samples	Percent
Detect	137	68.5%
Adjusted Detect	47	23.5%
Indeterminate	15	7.5%
Non-Detect	1	0.5%



Post-Decon Sample Results

19% (38/199) had detectable spores

- Grass samples had the highest detectable recoveries (10⁶ CFU/ft²)
- Remaining sample matrices had much lower recoveries post-decon (10⁰-10² CFU/ft²)
- 71 out of 79 of grab samples were indeterminate

Category	# Samples	Percent
Detect	4	2%
Adjusted Detect	34	17%
Indeterminate	89	45%
Non-Detect	72	36%

Post-Decontamination 10⁸ Recovery (CFU/ft²) 4.58x10 10⁶ 7.59x10^t Average 2.36×10^2 2.37×10^2 1.21x10² 5.33x10 2.1x10 1.43x10 Asphalt concrete Block 7.86x10⁰ 6.04x10⁰ G1855 Grass Gravel Litter Leaves Netal Nood All 28 Matrix Type

*1 post-decon sponge-stick did not make it back to the lab....

Summary

- Conducted field-scale study in an urban environment to build, improve, and evaluate capabilities for a wide area biological incident.
- Recently-developed data management tools worked well and have applications to other environmental responses.
- Vegetation sampling and analysis gaps remain continuing to develop and test solutions.
- Decontamination reduced the contamination levels ~10²-10⁴ CFU/ft² depending on surface type.
- COTS equipment expedited decontamination operations.
- Novel in-situ waste treatment approach showed potential.



Transition of Knowledge Guidance Document(s) – In progress

Compiling info into guidance documents that USCG and other federal agencies can use for a biological agent incident remediation

- Focus on USCG assets
- General concepts will apply to wide area remediation

of a dealer of a dealer of the		MAINTEN	ANCE ACO	OMPLISHED			MA	INTENANCE	DUE	
	GM	DAT	YEAR	ASSET BU	3.Wr.	MO	DATE	YEAR	AS2	SEFCU
	100	ZULU DATE	TEAD	201.5	rists	1				
	105	Sec.	238.012							
PROCEDURE FOR BAC	CILLUS A	NTHR/ SPC	ICIS S	URFAC -STICK	E SAN	APLIN	G USIN	G CEI	LULO	DSE
SCI	HEDULED)		UNSCI	IEDUI	ED				
DISCREPANCIES FOUND: NO		Y	ES							
MANHOURS: MK DC EM	ET	IT	GM	BM	(<u> </u>	FN	_SN	OTHE	R	_
REMARKS:										
TECHNICIAN'IS				TECENCIAN	8					
SIGNATURE and DATE				ш					_	
* ASTERISK INDICATES QA REQUIRED	QA									
REFERENCES: CDC (2012), "Surfac	e sampling	procedu	res for	Bacillus o	anthrac	is spores	from sn	nooth. n	on-	-
REFERENCES: CDC (2012) Surfac porous surfaces." <i>Em</i>	e sampling	procedu	tres for Resourd	Bavillus o res.	anthrac	is spores	from sn	nooth, n	on-	1

Dashboard of Tools (In progress)

- Development of dashboard of (software) tools to support biological agent response decisions
 - Existing Tool and System Evaluation
 - Develop standardized framework
 - To guide the development of the integrated online toolbox
 - To guide development of future decision support tools
 - Tool updates and (new) development of tools



https://www.epa.gov/emergency-response-research/decision-support-tools-incident-response

Training and Tabletop Exercises (FY23 and FY24)

- To be held at three USCG National Strike Force (NSF) locations
 - Pacific Strike Team Completed SEP 2023
 - Novato, CA
 - Gulf Strike Team APR 2024
 - Mobile, AL
 - Atlantic Strike Team
 - Joint Base McGuire-Dix Lakehurst, NJ





AnCOR Publications

- Journal articles
- EPA reports
- Technical Summaries



Emergency Response Research

EPA's emergency response and homeland security research provides science and technology needed to effectively respond to and recover from disasters. Natural and man-made disasters. whether intentional or unintentional, can result in contamination that threatens human health. the environment, and our economy. Communities must be resilient to avoid such catastrophes. Resilience requires scientific information to support good decisions.

Research Areas



Water Security

- Environmental Sampling & Analysis
- Contaminant Fate, Transport & Exposure
- Cleanup & Remediation
- Waste Management
- Community Resilience
- Oil Spills
- Wildfires



Engagement Opportunities

Outreach

- Emergency Response Research Webinar Series
- International Decontamination Research & Development Conference
- Technical Support to States and Territories
- Meet Our Researchers
- All Research Events

Save the Date!

EPA's Decon Conference is December 5-7, 2023

Visit the conference website for more information and to sign up for email alerts.

Decision Support Tools for Incident

Environmental Sampling and Analytical

Environmental Resilience Tools Wizard

Water Infrastructure Modeling Tools

Search More Science Models and

Models, Tools &

Applications

Response

Methods (ESAM)

Research Tools















- Technical Briefs
 - All Emergency Response & Homeland Security Publications
 - EPA's Science Inventor

Publications

https://www.epa.gov/emergency-response-research

https://www.epa.gov/emergency-response-research/analysis-coastal-operational-resiliency

€EPA

Contact Info:

- Shannon Serre; <u>serre.shannon@epa.gov</u>
- Worth Calfee; <u>calfee.worth@epa.gov</u>
- Lukas Oudejans; <u>oudejans.lukas@epa.gov</u>
- Erin Silvestri; <u>silvestri.erin@epa.gov</u>
- Michael Pirhalla; pirhalla.michael@epa.gov
- Timothy Boe; boe.timothy@epa.gov
- Paul Lemieux; <u>lemieux.paul@epa.gov</u>

- Joe Wood
- John Archer
- Christy Tomlinson
- Katrina McConkey
- Anne Busher
- Neil Daniel



The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) directed and managed this work. This study was funded through the Analysis for Coastal Operational Resiliency (AnCOR) Project by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security Science and Technology Directorate under interagency agreement 70RSAT18KPM000084. This presentation has been reviewed and approved for public release in accordance with the policies of the EPA. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use of a specific product. The contents are the sole responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of EPA, DHS S&T, or the United States Government.